

5,11,11-Trimethyl-16-oxatetracyclo[6.6.2.0^{1,10}.0^{2,7}]-hexadeca-2,4,6-trien-4-ol

Ning-Bo Gong,^a Yang Lu,^{a*}
 Qi-Tai Zheng,^a Gang Xu^b and
 Qin-Shi Zhao^b

^aInstitute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, 1 Xiannong Tan Street, Beijing 100050, People's Republic of China, and ^bState Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, Yunnan, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: luy@imm.ac.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study

$T = 295\text{ K}$

Mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.008\text{ \AA}$

R factor = 0.084

wR factor = 0.155


Data-to-parameter ratio = 9.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see <http://journals.iucr.org/e>.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_2$, one of the cyclohexane rings adopts a chair conformation and the other adopts a boat conformation. Molecules are linked by intermolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds, forming ribbons along the b axis.

Comment

Savia przewalskii Maxim is a species endemic to northwestern China which has been used as a substitute in Chinese folk medicine for 'Tan-Shen' (*S. miltiorrhiza*). The title compound, (I), was first acquired from the ethereal part of *S. przewalskii*, and its structure was elucidated mainly on the basis of two-dimensional NMR studies (Li *et al.*, 1991). In our case, the title compound, (I), przewalskin, was obtained from *S. yunnanensis*, and the unambiguous crystal structure is reported here for the first time.



The structure of the title compound (I) is presented in Fig. 1. Bond lengths and angles in (I) are consistent with normal values (Allen *et al.*, 1987). The *A* (C1–C5/C10) and *B* (C5–C10) cyclohexane rings adopt chair [$Q_T = 0.520(7)\text{ \AA}$, $\theta = 10.2(8)^\circ$ and $\varphi = 97(4)^\circ$ (Cremer & Pople, 1975)] and boat conformations [$Q_T = 0.820(6)\text{ \AA}$, $\theta = 87.8(4)^\circ$ and $\varphi = 304.7(4)^\circ$], respectively.


In the structure, molecules of (I) pack in ribbons along the b axis, linked by intermolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1). The presence of a number of intermolecular hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces is responsible for the stability of the structure.

Experimental


The dried and powdered roots (4.7 kg) of *S. yunnanensis* were extracted with Me_2CO ($3 \times 25\text{ l}$) at room temperature. The solvent

Received 20 June 2006

Accepted 27 June 2006

**Figure 1**

View of the molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

**Figure 2**

The molecular packing of (I), viewed down the *a* axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

was removed under vacuum. The gummy residue (200 g) was subjected to column chromatography (12 × 150 cm) over DM-130 porous resin and eluted with MeOH–H₂O (50 and 90%). The residue of the 90% MeOH–H₂O fraction was partitioned between H₂O (2.5 l) and EtOAc (2.5 l). The EtOAc part (65 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (9 × 120 cm). Mixtures of petroleum ether/EtOAc (1:0, 9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, 5:5, and 0:1, each 5 l) of increasing polarity were used as eluants. Seven fractions were collected and combined by monitoring with thin-layer chromatography. The fifth fraction (9 g) was repeatedly chromatographed over RP-18 (7 ×

80 cm) using MeOH–H₂O (8:2, 8:1) to give the crude przewalskin, which was purified with Sephadex LH-20 (2 × 150 cm) (CH₃OH–CHCl₃, 1:1, 0.5 l) to give pure przewalskin (50 mg). Crystals suitable for data collection were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution at 283 K over a period of 10 d.

Crystal data

C₁₈H₂₄O₂
 $M_r = 272.37$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1$
 $a = 6.1900$ (12) Å
 $b = 10.979$ (2) Å
 $c = 11.622$ (2) Å
 $\beta = 104.88$ (3)°
 $V = 763.3$ (3) Å³

$Z = 2$
 $D_x = 1.185$ Mg m^{−3}
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.08$ mm^{−1}
 $T = 295$ (2) K
 Block, colourless
 0.60 × 0.40 × 0.20 mm

Data collection

MAC DIP-2030K diffractometer
 ω scans
 Absorption correction: none
 4631 measured reflections

1772 independent reflections
 1770 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.045$
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.4^\circ$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.084$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.155$
 $S = 1.16$
 1772 reflections
 182 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0114P)^2 + 0.9069P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.21$ e Å^{−3}
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.21$ e Å^{−3}
 Extinction correction: *SHELXL97*
 Extinction coefficient: 0.198 (11)

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>
O2—H2A...O1 ⁱ	0.82	1.93	2.750 (6)	174

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 2$.

In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Friedel pairs were merged. The methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry, with C—H distances of 0.96 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. The hydroxyl H atom was constrained to an ideal geometry with O—H distances of 0.82 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. All other H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with C—H distances in the range 0.92–0.98 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$.

Data collection: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXL97* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We acknowledge the financial support of the International Centre for Diffraction Data, Pennsylvania, USA.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. S1–19.
 Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **97**, 1354–1358.
 Li, B., Niu, F. D., Lin, Z. W., Zhang, H. J., Wang, D. Z. & Sun, H. D. (1991). *Phytochemistry*, **30**, 3815–3817.

Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.