

Planta Medica

Journal of Medicinal Plant and Natural Product Research

Editor-in-Chief

Luc Pieters, Antwerp, Belgium

Senior Editor

Adolf Nahrstedt, Münster, Germany

Review Editor

Matthias Hamburger, Basel, Switzerland

Editors

Wolfgang Barz, Münster, Germany
 Rudolf Bauer, Graz, Austria
 Veronika Butterweck, Gainesville FL, USA
 João Batista Calixto, Florianopolis, Brazil
 Thomas Efferth, Mainz, Germany
 Jerzy W. Jaroszewski, Copenhagen, Denmark
 Ikhlas Khan, Oxford MS, USA
 Wolfgang Kreis, Erlangen, Germany
 Irmgard Merfort, Freiburg, Germany
 Kurt Schmidt, Graz, Austria
 Thomas Simmet, Ulm, Germany
 Hermann Stuppner, Innsbruck, Austria
 Yang-Chang Wu, Taichung, Taiwan
 Yang Ye, Shanghai, China

Editorial Offices

Claudia Schärer, Basel, Switzerland
 Tess De Bruyne, Antwerp, Belgium

Advisory Board

Giovanni Appendino, Novara, Italy
 John T. Arnason, Ottawa, Canada
 Yoshinori Asakawa, Tokushima, Japan
 Lars Bohlin, Uppsala, Sweden
 Gerhard Bringmann, Würzburg, Germany
 Reto Brun, Basel, Switzerland
 Mark S. Butler, S. Lucia, Australia
 Ihsan Calis, Ankara, Turkey
 Salvador Cañigueral, Barcelona, Spain
 Hartmut Derendorf, Gainesville, USA
 Verena Dirsch, Vienna, Austria
 Jürgen Drewe, Basel, Switzerland
 Roberto Maffei Facino, Milan, Italy
 Alfonso Garcia-Piñeres, Frederick MD, USA
 Rolf Gebhardt, Leipzig, Germany
 Clarissa Gerhäuser, Heidelberg, Germany
 Jürg Gertsch, Zürich, Switzerland
 Simon Gibbons, London, UK
 De-An Guo, Shanghai, China
 Leslie Gunatilaka, Tucson, USA
 Solomon Habtemariam, London, UK
 Andreas Hensel, Münster, Germany
 Werner Herz, Tallahassee, USA
 Kurt Hostettmann, Geneva, Switzerland
 Peter J. Houghton, London, UK
 Jinwoong Kim, Seoul, Korea
 Gabriele M. König, Bonn, Germany
 Ulrich Matern, Marburg, Germany
 Matthias Melzig, Berlin, Germany
 Dulcie Mulholland, Guildford, UK
 Eduardo Munoz, Cordoba, Spain
 Kirs-Maria Oksman-Caldentey, Espoo, Finland
 Ana Maria de Oliveira, São Paulo, Brazil
 Nigel B. Perry, Dunedin, New Zealand
 Joseph Pfeilschifter, Frankfurt, Germany
 Peter Proksch, Düsseldorf, Germany
 Thomas Schmidt, Münster, Germany
 Volker Schulz, Berlin, Germany
 Hans-Uwe Simon, Bern, Switzerland
 Leandros Skaltsounis, Athens, Greece
 Han-Dong Sun, Kunming, China
 Benny K. H. Tan, Singapore, R. of Singapore
 Ren Xiang Tan, Nanjing, China
 Deniz Tasdemir, London, UK
 Nunziatina de Tommasi, Salerno, Italy
 Arnold Vlietinck, Antwerp, Belgium
 Angelika M. Vollmar, München, Germany
 Heikki Vuorela, Helsinki, Finland
 Jean-Luc Wolfender, Geneva, Switzerland
 De-Quan Yu, Beijing, China

Publishers

Georg Thieme Verlag KG
Stuttgart · New York
 Rüdigerstraße 14
 D-70469 Stuttgart
 Postfach 30 11 20
 D-70451 Stuttgart

Thieme Publishers
 333 Seventh Avenue
 New York, NY 10001, USA
 www.thieme.com

Reprint

© Georg Thieme Verlag KG
 Stuttgart · New York
 Reprint with the permission
 of the publishers only

Detection of *Valeriana jatamansi* as an Adulterant of Medicinal *Paris* by Length Variation of Chloroplast *psbA-trnH* Region

Authors

Ying Yang^{1,2}, Yanhong Zhai^{1,2}, Tao Liu³, Fangming Zhang^{1,2}, Yunheng Ji¹

Affiliations

¹ Key Laboratory of Biodiversity and Biogeography, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, P. R. China

² Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, P. R. China

³ Faculty of Agronomy and Biotechnology, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, P. R. China

Key words

- *Paris polyphylla* var. *chinensis*
- *Paris polyphylla* var. *yunnanensis*
- Melanthiaceae
- *Valeriana jatamansi*
- Valerianaceae
- molecular authentication
- *psbA-trnH*

Abstract

Two varieties of *Paris polyphylla* Smith (Melanthiaceae), *P. polyphylla* Smith var. *chinensis* (Franch.) Hara, and *P. polyphylla* Smith var. *yunnanensis* (Franch.) Hand.-Mazz., are used as medicinal *Paris* in China. Their dried rhizomes are the major source of raw material for some medicines. In recent years, medicinal *Paris* has been found to be adulterated with *Valeriana jatamansi* Jones (Valerianaceae) due to its high market demand and natural resource deficiency. After the chloroplast *psbA-trnH* regions of medicinal *Paris* and *V. jatamansi* were sequenced and analyzed, it was found that their characteristic sizes were > 1000

and around 250 bp, respectively. Based on length variation, medicinal *Paris* and the mixed adulterant were detected and distinguished from each other by amplification and electrophoresis. The amount of *V. jatamansi* that can be identified as an adulterant of medicinal *Paris* was also investigated. A trace amount (1 : 1000) of the adulterant was detected in the sensitivity tests. The established method has been proven to be sensitive and reliable.

Supporting information available online at <http://www.thieme-connect.de/ejournals/toc/plantamedica>

Introduction

The genus *Paris* belongs to the family Melanthiaceae which comprises 24 species of perennial herbs distributed throughout Europe and Eastern Asia. Twenty-two species are found in Eastern Asia only, mainly in China (19 species), with the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau as the center of diversity [1, 2]. *Paris* is well-known in China for its medicinal values. Species with thick rhizomes are used as traditional Chinese medicines or medicinal herbs because of their antitumoral, hemostatic, and anti-inflammatory properties among others [3, 4]. Two varieties of *Paris polyphylla*, *P. polyphylla* Smith var. *chinensis* (Franch.) Hara and *P. polyphylla* Smith var. *yunnanensis* (Franch.) Hand.-Mazz., are the botanical origins of medicinal *Paris* [5]. The dried rhizomes of *Paris* species are commonly used as a major source of raw material for some traditional Chinese medicines, e.g., “Yunnan Baiyao,” which is well-known for its analgesic and hemostatic uses [3, 5]. The cultivation of medicinal *Paris* began in the 1990s, but there are still some technical bottlenecks involved [4, 6]. Pharmaceutical companies producing *Paris*-

based products have to purchase raw materials collected from the wild. Furthermore, the natural growth and propagation of medicinal *Paris* are very slow, and most of their natural habitats have been destroyed by human activities. Due to its high market demand, the natural resource of medicinal *Paris* has been nearly exhausted by overharvesting, resulting in a dramatic increase in its price in recent years [4]. To make more profits, local collectors or herbal dealers often resort to fraud by adulterating the rhizomes of medicinal *Paris* with the ones of the cheaper and more abundant *Valeriana jatamansi* Jones (Valerianaceae) (YH Ji, person. observ.).

The chemical components in the rhizomes of *V. jatamansi* and medicinal *Paris* are quite different. They also show distinct medicinal properties. Therefore, the practice of mixing *V. jatamansi* and *Paris* is a serious quality control issue in the herbal industry. This has to be dealt with because the mixture causes inconsistent therapeutic effects and may jeopardize the safety of consumers. However, the rhizomes of *V. jatamansi* and medicinal *Paris* have similar morphologies; hence, distinguishing them based on morphological fea-

received January 20, 2010
revised March 31, 2010
accepted June 1, 2010

Bibliography

DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1250072>
 Published online July 1, 2010
 Planta Med 2011; 77: 87–91
 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG
 Stuttgart · New York ·
 ISSN 0032-0943

Correspondence

Dr. Yunheng Ji
 Key Laboratory of Biodiversity
 and Biogeography
 Kunming Institute of Botany
 Chinese Academy of Sciences
 No. 132 Lanhei Road
 650204 Kunming
 P. R. China
 Phone: + 86 87 15 22 35 22
 Fax: + 86 87 15 22 35 33
 jiyh@mail.kib.ac.cn

tures alone is difficult. At present, identification of the adulterant in market trading or pharmaceutical production basically relies on people's experiences or is made, for instance, simply by smelling and tasting. Unfortunately, these methods are not reliable because they lack scientific control. Furthermore, only few skilled people can so identify adulterants. Hence, an accurate, sensitive, and simple method for the reliable identification of the adulterant is urgently needed.

The length of the chloroplast *psbA-trnH* region varies enormously from 198 to 1077 bp in angiosperms [7]. In previous studies it has been observed that this length ranges from 1078 to 1103 bp among species of *Paris* [8], which was thought to be atypical [7]. Comparatively, the length is much shorter (174 to 334 bp) among species of the genus *Valeriana* [9,10]. Based on conserved *psbA* and *trnH* genomic sequences, the universal primer pair of *psbA* and *trnH* were developed [11]. Hence, the region could be amplified in almost all flowering plants [6,12,13]. Therefore, the length variation of the *psbA-trnH* region between species of *Paris* and *Valeriana* is likely to be a useful marker to distinguish between medicinal *Paris* and the adulterant. Correspondingly, the present study aims (1) to determine whether the length variation of the *psbA-trnH* region of medicinal *Paris* and *V. jatamansi* can be a good tool for the rapid identification of the genus *Paris* and (2) to investigate the detection level of *V. jatamansi* as an adulterant of medicinal *Paris* using *psbA-trnH* region length variation.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

Silica gel dried leaves of *V. jatamansi* and medicinal *Paris* were collected from different localities in China (Table 1). All samples were identified by Dr. Yunheng Ji, and vouchers were deposited in the herbarium of the Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (KUN). Commercially prepared crude drugs of medicinal *Paris* and *V. jatamansi* (dried rhizomes) were purchased from the market.

Preparation of the medicinal *Paris* / *V. jatamansi* mixtures

To determine what amount of *V. jatamansi* tissue can be detected as an adulterant of medicinal *Paris*, medicinal *Paris* / *V. jatamansi* mixtures were prepared. Crude drugs of *V. jatamansi* and medicinal *Paris* were ground to powder with liquid nitrogen, and mixed in 8 grades in quantity ratios of 1:1, 1:1.5, 1:20, 1:100, 1:200, 1:500, 1:750, and 1:1000. Fifty mg powder from each mixture was used for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from silica dried leaves following modified CTAB methods [14], and from crude drugs and medicinal *Paris* / *Valeriana jatamansi* mixtures using the Takara Universal Genomic DNA Extraction Kit Ver.3.0 (Takara) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The chloroplast *psbA-trnH* region was amplified with the primer pair *psbA* (5'-GTTATGCATGAACG-TAATGCTC-3') and *trnH* (5'-CGCGCATGGTGGATTACAATCC-3') [11]. The PCR reaction mixture (total volume 25 µL) contained less than 10 ng of genomic DNA, 2.5 µL 10X PCR buffer (Mg²⁺), 10 pmol/L primers, 5 mmol/L dNTP mix, and 1.5 U Taq DNA polymerase (Biomed). PCR was performed with a thermal cycler as follows: 92 °C for 4 min initially, 35 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing at 52 °C for 1 min, 64 °C for 1 min (extension), and one final cycle at 64 °C for 8 min. Each PCR reaction was analyzed by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. The sizes of the PCR products were compared to molecular standards 100 and 2000 bp DNA ladders (Biomed) and detected under UV-light.

Amplified fragments of medicinal *Paris* and *V. jatamansi* (leaves and crude drugs) were purified and sequenced at Sunbiotech Corp. The forward and reverse strands of all medicinal *Paris* samples were sequenced. Comparatively, samples of *V. jatamansi* were determined in one direction, with either the primer *psbA* or *trnH* since the sequences were of sufficient quality, making sequence generation of the reverse strand unnecessary.

Sequence analysis

Sequences were compiled with Sequencher 4.2 version (Gene Codes Corp.) and compared with the *psbA-trnH* regions of other

Table 1 Plant materials used in this study.

Taxon	Collected locality	Voucher No	Assession Number
<i>Paris polyphylla</i> var. <i>chinensis</i>	Jinping, Yunnan (JP)	X Gong s. n.	GU477652
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>chinensis</i>	Nanchuan, Chongqing (NC)	YH Ji, 03107	GU477653–GU477654
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>chinensis</i>	Hefeng, Hubei (HF)	YH Ji, 02089	GU477655–GU477657
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>chinensis</i>	Zhangjiajie, Hunnan (ZJJ)	YH Ji, 02078	GU477658–GU477660
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>yunnanensis</i>	Lijiang, Yunnan (LJ)	YH Ji, 08032	GU477661–GU477663
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>yunnanensis</i>	Songming, Yunnan (SM)	YH Ji, 07232	GU477664–GU477666
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>yunnanensis</i>	Shizong, Yunnan (SZ)	YH Ji, 07228	GU477667–GU477668
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>yunnanensis</i>	Tengchong, Yunnan (TC)	GLGS EXP, 23448	GU477669–GU477671
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>yunnanensis</i>	Luquan, Yunnan (LQ)	YH Ji, 03121	GU477672–GU477674
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>yunnanensis</i>	Huili, Sichuan (HL)	YH Ji, 04045	GU477675–GU477677
<i>P. polyphylla</i> var. <i>yunnanensis</i>	Yanyuan, Sichan, (YY)	YH Ji, 07043	GU477678–GU477679
<i>Valeriana jatamansi</i>	Xichang, Sichan (XC)	YH Ji 07191	GU477680
<i>V. jatamansi</i>	Muli, Sichan (ML)	YH Ji 07182	GU477681
<i>V. jatamansi</i>	Wuding, Yunnan (WD)	YH Ji 08243	GU477684
<i>V. jatamansi</i>	Gongshan, Yunnan (GS)	M Gao s. n.	GU477682
<i>V. jatamansi</i>	Huize, Yunnan (HZ)	YH Ji 08187	GU477686
<i>V. jatamansi</i>	Songming, Yunnan (SM)	TZ Zhao s. n.	GU477685
<i>V. jatamansi</i>	Heqing, Yunnan (HQ)	R Yue s. n.	GU477683
<i>V. jatamansi</i>	Luquan, Yunnan (LQ)	XM Li s. n.	GU477687

This is a copy of the author's personal reprint

-D, and -E) had the highest similarity to *P. mairei* or *P. daliensis* rather than to *P. polyphylla* var. *yunnanensis*. Voucher specimens of all samples were carefully examined to test if we made a wrong identification. However, no distinctive variation among the samples was detected. Haplotype-C, -D, and -E were present in the samples from central Yunnan and southwestern Sichuan where *P. polyphylla* var. *yunnanensis* exists with sympatric *P. mairei* or *P. daliensis*. This suggests that natural hybridization occurred in at least some of the samples. Therefore, introgression among related species which resulted from natural hybridization is likely to be the main reason for the unusually high genetic divergence, diversified morphologies, and chemical components among *P. polyphylla* var. *yunnanensis* populations.

The main purpose of this study was to determine if *psbA-trnH* length variation can be used to detect *V. jatamansi* as the adulterant of medicinal *Paris*. The *psbA-trnH* intergenic region has been successfully amplified from DNA extracted from both leaf and crude drug of *Paris* and *V. jatamansi*. This means that the targeted fragments were successfully amplified with the universal primer pairs. The *psbA-trnH* length variation between *V. jatamansi* and medicinal *Paris* is distinctive. The characteristic lengths of the *psbA-trnH* regions of *V. jatamansi* and medicinal *Paris* are around 250 bp and > 1000 bp, respectively. Based on this difference, *V. jatamansi* and medicinal *Paris* can easily be detected and distinguished by amplifying this region by PCR and analyzing the products on 1% agarose gel. The results demonstrated that the length variation of the *psbA-trnH* region can help to authenticate medicinal *Paris* and its adulterant *V. jatamansi*.

In previous studies, various molecular methods have been developed to authenticate medicinal herbs. These methods include PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) [19], amplification refractory mutation system (ARMS) [20], TaqMan real-time PCR [21], and DNA barcoding technology [22]. However, these methods exhibit some clear disadvantages. For instance, in PCR-RFLP, the restriction digestion of PCR products can be incomplete or fail because some components of the PCR reaction hinder the activity of the restriction endonuclease [23]. Meanwhile, in the ARMS method, large amounts of PCR products need to be amplified, sequenced, and analyzed. Finally, TaqMan real-time PCR depends too much on the availability of experts or expensive laboratory equipment. As compared to the above-mentioned methods, the method developed in the current study is simple, cheap, and fast. DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and electrophoresis are the only techniques needed to apply this method; these can all be carried out in an ordinary laboratory by technicians trained in basic molecular biology.

Another purpose of this study was to test the sensitivity of the proposed method. The amount of *V. jatamansi* that can be detected as an adulterant of medicinal *Paris* was determined by analyzing the mixtures of medicinal *Paris* and the adulterant. Commercially prepared crude drugs of medicinal *Paris* and *V. jatamansi* purchased from the market were tested. Even though the extracted genomic DNA was partly degraded, the targeted sequences were still amplified and recognized as medicinal *Paris* and *V. jatamansi*. As little as 1 : 1000 of *V. jatamansi* was detected in the mixtures. This proved that the proposed method is sensitive and reliable.

DNA degradation is inevitable during the harvest, storage, and processing of crude drugs [23]. Some crude drug samples of medicinal *Paris* are unlikely to have the unique long length (> 1000 bp) of the *psbA-trnH* region amplified because their DNA has been severely degraded. Nevertheless, this situation does not af-

fect the sensitivity and reliability of the proposed method to detect whether medicinal *Paris* is adulterated with *Valeriana jatamansi*. Due to its relatively short length (approximately 250 bp), amplification of this genomic region from *V. jatamansi* samples can be successful even without the use of high-quality DNA as a template. For instance, amplification of the *psbA-trnH* intergenic region with a length of approximately 300 bp can still be successful even if DNA extracted from herbarium specimens collected 50 years ago is used [12].

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 30670132 to YH Ji), Natural Science Foundation of Yunnan Province (NSFN, 2007C089M to YH Ji), Fund of State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, and CAS Innovation Program of the Kunming Institute of Botany. We are also grateful to M. Gao, X. Gong, X. M. Li, R. Yue, and T. Z. Zhao for providing us the samples used in this study, and to Dr. F. M. B. Jacques for proofreading the manuscript.

References

- Li H. The phylogeny of the genus *Paris* L. Acta Bot Yunn 1984; 6: 351–362
- Li H. The phylogeny of the genus *Paris* L. In: Li H, editor. The genus *Paris* (Trilliaceae). Beijing: Science Press; 1998: 8–65
- Long CL, Li H, Ouyang ZQ, Yang XY, Li Q, Trangmar B. Strategies for agrobiodiversity conservation and promotion: a case from Yunnan, China. Biodivers Conserv 2003; 12: 1146–1154
- He J, Zhang S, Wang H, Chen CX, Chen SF. Advances in studies on the use of *Paris polyphylla* var. *yunnanensis* (Trilliaceae). Acta Bot Yunn 2006; 28: 271–276
- The Pharmacopoeia Commission of the PRC. Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China. Beijing: Chemical Industry Press; 2005
- Li YC. Introduction and domestication of *Paris* species. In: Li H, editor. The genus *Paris* (Trilliaceae). Beijing: Science Press; 1998: 151–157
- Shaw J, Lickey EB, Beck JT, Farmer SB, Liu WS, Miller J, Siripun KC, Winder CT, Schilling EE, Small RL. The tortoise and the hare II: relative utility of 21 noncoding chloroplast DNA sequences for phylogenetic analysis. Am J Bot 2005; 92: 142–166
- Ji YH, Fritsch PW, Li H, Xiao TJ, Zhou ZK. Phylogeny and classification of *Paris* (Melanthiaceae) inferred from DNA sequence data. Ann Bot 2006; 98: 245–256
- Bell CD, Donoghue MJ. Phylogeny and biogeography of Valerianaceae (Dipsacales) with special reference to the South American valerians. Org Divers Evol 2005; 5: 147–159
- Bell CD. Phylogenetic placement and biogeography of the North American species of *Valerianella* (Valerianaceae: Dipsacales) based on chloroplast and nuclear DNA. Mol Phy Evol 2007; 44: 929–941
- Sang T, Crawford DJ, Stuessy TF. Chloroplast DNA phylogeny, reticulate evolution, and biogeography of *Paeonia* (Paeoniaceae). Am J Bot 1997; 84: 1120–1136
- Kress WJ, Cywinska A, Ball SL, Weigt LA, Janzen DH. Use of DNA barcodes to identify flowering plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 8369–8374
- Kress WJ, Erickson DL, Jones FA, Swenson NG, Perez R, Sanjurjo O, Bermingham E. Plant DNA barcodes and a community phylogeny of a tropical forest dynamics plot in Panama. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009; 106: 18621–18626
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochem Bull Bot Soc Am 1987; 19: 11–15
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 1990; 215: 403–410
- Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis. Program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 1999; 41: 95–98

- 17 Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA4: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 2007; 24: 1596–1599
- 18 Chen CX, Zhou J. Chemical components of the genus *Paris*. In: Li H, editor. *The genus Paris* (Trilliaceae). Beijing: Science Press; 1998: 158–196
- 19 Techen N, Pan ZQ, Scheffler BE, Khan I. Detetction of *Illiciium anisatum* as adulterant of *Illicium verum*. *Planta Med* 2009; 75: 392–395
- 20 Diao Y, Lin XM, Liao CL, Tang CZ, Chen ZJ, Hu ZL. Authentication of *Panax ginseng* from its adulterants by PCR-RFLP and ARMS. *Planta Med* 2009; 75: 557–560
- 21 Xue CY, Li DZ, Wang QZ. Identification and quantification of the traditional Chinese medicinal plant *Gentiana macrophylla* using TaqMan real-time PCR. *Planta Med* 2008; 74: 1842–1845
- 22 Yao H, Song JY, Ma XY, Liu C, Li Y, Xu HX, Han JP, Duan LS, Chen SL. Identification of *Dendrobium* species by candidate DNA barcode sequence: the chloroplast *psbA-trnH* intergenic region. *Planta Med* 2009; 75: 667–669
- 23 Techen N, Crockett SL, Khan IA, Scheffler BE. Authentication of medicinal plants using molecular biology techniques to compliment conventional methods. *Curr Med Chem* 2004; 11: 1391–1401

