

黄瓜藤的化学成分研究

唐 静^{1,2}, 邱明华^{1*}, 张宪民¹, 周立刚²

¹中国科学院昆明植物研究所植物化学与西部植物资源持续利用国家重点实验室, 昆明 650204;

²中国农业大学农学与生物技术学院, 北京 100093

摘 要:从丽江产黄瓜藤甲醇提取物的氯仿部位分离得到 9 个化合物, 经理化及波谱分析鉴定为 菠甾醇 (1)、菠甾醇-3-O- β -D-葡萄糖苷 (2)、谷甾醇 (-sitosterol, 3)、豆甾-7-烯-3-O- β -D-葡萄糖苷 (4)、22-亚甲基-9, 19-环羊毛甾烷-3-醇 (5)、(2*S*, 3*S*, 4*R*, 10*E*)-2-(2, 3-二羟基二十四烷酰氨基)-10-十八烯-1, 3, 4-三醇 (6)、(2*S*, 3*S*, 4*R*, 10*E*)-2-[(2*R*)-2-羟基二十四烷酰氨基]-10-十八烯-1, 3, 4-三醇 (7)、(2*S*, 3*S*, 4*R*, 10*E*)-1-(β -D-葡萄糖基)-2-[(2*R*)-2-羟基二十四烷酰氨基]-10-十八烯-1, 3, 4-三醇 (8)、大豆甾醇 (9), 除化合物 3 外, 其它化合物均为首次从该植物中分离得到。

关键词:黄瓜; 藤; 化学成分

中图分类号: R284. 1; Q946. 8; S642. 2

文献标识码: A

Chemical Constituents from Stems of *Cucumis sativus* L.

TANG Jing^{1,2}, QU Ming-hua^{1*}, ZHANG Xian-min¹, ZHOU Li-gang²

¹State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming

Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, China;

²College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100093, China

Abstract: Nine compounds were isolated from the chloroform fraction of the methanol extract of *Cucumis sativus* L. stems, and their structures were elucidated by physicochemical properties and spectroscopic analysis as β -spinasterol (1), β -spinasterol 3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (2), β -sitosterol (3), stigmast-7-en-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (4), 22-methylene-9, 19-cycloplanostan-3-ol (5), (2*S*, 3*S*, 4*R*, 10*E*)-2-(2, 3-dihydroxytetracosanoylamino)-10-octadecene-1, 3, 4-triol (6), (2*S*, 3*S*, 4*R*, 10*E*)-2-[(2*R*)-2-hydroxy tetracosanoylamino]-10-octadecene-1, 3, 4-triol (7), (2*S*, 3*S*, 4*R*, 10*E*)-1-(β -D-glucopyranosyl)-2-[(2*R*)-2-hydroxytetracosanoylamino]-10-octadecene-1, 3, 4-triol (8), soya-cerebroside I (9). By literature study, except for compound 3, the others were isolated from this plant for the first time.

Key words: *Cucumis sativus* L.; stem; chemical constituent

Introduction

Cucumis sativus L., which belongs to Cucurbitaceae, is now widely planted in the temperate and tropical zones, including all the districts in China^[1]. It is one of the most important vegetables, and the stems have been used in traditional Chinese medicine for its anti-inflammatory activity. According to the book "Ben Cao Gang Mu" edited by Shizhen Li of Ming Dynasty of ancient China, the stems can expand blood vessel and reduce blood pressure^[2]. However, very little is known

about its chemical constituents from the stems, though some reports suggested the presence of steroids and phenolics in this plant^[3,4]. The present study involved isolation and identification of nine compounds from the chloroform fraction of the methanol extract from stems of *C. sativus*.

Experimental

General

Melting points were determined using an XRC-1 micro-melting point apparatus, and uncorrected NMR spectra (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and DEPT) were recorded on either Bruker AV-400 or Bruker DRX-500 NMR instruments. The chemical shifts were expressed in ppm as

Received August 20, 2007; Accepted October 29, 2007

* Corresponding author. Tel: 86-871-5223255; E-mail: mhchiu@mail.kib.ac.cn

values relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) with TMS as an internal standard MS spectra were recorded on VG Auto Spec-3000 spectrometer Column chromatography was performed on either silica gel (200-300 mesh) or Sephadex LH-20 (25-100 μ m, Pharmacia Company). TLC was performed on pre-coated silica gel F₂₅₄ plates (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Company, China), and detection was provided by UV at 254 nm and spraying with 10% H₂SO₄-EtOH followed by heating at 100 °C.

Plant material

Stems of *Cucumis sativus* L. were collected in August, 2005 in Lijiang of Yunnan Province in the southwest of China, which were identified by Prof Shukun Chen of Kunming Institute of Botany. The stems were left to dry in the shade at room temperature to a constant weight. A voucher specimen was deposited in the Herbarium of the Department of Taxonomy, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Extraction and isolation

The dry stems (4.5 kg) of *C. sativus* were ground into powder and then extracted for three times with methanol under reflux at 60 °C, 4 h extraction for each time. The combined filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* at 50 °C

using a rotary evaporator to afford a residue as methanol crude extract (330 g), which was further suspended in water and extracted successively with chloroform, and then with *n*-butanol to give chloroform fraction (65 g) and *n*-butanol fraction (60 g). Chloroform fraction was then subjected to column chromatography on a silica gel (1200 g, 200-300 mesh), eluting with a gradient mixture of CHCl₃-MeOH (from 50:1 to 0:1, v/v) to yield five sub-fractions based on TLC analysis. Sub-fraction 1 (4.8 g) was further chromatographed on a silica gel (200-300 mesh) using petroleum ether-acetone (from 10:1 to 2:1, v/v) as an eluant to yield compounds **1** (5 mg) and **3** (202 mg). Compound **5** (41 mg) was isolated from sub-fraction 2 (4.6 g) by silica gel (200-300 mesh) column eluted with petroleum ether-acetone (5:1, v/v). Sub-fraction 3 (3.5 g) was repeatedly chromatographed over silica gel (200-300 mesh) eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (from 30:1 to 10:1, v/v), and then on Sephadex LH-20 eluting with MeOH to yield compounds **6** (36 mg) and **7** (146

mg). Sub-fraction 4 (9.3 g) was chromatographed on silica gel (200-300 mesh) using CHCl₃-MeOH (from 20:1 to 5:1, v/v) as an eluant to give the compounds **2** (886 mg) and **4** (35 mg). Compounds **8** (674 mg) and **9** (164 mg) were obtained from sub-fraction 5 (13 g) by repeated silica gel chromatography eluting with CHCl₃-MeOH (from 10:1 to 2:1, v/v) solvent system.

Results and Discussion

-Spinasterol (1) White needles (MeOH), C₂₉H₄₈O, mp. 158-159 °C, ESI-MS *m/z*: 413 [M + H]⁺. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 5.15 (1H, dd, *J* = 9.1, 9.2 Hz, 22 or 23-H), 5.03 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.6, 8.7 Hz, 22 or 23-H), 3.60 (1H, m, 3-H), 1.03 (3H, d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 21-CH₃), 0.86 (3H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 29-CH₃), 0.84 (6H, d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 26-CH₃ and 27-CH₃), 0.80 (3H, s, 19-CH₃), 0.55 (3H, s, 18-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 31.4 (t, C-1), 31.8 (t, C-2), 71.1 (d, C-3), 38.1 (t, C-4), 40.3 (d, C-5), 29.7 (t, C-6), 117.5 (d, C-7), 139.6 (s, C-8), 49.5 (d, C-9), 34.2 (s, C-10), 21.6 (t, C-11), 39.5 (t, C-12), 43.3 (s, C-13), 55.1 (d, C-14), 23.0 (t, C-15), 28.5 (t, C-16), 55.9 (d, C-17), 12.0 (q, C-18), 13.0 (q, C-19), 40.8 (d, C-20), 21.0 (q, C-21), 138.2 (d, C-22), 129.5 (d, C-23), 51.3 (d, C-24), 31.9 (d, C-25), 21.6 (q, C-26), 19.0 (q, C-27), 25.4 (t, C-28), 12.2 (q, C-29). The NMR data were identical to those of literature [15].

-Spinasterol 3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (2) Colorless needles (MeOH), C₃₅H₅₈O₆, mp. 275-277 °C, FAB-MS (negative) *m/z*: 573 [M-H]⁻. ¹H NMR (MeOD, 500 MHz): δ 5.30 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.7, 8.8 Hz, 22 or 23-H), 5.18 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.8, 8.7 Hz, 22 or 23-H), 4.88 (1H, d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1-H), 4.57 (2H, d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 6-H), 4.39 (1H, t, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 4-H), 4.01 (1H, m, 3-H), 1.00 (3H, d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 21-CH₃), 0.92 (3H, d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 26-CH₃), 0.89 (3H, t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 29-CH₃), 0.88 (3H, d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 27-CH₃), 0.73 (3H, s, 19-CH₃), 0.59 (3H, s, 18-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz): δ 37.5 (t, C-1), 30.1 (t, C-2), 77.5 (d, C-3), 34.9 (t, C-4), 40.4

(d, C-5), 29.8 (t, C-6), 117.9 (d, C-7), 139.8 (s, C-8), 49.8 (d, C-9), 34.7 (s, C-10), 21.9 (t, C-11), 39.9 (t, C-12), 43.7 (s, C-13), 55.4 (d, C-14), 23.4 (t, C-15), 29.8 (t, C-16), 56.6 (d, C-17), 12.3 (q, C-18), 13.1 (q, C-19), 40.4 (d, C-20), 21.9 (q, C-21), 138.6 (d, C-22), 129.9 (d, C-23), 49.8 (d, C-24), 32.2 (d, C-25), 21.6 (q, C-26), 20.0 (q, C-27), 25.4 (t, C-28), 12.1 (q, C-29), 102.5 (d, C-1), 75.4 (d, C-2), 78.3 (d, C-3), 72.0 (d, C-4), 78.7 (d, C-5), 63.2 (t, C-6). The NMR data were consistent with those of reported^[61].

-Sitosterol (3) Colorless needles (EOAc), $C_{29}H_{50}O$, mp. 143-144. It was confirmed by comparing it with the standard sample.

Stigmast-7-en-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (4) Colorless needles (MeOH), $C_{35}H_{60}O_6$, mp. 267-270, FAB-MS (negative) m/z : 575 ($[M-H]^-$, 100). 1H NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 500 MHz): 5.03 (1H, d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1-H), 4.59 (2H, d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 6-H), 4.38 (1H, t, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 4-H), 4.01 (1H, m, 3-H), 0.99 (3H, d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 21- CH_3), 0.90 (3H, d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 26- CH_3), 0.88 (3H, t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 29- CH_3), 0.86 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 27- CH_3), 0.72 (3H, s, 19- CH_3), 0.58 (3H, s, 18- CH_3); ^{13}C NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 100 MHz): 37.4 (t, C-1), 30.1 (t, C-2), 77.2 (d, C-3), 34.8 (t, C-4), 40.3 (d, C-5), 30.1 (t, C-6), 117.9 (d, C-7), 139.7 (s, C-8), 49.7 (d, C-9), 34.6 (s, C-10), 21.8 (t, C-11), 39.9 (t, C-12), 43.7 (s, C-13), 55.3 (d, C-14), 23.5 (t, C-15), 28.3 (t, C-16), 56.4 (d, C-17), 12.1 (q, C-18), 13.1 (q, C-19), 36.9 (d, C-20), 19.2 (q, C-21), 34.5 (t, C-22), 28.3 (t, C-23), 46.2 (d, C-24), 29.6 (d, C-25), 19.3 (q, C-26), 20.0 (q, C-27), 23.4 (t, C-28), 12.2 (q, C-29), 102.4 (d, C-1), 75.4 (d, C-2), 78.7 (d, C-3), 71.9 (d, C-4), 78.5 (d, C-5), 63.0 (t, C-6). The NMR data were equal to those of literature^[61].

22-Methylene-9, 19-cycloknostan-3-ol (5) White plates (MeOH), $C_{31}H_{52}O$, mp. 124-125, EIMS m/z : 440 (25), 315 (15), 300 (50), 175 (90). 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): 4.66 (2H, d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 31- CH_2), 3.26 (1H, m, 3-H), 1.25 (3H, s, 26-H), 1.25 (3H, s, 27-H), 1.03 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 21- CH_3),

0.96 (3H, s, 29- CH_3), 0.89 (3H, s, 18- CH_3), 0.88 (3H, s, 28- CH_3), 0.80 (3H, s, 30- CH_3), 0.54, 0.32 (each 1H, d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 19- CH_2); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 31.7 (t, C-1), 29.6 (t, C-2), 78.5 (d, C-3), 40.8 (s, C-4), 47.0 (d, C-5), 21.1 (t, C-6), 27.9 (t, C-7), 47.8 (d, C-8), 19.7 (s, C-9), 26.0 (s, C-10), 26.0 (t, C-11), 35.5 (t, C-12), 45.1 (s, C-13), 48.5 (s, C-14), 32.7 (t, C-15), 26.8 (t, C-16), 52.1 (d, C-17), 17.9 (q, C-18), 29.6 (t, C-19), 36.9 (d, C-20), 18.3 (q, C-21), 158.3 (s, C-22), 33.4 (t, C-23), 35.5 (t, C-24), 31.1 (d, C-25), 21.0 (q, C-26), 22.5 (q, C-27), 19.5 (q, C-28), 25.4 (q, C-29), 15.4 (q, C-30), 107.3 (t, C-31). The NMR data were identical to those of literature^[71].

(2S, 3S, 4R, 10E)-2-(2, 3-Dihydroxytetracosanoylamino)-10-octadecene-1, 3, 4-triol (6)

White powder (MeOH), $C_{42}H_{83}O_6N$, FAB-MS (negative) m/z : 696 ($[M-H]^-$). 1H NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 500 MHz): 8.68 (1H, d, $J = 9.3$ Hz, NH), 5.53 (1H, m, H-10), 5.50 (1H, m, H-11), 5.12 (1H, m, H-2), 4.76 (1H, m, H-2), 4.55 (1H, m, H-3), 4.54 (1H, dd, $J = 8.5, 4.2$ Hz, H-1), 4.43 (1H, dd, $J = 8.5, 4.2$ Hz, H-1), 4.33 (1H, m, H-3), 4.28 (1H, m, H-4), 1.98-2.06 (m), 1.25-1.31 (54H, m, 27 \times CH_2), 0.86 (6H, t-like, $J = 6.6$ Hz, Me-18 and Me-24); ^{13}C NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 100 MHz): 62.0 (t, C-1), 53.1 (d, C-2), 76.3 (d, C-3), 72.9 (d, C-4), 33.9 (t, C-5), 26.6 (t, C-6), 33.0 (t, C-7), 33.4 (t, C-8), 32.2 (t, C-9), 130.8 (d, C-10), 130.7 (d, C-11), 32.6 (t, C-12), 174.0 (s, C-1), 76.8 (d, C-2), 73.7 (d, C-3), 26.6 (t, C-4), 29.6-30.3 (t, C-13-16 and C-5-22), 23.0 (t, C-17 and C-23), 14.3 (q, C-18, 24). The NMR data resembled those of literature^[81].

(2S, 3S, 4R, 10E)-2-[(2R)-2-Hydroxytetracosanoylamino]-10-octadecene-1, 3, 4-triol (7)

White powder (MeOH); mp. 138-139; $C_{42}H_{83}O_5N$; FAB-MS (negative) m/z : 680 ($[M-H]^-$); 1H NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 500 MHz): 8.57 (1H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, NH), 5.52 (1H, m, H-10), 5.50 (1H, m, H-11), 5.10 (1H, d, $J = 4.3$ Hz, H-2), 4.61 (1H, m, H-2), 4.51 (1H, brs, H-1), 4.42 (1H, m, H-1), 4.33 (1H, m, H-3), 4.27 (1H, m, H-4), 1.25-1.30 (54H, m, 27

$\times\text{CH}_2$), 0.85 (6H, t-like, $J = 6.7$ Hz, Me-18 and Me-24); ^{13}C NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 100 MHz): 62.0 (t, C-1), 52.9 (d, C-2), 76.9 (d, C-3), 73.0 (d, C-4), 33.3 (t, C-5), 26.8 (t, C-6), 32.2 (t, C-9), 130.8 (d, C-10), 130.7 (d, C-11), 33.0 (t, C-12), 175.3 (s, C-1), 72.5 (d, C-2), 35.7 (t, C-3), 25.8 (t, C-4), 29.6-30.3 (t, C-13-16 and C-5-22), 22.9 (t, C-17 and C-23), 14.3 (q, C-18, 24). The NMR data were identical to those of literature^[9].

(2S, 3S, 4R, 10E)-1-(β -D-Glucopyranosyl)-2-[(2R)-2-hydroxytetracosanoylamino]-10-octadecene-1,3,4-triol (8) White powder (MeOH); $\text{C}_{48}\text{H}_{93}\text{O}_{10}\text{N}$; FAB-MS (negative) m/z , 842 ($[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$, 100), 680 (15). ^1H NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 500 MHz): 8.54 (1H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, NH), 5.50 (1H, m, H-10), 5.49 (1H, m, H-11), 5.27 (1H, d, $J = 4.3$ Hz, H-2), 4.93 (1H, d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, H-1), 1.24-1.31 (54H, m, 27 $\times\text{CH}_2$), 0.85 (6H, t-like, $J = 6.7$ Hz, Me-18 and Me-24); ^{13}C NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 100 MHz): 70.4 (t, C-1), 51.9 (d, C-2), 75.9 (d, C-3), 72.5 (d, C-4), 33.9 (t, C-5), 33.0 (t, C-6), 32.9 (t, C-7), 32.8 (t, C-8), 32.2 (t, C-9), 130.9 (d, C-10), 130.7 (d, C-11), 33.3 (t, C-12), 175.7 (s, C-1), 72.5 (d, C-2), 35.6 (t, C-3), 25.9 (t, C-4), 29.6-30.0 (t, C-13-16 and C-5-22), 23.0 (t, C-17 and C-23), 14.3 (q, C-18, 24); Glc: 105.5 (d, C-1), 75.2 (d, C-2), 78.5 (d, C-3), 71.6 (d, C-4), 78.6 (d, C-5), 62.7 (t, C-6). The NMR data were equal to those of literature^[10].

Soya-cerbroside I (9) White powder (MeOH); $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{75}\text{O}_9\text{N}$; FAB-MS (negative) m/z , 712 ($[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$, 100), 550 (15). ^1H NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 400 MHz): 8.38 (1H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, NH), 5.77 (1H, m, H-5), 5.49 (3H, m, H-4, H-8 and H-9), 4.92 (1H, d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, H-1), 4.51 (1H, m, H-6 b), 4.38 (1H, m, H-6 a), 4.25 (2H, m, H-1 a and H-3), 4.21 (1H, m, H-4), 4.05 (4H, m, H-1 b, H-2, H-3 and H-2), 3.92 (2H, m, H-2 and H-5), 2.14 (4H, brs, H-6, H-7), 1.99 (2H, m, H-10), 1.71 (1H, m, H-3), 1.37 (1H, m, H-4), 1.25-1.35 (38H, m, 19 $\times\text{CH}_2$), 0.86 (6H, t-like, $J = 6.9$ Hz, H-18 and H-16); ^{13}C NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 100 MHz): 70.2 (t, C-1), 54.6 (d, C-

2), 71.5 (d, C-3), 131.1 (d, C-4), 132.1 (d, C-5), 32.2 (t, C-6), 32.1 (t, C-7), 130.0 (d, C-8), 132.1 (d, C-9), 32.9 (d, C-10), 175.7 (s, C-1), 72.3 (d, C-2), 35.7 (t, C-3), 25.9 (t, C-4), 29.6-30.0 (t, C-11-16 and C-5-14), 23.0 (t, C-17 and C-15), 14.3 (q, C-18, 16); Glc: 105.7 (d, C-1), 75.2 (d, C-2), 78.5 (d, C-3), 71.5 (d, C-4), 78.6 (d, C-5), 62.7 (t, C-6). The NMR data resembled those of literature^[9]. In summary, nine compounds were isolated from the chloroform fraction of the methanol extract of *C. sativus* L. stems. They were elucidated by physicochemical properties and spectroscopic analysis except compound 3 which was determined to be β -sitosterol by comparing with its TLC behavior with standard sample. By literature study, the compounds except β -sitosterol were isolated from this plant for the first time.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the grants from NKIP foundation, Xibuzhiguang Union Lab. Program of CAS, and the 11th Five-Year Technologies R & D Programme of China (2007BAD89B01). The authors are grateful to Prof. Shukun Chen of Kunming Institute of Botany for plant specimen identification, and Prof. Mingan Wang of the College of Science, China Agricultural University for a critical review of the manuscript.

References

- Editorial Commission of Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences Flora Republicae Popularis Sinicae 73 (1). Beijing: Science Publishing House, 1986. 105-128.
- Jiangsu Institute of Botany. Xinhua Compendium of Material Medica Vol. 2. Shanghai: Shanghai Science and Technology Publishing House, 1988. 310-311.
- Itoh T, Kikuchi Y, Shimizu N, *et al.* 24-Ethyl-31-norlanost-8,25(27)-dien-3-ol and 24-Ethyl-25(27)-dehydrophenol in seeds of three Cucurbitaceae species. *Phytochemistry*, 1981, 20: 1929-1933.
- McNally DJ, Wums KV, Labbe C, *et al.* Complex C-glycosyl flavonoid phytoalexins from *Cucumis sativus*. *J Nat Prod*, 2003, 66: 1280-1283.
- Li ZP, Zhang ML, Liu WN, *et al.* Chemical studies on the flower of *Aibizzia julibrissin* Durazz. *Nat Prod Res Dev* (天然产物研究与开发), 2005, 17: 585-587.

(下转第 83 页)

- 4 Ingalls ST, Minkler PE, Hoppel CL. Derivatization of carboxylic acids by reaction with 4-bromophenacyl trifluoromethanesulfonate prior to determination by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr A*, 1984, 299: 365-376.
- 5 Chen WP (陈伟平), Huang XH (黄晓红), Lin MH (林敏红), *et al*. Simultaneous determination of linoleic acid and linolenic acid in *Spinulina platensis* (GOM.) Geitl by gas chromatography. *J Instrumental Anal* (分析测试学报), 19 (4): 57-59.
- 6 Shen YH (申烨华), Zhang P (张萍), Kong XH (孔祥虹). GC-MS analysis of fatty acids in almond oil. *Chin J Anal Lab* (分析实验室), 2005, 24 (9): 37-39.
- 7 Zhang M (张梅), Dong XP (董小萍), Wang H (王慧), *et al*. GC-MS analysis on the fatty oils of the Tibetan medicinal substance *Herpetospermum*. *J Chengdu Univ TCM* (成都中医药大学学报), 2004, 27 (4): 49-52.
- 8 Liu XL (刘兴利), Han YP (韩泳萍), Ma C (马晨). Study of interrelated constituents of fat oil from Bolenggua seed by GC analytical method. *J Southwest Univ Nationalities Nat Sci* (西南民族大学学报, 自然科学版), 2005, 31: 889-890.
- 9 Wang HL (王洪伦), Zhou CF (周昌范), Suo YR (索有瑞). Extraction of *Herpetospermum pedunculatum* (Ser.) Baill seed oil with supercritical carbon dioxide and analysis of the fatty acids using GC-MS. *Anal Testing Tech Instru* (分析测试技术与仪器), 2006, 12: 42-46.
- 10 Takadate A, Masuda T, Murata C, *et al*. 3-Bromoacetyl-6, 7-methylenedioxcoumarin as a highly reactive and sensitive fluorescence labeling reagent for fatty acids. *Anal Sci*, 1992, 8: 695-697.
- 11 Yoshida T, Uetake A, Yamaguchi H, *et al*. New preparation method for 9-anthryldiazomethane (ADAM) as a fluorescent labeling reagent for fatty acids and derivatives. *Anal Biochem*, 1988, 173: 70-74.
- 12 Lu CY, Wu HL, Chen SW, *et al*. A fluorimetric liquid chromatography for highly sensitive analysis of very long chain fatty acids as naphthoxyethyl derivatives. *Chromatographia*, 2000, 51: 315-321.
- 13 Zhao XE (赵先恩), Suo YR (索有瑞), Ding CX (丁晨旭), *et al*. Synthesis of 1-[2-(*p*-toluenesulfonate) ethyl]-2-phenylimidazole [4, 5-f] 9, 10-phenanthrene and its application for analysis of long-chain fatty acids. *Chin J Chromatogr* (色谱), 2006, 24: 456-461.

(上接第 69 页)

- 6 Kojima H, Sato N, Hatano A, *et al*. Sterol glucosides from *Pinella vulgaris*. *Phytochemistry*, 1990, 29: 2351-2355.
- 7 Anjaneyulu ASR, Nooka RS. Cyclotriterpenes from the heartwood of *Pterospermum heyneanum*. *Phytochemistry*, 1987, 26: 2805-2810.
- 8 Gao JM. Sphingolipids with biological activity from higher fungi in Yunnan of China. PhD thesis of Kunming Institute of Botany. Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1994. 73.
- 9 Li HJ, Luo YG, He ZH, *et al*. Phytochemical study on *Zehneria maysorensis*. *Nat Prod Res Dev* (天然产物研究与开发), 2006, 18: 411-414.
- 10 Chen JC. Chemical constituents from five cucurbitaceous plants. PhD thesis of Kunming Institute of Botany. Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2006, 79.