New Dammarane-Type Saponins from the Rhizomes of Panax japonicus

by Min Zhou^a)^b), Min Xu^a), Dong Wang^a), Hong-Tao Zhu^a), Chong-Ren Yang^a)^c), and Ying-Jun Zhang^{*a})

 ^a) State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources of West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, P. R. China (phone: +86-871-5223235; fax: +86-871-5150124; e-mail: zhangyj@mail.kib.ac.cn)
^b) Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

^c) Weihe Biotech Research and Development Center, Yuxi 653101, P. R. China

Phytochemical investigation of the rhizomes of *Panax japonicus* C. A. MEYER (Araliaceae) resulted in the isolation of two new dammarane-type triterpenoid saponins, yesanchinoside R_1 (1) and yesanchinoside R_2 (2), together with one new natural product, 6^{'''}-O-acetylginsenoside Re (3). In addition, 25 known compounds, including 23 triterpenoid saponins, 4–26, β -sitosterol 3-O- β -Dglucopyranoside (27), and ecdysterone (28), were also identified. The known saponins 12, 15, and 18–22 were reported for the first time from the title plant. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of detailed spectroscopic analyses, including 1D- and 2D-NMR techniques, as well as acidic hydrolysis.

Introduction. - Panax japonicus C. A. MEYER (Araliaceae), Chinese name 'Zhu-Jie-Shen', belongs to the same genus of ginseng (P. ginseng C. A. MEYER), American ginseng (P. quinquefolius L.), and notoginseng (P. notoginseng (BURK.) F. H. CHEN), all of which are famous medicinal herbs. The rhizome, recorded in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia, is used for enhancing immunity, diminishing inflammation, and transforming phlegm [1]. It is also used medicinally in Japan, Korea, and Europe for the treatment of lifestyle-related diseases, such as high-fat-diet-induced obesity [2] and alcohol-induced gastric ulcer [3]. Oleanane- and dammarane-type triterpenoid saponins were reported to be the characteristic components of this herb [4][5]. It was found that the dammarane-type saponin compositions of the rhizomes of P. japonicus collected from different regions were remarkably different from each other [5]. As part of our continuing research on chemical constituents of medicinal plants from the genus *Panax*, a detailed phytochemical investigation of the rhizomes of *P*. japonicus, collected in Gao-Li-Gong Mountain in Yunnan, China, was carried out. This led to the isolation of two new minor dammarane-type triterpenoid saponins, yesanchinosides R_1 and R_2 (1 and 2, resp.), and a new natural product, 6^{'''-O-} acetylginsenoside Re (3; Fig. 1), in addition to 25 known compounds. Here, we describe the isolation and structure elucidation of the new compounds based on detailed spectroscopic analyses and acidic hydrolysis.

Results and Discussion. – The MeOH extract of the fresh rhizomes of *P. japonicus* was subjected to various column chromatographies, including *Diaion HP20SS*, *MCI-gel CHP20P*, *RP-18*, and silica gel, to afford 28 compounds, **1**–**28** (*Figs. 1* and 2). Of them,

© 2011 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Fig. 1. New compounds 1-3 isolated from the rhizomes of P. japonicus

the known compounds **4**–**28** were identified as twelve dammarane-type triterpenoid saponins, *i.e.*, ginsenosides Rh₁[6], Rg₁[7], Rf [8], Re [8], Rd [9], and Rb₁ (**4**–**9**, resp.) [9], notoginsenosides R₂ [10], R₁ [10], J [11], and G (**10**–**13**, resp.) [11], gypenoside XVII (**14**) [12], and vinaginsenoside R₁₅ (**15**) [13], eleven oleanane-type triterpenoid glycosides, *i.e.*, oleanolic acid 3-*O*- β -D-(6'-methyl ester)glucuronopyranoside [14], chikusetsusaponin IVa methyl ester [15], oleanolic acid 3-*O*- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- β -D-(6'-methyl ester)glucuronopyranoside [16], chikusetsusaponin V methyl ester [5], chikusetsusaponin IV methyl ester [17], oleanolic acid 28-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside [18], polysciassaponin P₅ [19], desglucosylchikusetsusaponin IV (**16**–**23**, resp.) [20], chikusetsusaponins IVa [5], V (**24** and **25**, resp.) [5], and IV (**26**) [5], one sitosterol, β sitosterol 3-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside (**27**) [21], and one steroid hormone, ecdysterone (**28**) [22], on the basis of spectroscopic and physicochemical comparison with authentic samples and literature data. The known saponins **12**, **15**, and **18**–**22** were reported for the first time from the title plant.

Compound **1** was obtained as a white amorphous powder. Its molecular formula was assigned as $C_{36}H_{62}O_{10}$ by HR-ESI-MS peak at m/z 677.4240 ([M+Na]⁺; calc. 677.4240) and ¹³C-NMR (DEPT) spectra. On the basis of 1D- and 2D-NMR spectral data, compound **1** was determined as (20*S*,24*Z*)-3 β ,6 α ,12 β ,20,26-pentahydroxydammar-24-ene 6-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside, named yesanchinoside R₁.

The IR spectrum of **1** indicated the presence of OH group (3418 cm⁻¹). The ¹H-NMR spectrum of **1** (*Table 1*) exhibited seven Me *singlets* at δ (H) 0.80 (Me(30)), 1.03 (Me(19)), 1.18 (Me(18)), 1.34 (Me(21)), 1.44 (Me(29)), 2.02 (Me(27)), and 2.12 (Me(28)), and the signals of one CH₂O group at δ (H) 4.56 and 4.47 (each *d*, *J* = 12.0, CH₂(26)), of three CH–O groups at δ (H) 3.52 (*dd*, *J* = 11.8, 5.0, H–C(3)), 3.96 (*ddd*, *J* = 12.4, 10.0, 4.5, H–C(12)), and 4.45 (*ddd*, *J* = 12.3, 10.0, 3.8, H–C(6)), of an olefinic H-atom at δ (H) 5.47 (br. *t*, *J* = 6.9, H–C(24)), as well as of an anomeric H-atom at δ (H) 5.06 (*d*, *J* = 7.9, H–C(1')). In the ¹³C-NMR and DEPT spectra of **1** (*Table 1*), 36 C-atom signals were observed, including those of seven Me, nine CH₂ including one O-



Fig. 2. Known compounds 4-28 isolated from the rhizomes of P. japonicus

Table 1. ^{1}H - a	nd ¹³ C-NMR Data of Compounds	1 and 2 . At 500 and	125 MHz, respectively,	in CD_3OD ; δ in ppm,
		J in Hz.		

	1		2	
	$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	$\delta(C)$	$\overline{\delta(\mathrm{H})}$	$\delta(C)$
$CH_{2}(1)$	1.74 (overlapped), $1.03 - 0.98 (m, H_{\beta})$	39.7	1.68 (overlapped), $1.01 - 0.96 (m, H_{\beta})$	39.7
$CH_{2}(2)$	$1.99 - 1.96 (m, H_a), 1.85 - 1.81 (m, H_{\beta})$	27.9	$1.93 - 1.90 (m, H_a), 1.91 - 1.89 (m, H_\beta)$	27.9
CH(3)	3.52 (dd, J = 11.8, 5.0)	78.7	3.55 (dd, J = 12.8, 4.8)	78.8
C(4)		40.4		40.3
CH(5)	1.45 (d, J = 10.0)	61.5	1.44 (d, J = 10.0)	61.4
$CH_{2}(6)$	4.45 (ddd, J = 12.3, 10.0, 3.8)	80.1	4.38 (<i>m</i>)	79.6
$CH_2(7)$	2.67 $(dd, J = 12.3, 3.2, H_a),$	45.3	2.46 $(dd, J = 12.3, 3.2, H_a),$	45.5
	1.96 $(t, J = 10.0, H_{\beta})$		1.98 $(t, J = 10.0, H_{\beta})$	
CH(8)		41.2		41.2
CH(9)	1.58 (dd, J = 12.5, 3.0)	50.3	1.56 (dd, J = 12.0, 2.8)	50.2
C(10)		39.6		39.6
$CH_{2}(11)$	2.14 (overlapped), $1.52 - 1.47$ (<i>m</i> , H _{β})	32.0	2.16 (overlapped), $1.58 - 1.52$ (<i>m</i> , H _{β})	32.0
CH(12)	3.96 (ddd, J = 12.4, 10.0, 4.5)	71.1	3.94 (ddd, J = 12.0, 10.0, 4.2)	71.2
CH(13)	2.03 (d, J = 10.0)	48.3	2.07 (d, J = 10.0)	48.3
Me(14)		51.7		51.8
$CH_{2}(15)$	1.61 (overlapped), $1.12 - 1.08$ (<i>m</i> , H ₈)	31.1	1.64 (overlapped), 1.17 (overlapped)	31.1
$CH_{2}(16)$	1.82 (overlapped), $1.39 - 1.35$ (<i>m</i> , H _e)	26.8	1.81 (overlapped), $1.34 - 1.29$ (<i>m</i> , H _e)	26.9
CH(17)	2.30 (dd, I = 10.0, 7.2)	54.7	2.34 (dd, I = 10.0, 7.0)	54.8
Me(18)	1.18(s)	17.4	1.20(s)	17.5
Me(19)	103(s)	17.7	103(s)	17.8
C(20)	1.05 (3)	73.0	1.00 (5)	73.2
$M_{e}(21)$	1.34(s)	27.1	142(s)	27.1
CH_{22}	2.05 (overlapped) $1.71 - 1.68$ (<i>m</i> H ₂)	36.2	$2.14 \text{ (overlapped)} 1.75 - 1.69 (m H_{2})$	36.2
$CH_2(22)$ $CH_2(23)$	2.03 (00000000000000000000000000000000000	22.6	2.79 - 2.76 (m H) 2.49 (overlapped)	22.6
CH(24)	5.47 (br t $I = 6.9$)	128.0	5.54 (br. t $I = 7.0$)	128.2
C(25)	5.47(01.1, 9 = 0.5)	136.2	5.54 (61. 1, 5 - 7.6)	120.2
C(23) $CH_{2}(26)$	456(d I - 120 H)	60.0	465(d I - 120 H)	60.9
$CI1_2(20)$	$4.30 (a, J = 12.0, \Pi_a),$ $4.47 (d, I = 12.0, \Pi_a)$	00.9	$4.63 (d, J = 12.0, H_a),$	00.9
$M_{\Theta}(27)$	$(u, J = 12.0, 11_{\beta})$	21.0	2.08 (s)	22.0
$M_{\Theta}(28)$	2.02(3)	21.9	2.06(3)	31.8
$M_{\Theta}(20)$	2.12(3)	16.4	2.10(3) 1.52(s)	16.0
$M_{0}(20)$	1.44(3)	16.0	1.52(3)	16.9
Glo	0.80 (3)	10.9	0.85 (3)	10.9
CH(1)	5.06(1, 1, 7.0)	106 1	502(1 1 7 2)	102.7
CH(1)	5.00 (a, J = 7.9)	75.5	5.02(u, J = 7.5)	70.0
CH(2)	4.10 (01. l, J = 7.9)	73.5	4.43 - 4.42 (m)	79.9
CH(3)	4.32 - 4.30 (m)	79.0	4.20 - 4.17 (m)	71.0
CH(4')	4.28 - 4.24 (m)	72.0	4.23 - 4.19(m)	/1.8
CH(5')	4.01 - 3.98 (m)	/8.2	3.88 - 3.85 (m)	/8.2
$CH_2(6')$	4.40 $(dd, J = 12.0, 5.8, H_a),$	63.2	4.36 $(dd, J = 12.0, 6.0, H_{\alpha}),$	63.0
37.1	4.57 (br. $d, J = 12.0, H_{\beta}$)		4.53 (overlapped)	
Xyl			5.00 (1.1. 5.0)	1010
CH(1'')			5.89 (d, J = 7.0)	104.9
$CH(2^{\prime\prime})$			4.20 - 4.23 (m)	/5.9
CH(3'')			4.41 - 4.38 (m)	/9.8
CH(4")			4.27 - 4.23 (m)	/1.3
$CH_2(5'')$			4.39 (overlapped), 3.75 (br. $t, J = 11.0, H_{\beta}$)	67.3

bearing one (δ (C) 60.9 (C(26))), and eight CH groups, including three O-bearing ones $(\delta(C)$ 78.7 (C(3)), 80.1 (C(6)), and 71.1 (C(12)), of six quaternary C-atoms, including one O-bearing C-atom (δ (C) 73.0 (C(20)), and a set of signals (δ (C) 106.1 (C(1')), 79.6 (C(3')), 78.2 (C(5')), 75.5 (C(2')), 72.0 (C(4')), and 63.2 (C(6'))) attributed to a glucosyl moiety. Acidic hydrolysis of 1 afforded D-glucose as identified by gas chromatography (GC) analysis of its 1-(trimethylsilyl)-1H-imidazole derivative. These aforementioned data were similar to those of ginsenoside Rh_1 (4) [6], a known dammarane-type glycoside, which was also isolated in the current work. The main differences between 1 and 4 were the molecular weight, the absence of one Me signal and the appearance of an additional CH₂O signal in the NMR spectra of **1**. The molecular weight of **1** was 16 Da greater than that of 4. In the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 1, C(24), C(25), C(26), and C(27) were downfield-shifted to δ (C) 128.0, 136.2, 60.9, and 21.9, compared to δ (C) 125.9, 130.7, 25.8, and 17.6 for 4. These data indicated that one of the Me group at C(26) or C(27) in 4 was oxidized to an CH₂O group in 1. The key HMBC correlations (*Fig. 3*) of H-C(23) with C(20) and C(25), of H-C(24) with C(22) and C(27), of H-C(26) with C(24), C(25), and C(27), and of H–C(27) with C(24) and C(26) further confirmed this proposition. The configuration of the C(24)=C(25) bond was determined to be (Z) due to the ROESY correlation of H-C(27) with H-C(24) in 1 (Fig. 4). On the basis of the above evidence and the literature data [23], the structure of compound 1 was characterized as shown in Fig. 1.

Compound **2**, a white amorphous powder, has the molecular formula $C_{41}H_{70}O_{14}$ as deduced by HR-ESI-MS (m/z 809.4680 ($[M + Na]^+$; calc. 809.4663) and ¹³C-NMR (DEPT) spectrum. According to 1D- and 2D-NMR data, **2** was determined as (20S,24Z)- $3\beta,6\alpha,12\beta,20,26$ -pentahydroxydammar-24-ene 6-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl-($1 \rightarrow 2$)- β -D-glucopyranoside, named yesanchinoside R_2 .

In the IR spectrum of **2**, the absorption band at 3419 cm⁻¹ indicated the presence of OH group. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of **2** were closely similar to those of **1**, except for the appearance of a set of signals arising from an additional pentosyl moiety in **2**. Acid hydrolysis of **2** afforded D-glucose and D-xylose as identified by GC analysis of their 1-(trimethylsilyl)-1*H*-imidazole derivatives. The location of the additional xylosyl group was assigned as C(2') of the glucosyl moiety in **2**, based on the HMBC correlations from $\delta(H)$ 5.89 (Xyl H–C(1'')) to 79.9 (Glc C(2'); *Fig.* 3). In addition, the HMBC correlation of $\delta(H)$ 5.02 (Glc H–C(1')) with $\delta(C)$ 79.6 (aglycone C(6)) was also observed. The ROESY correlations between H–C(27) and H–C(24) established the configuration of the C(24)=C(25) bond as (*Z*). Accordingly, the structure of **2** was elucidated as shown in *Fig.* 1.

Compound **3**, a white amorphous powder, has the molecular formula $C_{50}H_{84}O_{19}$ as deduced by HR-ESI-MS (m/z 1011.5515 ($[M + Na]^+$; calc. 1011.5504) and ¹³C-NMR (DEPT) spectrum. According to 1D- and 2D-NMR data, **3** was identified as (20*S*)- 3β , 6α , 12β ,20,26-pentahydroxydammar-24-ene 6-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-($1 \rightarrow 2$)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-20-*O*-(6-*O*-acetyl)- β -D-glucopyranoside [6^{'''}-*O*-acetylginsenoside Re].

The IR spectrum of **3** showed absorption bands at 3422 cm⁻¹ due to OH group. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of **3** (*Table 2*) exhibited 30 signals for the aglycone, which were identical to those of (20*S*)-protopanaxatriol. In addition, 20 C-atom signals arising from one Ac group (δ (C) 170.9 and 20.9) and three hexosyl moieties (anomeric C-atom signals at δ (C) 101.4 (Glc C(1')), 102.1 (Rha C(1'')), and 98.3 (Glc C(1'''))) were



2

HO

ΉO

1

ΗΟ



Fig. 3. Key HMBC correlations of 1-3

observed. Acid hydrolysis of **3** afforded D-glucose and L-rhamnose as identified by GC analysis of their 1-(trimethylsilyl)-1*H*-imidazole derivatives. These NMR features were similar to those of ginsenoside Re (**7**) except for the appearance of two additional signals at δ (C) 170.9 and 20.9 due to an Ac group in **3**. A difference in the chemical-shift value of Glc C(6''') (δ (C) 63.2 in **7**; δ (C) 65.1 in **3**) allowed the Ac group to be placed at C(6''') of Glc. This was confirmed by the HMBC correlations from δ (H) 4.99–4.65 (CH₂(6''')) to the C=O C-atom of the Ac group (*Fig. 3*). Moreover, the location and sequence of the sugar moieties were confirmed by the key correlations from δ (H) 5.23



Fig. 4. Selected ROESY correlations of ${\bf 1}$ and ${\bf 2}$

	$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	$\delta(C)$		$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	$\delta(C)$
CH ₂ (1)	1.79 (overlapped),	39.4	CH(24)	5.18 (br. $t, J = 7.5$)	126.0
	$1.05 - 1.01 \ (m, H_{\beta})$		C(25)		131.0
$CH_{2}(2)$	$1.91 - 1.88 (m, H_a),$	27.5	Me(26)	1.58(s)	25.8
	$1.81 - 1.79 (m, H_{\beta})$		Me(27)	1.55(s)	17.3
CH(3)	3.50 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.0)	78.3	Me(28)	2.14 (s)	32.2
C(4)		40.0	Me(29)	1.30 (s)	17.4
CH(5)	1.38 - 1.36(m)	60.8	Me(30)	0.85 (s)	17.3
CH(6)	$4.71 \ (ddd, J = 12.0, 9.8, 3.0)$	74.2	Glc		
$CH_{2}(7)$	2.16 (dd , $J = 12.0, 3.0, H_a$),	46.0	CH(1')	5.23 (d, J = 6.8)	101.4 ^a)
	1.98 $(t, J = 10.0, H_{\beta})$		CH(2')	4.39 - 4.34(m)	79.3
C(8)		41.4	CH(3')	4.31 - 4.28(m)	78.3
CH(9)	1.54 - 1.52 (m)	59.6	CH(4')	4.26 - 4.23 (m)	72.4
C(10)		39.8	CH(5')	3.96 - 3.92 (m)	78.3
$CH_{2}(11)$	$2.14-2.11 (m, H_{a}),$	30.9	$CH_{2}(6')$	4.51 (dd , $J = 11.8$, 5.4, H_a),	62.9
	1.50-1.45 (overlapped)			4.36 (overlapped)	
CH(12)	4.22-4.15 (<i>m</i>)	70.3	Rha		
CH(13)	1.90 (d, J = 10.0)	49.2	CH(1")	6.52 (br. s)	102.1 ^a)
C(14)		51.5	CH(2")	4.81 (br. $d, J = 2.5$)	72.3
CH ₂ (15)	1.51-1.46 (overlapped),	30.9	CH(3")	4.70 - 4.68 (m)	72.5
	$1.05 - 1.01 \ (m, H_{\beta})$		CH(4")	4.37-4.35 (<i>m</i>)	74.2
CH ₂ (16)	1.79 (overlapped),	26.8	CH(5")	4.99-5.02(m)	69.5
	$1.30 - 1.27 \ (m, H_{\beta})$		Me(6")	1.79 (d, J = 6.5)	18.7
CH(17)	2.48 - 2.42 (m)	51.8	Glc		
Me(18)	1.22 (s)	17.9	CH(1''')	5.20 (d, J = 7.8)	98.3
Me(19)	1.01 (s)	17.7	CH(2"")	4.05 - 4.02 (m)	75.2
C(20)		83.4	CH(3''')	4.16-4.12 (<i>m</i>)	79.1
Me(21)	1.59 (s)	22.4	CH(4"")	4.23–4.19 (<i>m</i>)	71.6
CH ₂ (22)	$2.41 - 2.39 (m, H_{a}),$	36.1	CH(5"")	4.08 - 4.04 (m)	75.3
	1.84 (overlapped)		CH ₂ (6''')	4.99 (br. $d, J = 12.0, H_a$),	65.1
CH ₂ (23)	$2.51-2.47 (m, H_a),$	23.4		4.65 (br. $t, J = 12.0, H_{\beta}$)	
	2.23 (overlapped)		Ac	2.09 (s)	20.9, 170.9
^a) The assig	gnment of the ¹³ C-NMR data of	f C(1') an	d C(1") in 6"	'-O-acetylginsenoside Re was re	evised.

(H-C(1') of Glc) to $\delta(C)$ 74.2 (C(6)), from $\delta(H)$ 6.52 (H-C(1'') of Rha) to 79.3 (C(2')) of Glc), and from $\delta(H)$ 5.20 (H–C(1^{'''}) of Glc) to 83.4 (C(20)). Thus, the structure of **3** was elucidated as (20S)-3 β ,6 α ,12 β ,20,26-pentahydroxydammar-24-ene 6-O- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - β -D-glucopyranosyl-20-O-(6-O-acetyl)- β -D-glucopyranoside. Previously, Teng et al. had obtained this compound by the acylation of ginsenoside Re, which was catalyzed by Novozyme 435 using vinyl acetate as the acyl donor [24]. Tung et al. also isolated it from the flower-buds of P. ginseng steamed at 120° for 4 h under 0.15 MPa pressure [25]. These previous literature data suggest that compound **3** might be an artifact of the isolation process. To determine whether 3 was an artificial compound or natural product, two portions of ginsenoside Re (7; each 5 mg) were dissolved in MeOH/H2O/0.2% AcOH and MeOH/AcOEt/H2O (each 2 ml), respectively, and then heated at 75° for 24 h [26]. Subsequent analysis of the two reaction solutions by TLC and HPLC showed that no reaction had occurred. Thus, ginsenoside Re appears to be stable under moderate temperature and mild acidic condition, which suggested that 6'''-O-acetylginsenoside Re (3) should be a new genuine natural product. The isolation of many acylated glycosides from the title plant further confirmed this assumption [27] [28]. The assignment of the ¹³C-NMR data of C(1') and C(1") in 6"'-O-acetylginsenoside Re [24] was revised.

We are grateful to the members of the Analytical Group in the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, for recording all spectra. This work was supported by the *NSFC* U0632010, *Candidates of the Young Academic Leaders of Yunnan Province (M. X.)*, and 2011CB915503.

Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography (CC): Diaion HP-20SS (Mitsubishi Chemical Co.), MCI gel CHP-20P (75–150 µm; Mitsubishi Chemical Co.), RP-18 gel (40–63 µm; Merck), and silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Factory). TLC: SiO₂ Plates; detection by spraying with 10% H₂SO₄ reagents. Optical rotations: JASCO-20 polarimeter. IR Spectra: Bio-Rad-FTS-135 spectrometer; KBr pellets; $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ in cm⁻¹. 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra: Bruker-AM-400 and -DRX-500 spectrometers; δ in ppm rel. to Me₄Si as internal standard, J in Hz. MS: VG-Auto-Spec-3000 spectrometer with glycerol as matrix for FAB-MS; API-QSTAR-Pulsar-1 spectrometer for ESI-MS and HR-ESI-MS; in m/z.

Plant Material. The fresh rhizomes of *Panax japonicus* C. A. MEYER were collected from Gaoligong Mountain, Yunnan Province, China, in May of 2008, and identified by *C.-R. Y.* A voucher specimen (KUN 0567863) has been deposited with the Herbarium of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Extraction and Isolation. The fresh rhizomes of *Panax japonicus* (18.0 kg) were extracted with MeOH (3×101) at 60° for 6 h each time. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, a residue (2.1 kg) was obtained. A part of this residue (1.8 kg) was dissolved in H₂O (61), and then extracted successively with AcOEt (41×3) and BuOH (41×3). The BuOH extract (1.4 kg) was chromatographed on *Diaion HP-20SS* with H₂O/MeOH 1:0 \rightarrow 0:1 to give *Frs. 1–5. Fr. 1* (120 g) was subjected to CC (*MCI*-gel *CHP-20P*; H₂O/MeOH 1:0 \rightarrow 7:3; silica gel; CHCl₃/MeOH 9:1 \rightarrow 5:5; and *RP-18*; H₂O/MeOH 1:0 \rightarrow 7:3; silica gel; (CHCl₃/MeOH 9:1 \rightarrow 5:5); and *RP-18*; H₂O/MeOH 5:5 \rightarrow 0:1) to yield **2** (18 mg), **8** (12 mg), **12** (15 mg), **13** (2 mg), **14** (10 mg), and **15** (4 mg). Repeated CC (*MCI* gel *CHP-20P*; H₂O/MeOH 1:0 \rightarrow 7:3; silica gel; (CHCl₃/MeOH 9:1 \rightarrow 5:5); and *RP-18*; H₂O/MeOH 5:5 \rightarrow 0:1) afforded **1** (19 mg), **3** (25 mg), **7** (6.45 g), **9** (4.58 g), and **11** (12 mg) from *Fr.* 2 (51 g). *Fr.* 3 (30 g) was repeatedly purified by CC (*MCI*-gel *CHP-20P*; H₂O/MeOH 1:0 \rightarrow 7:3; silica gel; CHCl₃/MeOH 8:2 \rightarrow 5:5; and *RP-18*; H₂O/MeOH 5:5 \rightarrow 0:1) to yield **5** (4.54 g), **6** (5 mg), **16** (15 mg), **17** (10 mg), **18** (50 mg), **19** (13 mg), **20** (34 mg), **21** (19 mg), **22** (9 mg), **23** (7.22 g), and **24** (580 mg). Similarly, *Fr.* 4 (5.5 g) was subjected to CC (*MCI* gel *CHP-20P*; H₂O/MeOH 1:0 \rightarrow 3:7; *RP*-

18; H₂O/MeOH 5:5 \rightarrow 0:1, and silica gel; CHCl₃/MeOH 8.5:1.5 \rightarrow 6:4) to give **25** (115 mg) and **26** (30 mg). Compounds **4** (12 mg) and **10** (20 mg) were obtained from *Fr.* 5 (3.0 g) by repeated CC (*MCI*-gel *CHP-20P*; H₂O/MeOH 1:0 \rightarrow 7:3; silica gel; CHCl₃/MeOH 9:1 \rightarrow 5:5; and *RP-18*; H₂O/MeOH 5:5 \rightarrow 0:1).

The AcOEt extract (25 g) was subjected to CC (*MCI* gel *CHP-20P*; H₂O/MeOH 1:0 \rightarrow 1:0) to give five fractions, *Frs. E1 – E5. Fr. E1* (12 g) was further purified by CC (silica gel; CHCl₃/MeOH 20:1 \rightarrow 7:3; and *RP-18*; H₂O/MeOH 5:5 \rightarrow 0:1) to afford **27** (19 mg) and **28** (18 mg).

Yesanchinoside R_1 (= (3 β ,5 α ,6 α ,12 β ,17 β)-17-[(1S,4Z)-1,6-Dihydroxy-1,5-dimethylhex-4-en-1-yl]-3,12-dihydroxy-8,10,14-trimethylgonan-6-yl β -D-Glucopyranoside; **1**). White amorphous powder. [α]_D²⁷ = +12.6 (c = 0.10, MeOH). IR: 3418, 2964, 2876, 1638, 1460, 1377, 1077, 1029. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: Table 1. ESI-MS (pos.): 677 ([M + Na]⁺). HR-ESI-MS (pos.): 677.4240 ([M + Na]⁺, C₃₆H₆₂NaO⁺₁₀; calc. 677.4240).

Yesanchinoside R_2 (= (3 β ,5 α ,6 α ,12 β ,17 β)-17-[(1S,4Z)-1,6-Dihydroxy-1,5-dimethylhex-4-en-1-yl]-3,12-dihydroxy-8,10,14-trimethylgonan-6-yl 2-O- β -D-Xylopyranosyl- β -D-glucopyranoside; **2**). White amorphous powder. [α]₂₇²⁷ = -4.92 (c = 0.11, MeOH). IR: 3419, 2942, 2879, 1738, 1640, 1455, 1388, 1077.¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Table 1*. ESI-MS (pos.): 809 ([M + Na]⁺). HR-ESI-MS (pos.): 809.4680 ([M + Na]⁺, C₄₁H₇₀NaO⁺₁₄; calc. 809.4663).

6^{'''}-O-Acetylginsenoside Re (=(3β,6α,12β)-20-[(6-O-Acetyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)oxy]-3,12-dihydroxydammar-24-en-6-yl 2-O-(6-Deoxy-α-L-mannopyranosyl)-β-D-glucopyranoside; **3**). White amorphous powder. [α]_D^T = -4.8 (c = 0.14, MeOH). IR: 3422, 2933, 2878, 1742, 1647, 1457, 1371, 1043. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Table* 2. ESI-MS (pos.): 1011 ([M+Na]⁺). HR-ESI-MS (pos.): 1011.5515 ([M+Na]⁺, C₅₀H₈₄NaO₁₉; calc. 1011.5504).

Assay for Determining whether **3** Was an Artifact or Natural Product. Two portions of ginsenoside Re (**7**; each 5 mg) were dissolved in MeOH/H₂O/0.2% AcOH and MeOH/AcOEt/H₂O (each 2 ml), resp., and then, heated at 75° for 24 h. The reaction process was monitored by TLC and HPLC [26].

Acid Hydrolysis of Compounds 1–3. Compounds 1–3 (each 4.0 mg) were hydrolyzed with 2N HCl/ 1,4-dioxane 1:1 (4 ml) at 80° for 8 h. The mixture was extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 4 ml). The aq. layer was neutralized with Amberlite IRA-401, and the resin was removed by filtration. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness, and the resulting monosaccharides were dissolved in pyridine (2 ml). Then, L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride (1.5 mg) was added. After heating at 60° for 1 h, 1-(trimethylsilyl)-1*H*-imidazole (1.5 ml) was added. The mixture was heated at 60° for another 30 min. An aliquot (4 µl) of the supernatant was removed and directly subjected to GC analysis: column temp., 180–280° at 3 deg/min; carrier gas, N₂ (1 ml/min), injector and detector temp., 250°; split ratio, 1:50. The configurations of monosaccharides were determined by comparison of the retentions times (t_R values) of the corresponding derivatives with those of authentic samples. The GC (t_R values) of the D-glucose, D-xylose, and Lrhamnose derivatives were 19.450, 14.283, and 15.912 min, resp.

REFERENCES

- [1] Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, 'Zhong Yao Zhi (The Traditional Chinese Medicines)', People's Medical Publishing House, Beijing, 1979, p. 17.
- [2] L.-K. Han, Y.-N. Zheng, M. Yoshikawa, H. Okuda, Y. Kimura, BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 2005, 5, 9.
- [3] Y.-G. Li, D.-F. Ji, S. Zhong, L.-G. Shi, G.-Y. Hu, S. Chen, Alcohol Alcohol. 2010, 45, 320.
- [4] N. Kondo, K. Aoki, H. Ogawa, R. Kasai, J. Shoji, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1970, 18, 1558.
- [5] T. Morita, O. Tanaka, H. Kohda, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 3852.
- [6] S. Yahara, K. Kaji, O. Tanaka, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1979, 27, 88.
- [7] K. Yoshikawa, S. Arihara, K. Matsuura, T. Miyase, Phytochemistry 1992, 31, 237.
- [8] S. Sanada, N. Kondo, J. Shoji, O. Tanaka, S. Shibata, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1974, 22, 2407.
- [9] S. Sanada, N. Kondo, J. Shoji, O. Tanaka, S. Shibata, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1974, 22, 421.
- [10] J. Zhou, M.-Z. Wu, S. Taniyasu, H. Besso, O. Tanaka, Y. Saruwatari, T. Fuwa, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1981, 29, 2844.

2018

- [11] M. Yoshikawa, T. Murakami, T. Ueno, N. Hirokawa, K. Yashiro, N. Murakami, J. Yamahara, H. Matsuda, R. Saijoh, O. Tanaka, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1997**, 45, 1056.
- [12] H. Besso, R. Kasai, J. Wei, J.-F. Wang, Y.-i. Saruwatari, T. Fuwa, O. Tanaka, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1982, 30, 4534.
- [13] H. W. Deng, Y. Y. Guan, C. Y. Kwan, Biochem. Arch. 1990, 6, 359.
- [14] S. Marquina, N. Maldonado, M. L. Garduño-Ramírez, E. Aranda, M. L. Villarreal, V. Navarro, R. Bye, G. Delgado, L. Alvarez, *Phytochemistry* 2001, 56, 93.
- [15] S. Shimizu, N. Ishihara, K. Umehara, T. Miyase, A. Ueno, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1988, 36, 2466.
- [16] N. I. Uvarova, V. V. Makhan'kova, G. V. Malinovskaya, N. F. Samoshina, L. N. Atopkina, G. N. Likhatskaya, N. Yu. Kim, M. M. Anisimov, G. B. Elyakov, *Pharm. Chem. J.* 2000, 34, 19.
- [17] S.-S. Yu, D.-Q. Yu, X.-T. Liang, J. Nat. Prod. 1994, 57, 978.
- [18] R.-L. Nie, T. Morita, R. Kasai, J. Zhou, C.-Y. Wu, O. Tanaka, Planta Med. 1984, 50, 322.
- [19] S. Paphassarang, J. Raynaud, M. Lussignol, M. Becchi, J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 239.
- [20] V. S. Strigunov, V. I. Grishkovets, A. S. Shashkov, V. V. Kachala, N. V. Tolkacheva, Chem. Nat. Compd. 2004, 40, 35.
- [21] T. Sekine, H. Kurihara, M. Waku, F. Ikegami, N. Ruangrungsi, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2002, 50, 645.
- [22] S. Imai, S. Fujioka, K. Nakanishi, M. Koreeda, T. Kurokawa, Steroids 1967, 10, 557.
- [23] N. H. Tung, G. Y. Song, Y. J. Park, Y. H. Kim, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2009, 57, 1412.
- [24] R. Teng, C. Ang, D. McManus, D. Armstrong, S. Mau, A. Bacic, Helv. Chim. Acta 2004, 87, 1860.
- [25] N. H. Tung, K. Cho, J. A. Kim, G. Y. Song, Y. H. Kim, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2010, 31, 1381.
- [26] S. Yin, C. Cullinane, A. R. Carroll, R. J. Quinn, R. A. Davis, Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 3403.
- [27] K. Zou, S. Zhu, C. Tohda, S. Cai, K. Komatsu, J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 346.
- [28] K. Zou, S. Zhu, M. R. Meselhy, C. Tohda, S. Cai, K. Komatsu, J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 1288.

Received February 24, 2011