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ABSTRACT


Three unprecedented indole alkaloids, trigonolimines $A-C(1-3)$ with a unique polycyclic system, were isolated from the leaves of Trigonostemon lii Y. T. Chang. The structures of $1-3$ were determined by the spectroscopic, computational, and CD exciton chirality approaches. Trigonoliimine A showed modest anti-HIV-1 activity ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.95 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{TI}=7.9$ ).

The genus Trigonostemon (Euphorbiaceae) comprising ca. 50 species is widely distributed in India, Malaysia, and middle Asia. ${ }^{1}$ Previous chemical investigations on this genus have involved an array of structurally interesting compounds such as modified daphnane-type diterpenoids, ${ }^{2}$ alkaloids, ${ }^{3}$

[^0]diterpenoids, and phenanthrenes. ${ }^{4}$ In this study, three novel indole alkaloids, trigonoliimines $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3})$, with unprecedented polycyclic skeletons, were isolated from the extract of the leaves of Trigonostemon. lii Y. T. Chang collected in Yunnan Province of China. Here, we report the isolation, structural elucidation, and anti-HIV-1 activity of compounds 1-3.

The powdered leaves $(12.0 \mathrm{~kg})$ of $T$. lii were percolated three times with $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ to give a crude extract ( 1.0 kg ). The extract was suspended in 1.5 L of water and then partitioned with petroleum ether, EtOAc, and $n$ - BuOH

[^1]successively. The $n$-BuOH-soluble fraction ( 250 g ) was subjected to RP-18 column eluted with $10 \%-100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$. The $70 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ fraction was further chromatographed over Sephadex LH-20 gel, followed by semipreparative HPLC [ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (containing $0.1 \% ~ E t_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 70/30] to give trigonoliimines A (1, 5.3 mg), B (2, 3.1 mg), and C (3, 15.7 mg ).


Trigonoliimine $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1})^{5}$ was obtained as a light yellow gum. The molecular formula of $\mathbf{1}$ was established as $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ by positive HRESIMS data ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 357.1709[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd 357.1715), with 15 degrees of unsaturation. The UV absorptions at 205 and 306 nm suggested the indole moiety, whereas the IR spectrum showed bands at 3425 and 1627 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, suggesting the presence of NH and imine functionalities, respectively. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1)

Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data of Trigonoliimine A (1) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data of Trigonoliimine B (2)

| no. | 1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2} \\ \delta_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{c} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{a}$ | $\delta_{H}{ }^{b}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{c}$ |  |
| $1(N H)$ | - | 11.50 (s) | - | - |
| 2 | 127.9 | - | 126.5 | 125.1 |
| 3 | 115.6 | - | 117.4 | 117.7 |
| 4 | 119.1 | 7.44 (d, 7.5) | 119.0 | 119.3 |
| 5 | 119.1 | 6.99 (t,7.5) | 119.6 | 110.0 |
| 6 | 123.4 | 7.15 (t, 7.5) | 124.3 | 157.6 |
| 7 | 111.7 | 7.32 (d,7.5) | 111.4 | 93.4 |
| 8 | 136.5 | - | 136.8 | 137.4 |
| 9 | 127.1 | - | 127.2 | 121.0 |
| $10 \alpha$ | 29.1 | 3.06 (m) | 29.4 | 29.0 |
| $10 \beta$ |  | 2.95 (m) |  |  |
| $11 \alpha$ | 46.6 | 4.00 (br d, 14.5) | 47.9 | 47.5 |
| $11 \beta$ |  | 3.74 (t, 12.5) |  |  |
| 14 | 143.0 | - | 141.0 | 139.6 |
| 15 | 109.2 | 6.55 (overlapped) | 108.6 | 123.4 |
| 16 | 159.6 | - | 160.1 | 128.2 |
| 17 | 110.3 | 6.54 (overlapped) | 111.4 | 124.8 |
| 18 | 123.2 | 6.53 (overlapped) | 123.2 | 121.8 |
| 19 | 115.0 | - | 113.7 | 121.3 |
| 20 | 76.5 | - | 77.2 | 76.5 |
| $21 \alpha$ | 40.6 | 2.05 (m) | 40.4 | 39.8 |
| $21 \beta$ |  | 2.14 (m) |  |  |
| $22 \alpha$ | 56.2 | 3.55 (m) | 56.0 | 55.2 |
| $22 \beta$ |  | 4.10 (dd, 8.5, 16.0) |  |  |
| 24 | 166.4 | - | 167.4 | 166.6 |
| 25 | 150.2 | 7.48 (s) | 149.9 | 149.0 |
| OMe | 55.1 | 3.65 (3H, s) | 55.0 | 54.6 |

${ }^{a}$ Measured in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $125 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{b}$ Measured in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at 500 $\mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{c}$ Measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(3: 1)$ at 125 MHz .
indicated the presence of a methoxyl group ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.65$ ) and one 1,2 -disubstituted aromatic ring [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H-4), $6.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6)$ and $7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-7)]$. Proton resonance at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 11.50$ was attributable to an indolic nitrogen proton. Two sets of units $N \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in the high field of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR were deduced from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY and HSQC spectra. These deductions were further confirmed by the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, which also revealed an additional one benzene moiety, two imine groups, a fully substituted double bond, as well as one $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ quaternary carbon. The aforementioned groups represented nine degrees of unsaturation. Thus, there must be six rings in the structure.


Figure 1. Partial fragments ( $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{1 c}$ ), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{COSY}(-)$, key HMBC $(\rightarrow)$ correlations of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, and key ROESY $(\leftarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow)$ correlation of $\mathbf{1}$.

Comprehensive analysis of the 1D and 2D NMR spectra, especially HMBC (Figure 1), elucidated that compound $\mathbf{1}$ featured a unique ring system. A detailed account of the structural elucidation of $\mathbf{1}$ is presented below. The observed HMBC correlations of the indolic nitrogen proton $(\mathrm{NH}-1)$ to C-2, C-3, C-8, and C-9, H-4 to C-3 and C-8, and H-7 to C-9 were consistent with a 2,3 -disubstituted indole moiety. In addition, one of the ethanamine fragments attached to C-3 was confirmed by the HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}_{2}-10$ to $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-11 \beta$ to $\mathrm{C}-3$. The above data established the partial structure ( $\mathbf{1 a}$, Figure 1).

Moiety $\mathbf{1 b}$ contained the $1,2,4$-trisubstituted benzene ring fragment, the imine group, and the methoxyl group. HMBC correlations (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ (3:1), Supporting Information) of $\mathrm{H}-15$ and $\mathrm{H}-18$ to $\mathrm{C}-14\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 143.0\right)$ and of $\mathrm{H}-17$ to C -19 indicated that the imine group attached at $\mathrm{C}-14$ by the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. The ROESY correlations (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ (3: 1), Supporting Information) of $\mathrm{H}-15$ with the $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ indicated that the methoxy group was linked at C-16.
The ring F (fragment 1c, Figure 1) consisting of the $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ moiety (C-21-C-22), C-20, C-24, and N-23 was

[^2]really established by the HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}_{2}-21$ to $\mathrm{C}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-21 \alpha$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}-22$ to C-24, respectively. Furthermore, the key HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-25$ and $\mathrm{H}-11 \alpha$ to $\mathrm{C}-20, \mathrm{H}-25$ to $\mathrm{C}-11$, and $\mathrm{H}-11 \beta$ to $\mathrm{C}-25$ supported the connectivity of $\mathrm{C}-20$ to the two moieties $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ through heteroatom $N-12$. HMBC crosspeaks of $\mathrm{H}_{2}-21$ to $\mathrm{C}-19$ and $\mathrm{H}-18$ to $\mathrm{C}-20$ further connected $\mathrm{C}-19$ to $\mathrm{C}-20$, and the remaining $\mathrm{C}-2$ therefore must be attached to $\mathrm{C}-24$ forming an azepane agreement with the molecular weight. Thus, the gross structure of trigonoliimine A was unambiguously assigned as $\mathbf{1}$ with an unusual fused-hexacyclic ring system (two five-, three six-, and one seven-membered rings).
To determine its absolute configuation, chemical computation was employed. First, A SPARTAN 08 search of conformations of compound $\mathbf{1}$ was performed, which identified two stable conformations, I and II, only with different rotated angle of the $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ around the $\mathrm{C}-16-\mathrm{O}-1^{\prime}$ single bond. Reoptimization of the structure of the stable conformations was carried out at HF/6-31G* and then B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ levels in Gaussian 03. Harmonic vibrational frequencies of each conformation were then calculated using B3LYP/6-31G (2d, p) to confirm their stability. Then, the potential energy surface (PES) was scanned, which started from the optimized geometry of conformation I and varied the dihedral angle $\mathrm{C} 15 \mathrm{C} 16 \mathrm{O} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. The results exhibited only two minima and suggested that the occurrence of the comparatively rapid interconversion of I and II was a logical process in solution at room temperature. Relative and free energy and equilibrium populations at room temperature of the two conformations were further calculated and listed in Table 2. Lastly, the "self-consistent reaction field" method (SCRF) was employed to perform the OR calculation of the two major conformers of compound $\mathbf{1}$ in chloroform at the B3LYP-SCRF/6-311++G (d, 2p) level. ${ }^{6}$ As shown in Table 2 , the computed optical rotation value $(+18.1)$ of $\mathbf{1}$ is matched up to the experimental value $(+13.3)$ for the $S$ enantiomer, thus tentatively assigning the $20 S$ configuration.

Table 2. Computed Energy, Populations, and Optical Rotation for Trigonoliimine A (1)

|  | Trigonolimine A |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| conformation | $\mathrm{I}(1 S)$ | II $(2 S)$ | exptl |
| relative energy $\left[\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.00 | 0.12 |  |
| equilibrium populations $(\%)$ | 53.4 | 46.6 |  |
| $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}$ | -23.88 | +62.24 |  |
| sum of $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}$ |  | +18.11 | +13.3 |

The molecular formula of $\mathbf{2}^{7}$ was indicated to be $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ by positive HRESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 357.1718[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, (calcd: 357.1715)), which was the same as that of $\mathbf{1}$. Comparison of the 1D NMR spectropic data of $\mathbf{2}$ with that
(6) (a) Cheeseman, J. R.; Frisch, M. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Stephens, P. J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 1039-1046. (b) Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Frisch, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2001, 105, 5356-5371. (c) Stephens, P. J.; Pan, J. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Cheeseman, J. R. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 285-288.

Table 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ) Data of Trigonoliimine C (3)

| no. | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{a}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{a}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1(\mathrm{NH})$ | - | 10.64 (s) | - |
| 2 | 131.8 | - | 131.4 |
| 3 | 108.8 | - | 110.3 |
| 4 | 117.8 | 7.41 (d, 7.5) | 118.5 |
| 5 | 118.6 | 6.98 (t, 7.5) | 119.7 |
| 6 | 121.6 | 7.08 (t, 7.5) | 122.7 |
| 7 | 110.9 | 7.36 (d, 7.5) | 111.5 |
| 8 | 134.8 | - | 136.3 |
| 9 | 127.9 | - | 129.2 |
| $10 \alpha$ | 23.3 | 3.05 (br d, 11.0) | 24.3 |
| $10 \beta$ |  | 2.80 (t, 11.0) |  |
| $11 \alpha$ | 46.5 | 4.24 (t, 12.0) | 47.5 |
| $11 \beta$ |  | 3.99 (br d, 12.0) |  |
| 13 (NH) | - | 6.83 (br s) | - |
| 14 | 66.4 | - | 68.1 |
| 15 | 170.3 | - | 174.9 |
| 16 | 116.4 | - | 116.5 |
| 17 | 123.6 | 7.34 (d, 8.0) | 125.2 |
| 18 | 105.7 | 6.26 (dd, 2.5, 8.0) | 108.4 |
| 19 | 164.3 | - | 166.8 |
| 20 | 94.0 | 6.27 (d, 2.5) | 95.3 |
| 21 | 156.8 | - | 159.0 |
| $22 \alpha$ | 39.5 | 2.29 (m) | 40.2 |
| $22 \beta$ |  | 2.51 (m) |  |
| 23 | 33.6 | 3.14 (2H, m) | 34.9 |
| $24(\mathrm{NH})$ | - | 7.99 (br s) | - |
| 25 | 161.1 | 7.93 (s) | 163.4 |
| OMe | 55.3 | 3.77 (s) | 55.8 |

${ }^{a}$ Measured in DMSO- $d_{6} \cdot{ }^{b}$ Measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(3: 1)$.
of 1 showed a resemblence. All these suggested that 2 should be an isomer of 1, which was further confirmed by 2D NMR expriments. Specifically, the HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-7$ to $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-9, \mathrm{H}-5$ to $\mathrm{C}-7$ and $\mathrm{C}-9$, and $\mathrm{H}-4$ to $\mathrm{C}-6$ indicated that the OMe group was linked to C-6, as shown in Figure 1.
Trigonoliimine $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{3})^{8}$ was isolated as a light yellow gum. The positive HRESIMS at $m / z 375.1813[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$gave molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (calcd: 375.1821 ), indicating 14 degrees of unsaturation. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound 3 (Table 3 ) showed 22 well-resolved resonances, including $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbons ( 1 quaternary carbon, 4 methylenes, and 1 methoxyl) and $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbons ( 8 methines and 8 quaternary carbons). Among them, the carbon resonances at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.3$ and 161.1 were assigned to imine and formamide functionalities, respectively. In its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, only 19 protons showed HSQC correlations to these carbons.

[^3]Besides, the remaining three $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.64\right.$ (s, NH-1); 6.83 (br $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}-13$ ); and 7.99 (br s, $N \mathrm{H}-24$ )] were assigned to exchangable protons. 1D NMR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY further revealed signals for one 1,2-disubstituted aromatic ring, one 1,2,4-trisubstitued benzene ring, and two $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ moieties. Apart from nine degrees of unsaturation occupied by $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbons, the remaining five degrees of unsaturation required 3 to have a pentacyclic core ring system.

Interpretation of two-dimensional (2D) NMR data led to three partial moieties $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$. Unit a contained a 2,3-disubstituted 1 H -indole ring on the basis of HMBC cross peaks of the exchangable proton at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.64(\mathrm{NH}-1)$ to $\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-8$, and $\mathrm{C}-9, \mathrm{H}-4$ to $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{C}-8$, and $\mathrm{H}-7$ to $\mathrm{C}-9$ (3a, Figure 2). In addition, the $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ fragment attached to $\mathrm{C}-3$ was confirmed by the HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}_{2}-10$ to $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$. The HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{NH}-13$ to $\mathrm{C}-14, \mathrm{C}-15$ and $\mathrm{C}-16, \mathrm{H}-20$ to $\mathrm{C}-16$ and $\mathrm{C}-21$, and $\mathrm{H}-17$ to $\mathrm{C}-15$ confirmed the unit $\mathbf{b}$ ( $\mathbf{3 b}$, Figure 2). The unit $\mathbf{c}$, consisting of another $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ moiety and the formamide group, was assigned as the $N$-ethylformamide fragment (3c, Figure 2) by the observed HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{NH}-24$ to $\mathrm{C}-25$ and $\mathrm{H}-25$ to C-23.

The connectivity of these units was further indicated by HMBC expriments. The three-bond correlations from $\mathrm{H}-11 \alpha$ and $\mathrm{H}-17$ to $\mathrm{C}-15$ indicated the connection between fragments $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$. The connectivity of fragments $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ with $\mathbf{3 c}$ was confirmed by the HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-22 \beta$ to $\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{H}_{2}-22$ and $\mathrm{NH}-13$ to $\mathrm{C}-14$, which also permit the seven-membered imine-containing rings. Thus, the gross structure of $\mathbf{3}$ was established as shown.


Figure 2. Partial fragments (3a-3c), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{COSY}(-)$, and key HMBC $(\rightarrow)$ correlations of $\mathbf{3}$.

The CD spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ exhibited significantly positive cotton effects in $220-230 \mathrm{~nm}$ resulting from the exciton
coupling between the two different chromophores of the indole moiety and the 6-methoxyindolin-3-imine moiety, ${ }^{9}$ indicating that the transition dipole monents of the two chromophores were oriented in a clockwise manner (Figure 3). So, the absolute configuration of $\mathbf{3}$ was assigned as $14 R$.


Figure 3. CD spectra of $\mathbf{3}$. Bold lines denote the electric transition dipole of the chromophores for $\mathbf{3}$.

The anti-HIV-1 activity of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ was tested by a microtiter syncytium formation infectivity assay, with AZT $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{TI}=59924\right)$ as a positive control. ${ }^{10}$ Compound 1 showed modest anti-HIV-1 activity $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=\right.$ $0.95 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{TI}=7.9$ ).
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