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#### Abstract

A new amide, $N$-trans-sinapoylmethoxytyramine (1), along with three known amides (2-4) and two known flavonol 3-O-rhamnosides ( $\mathbf{5}$ and 6), were isolated from the leaves and twigs of Litsea auriculata. The structures of the isolated compounds ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 6}$ ) were characterized on the basis of spectroscopic analyses, and their cytotoxic activity against HeLa cells was estimated.
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## Introduction

The genus Litsea (Lauraceae) comprises nearly 200 species, which are widely found in tropical and subtropical Asia, North America, and subtropical South America. The Litsea plants have been reported to have a significant cytotoxic activity against human tumor cells [1], and recently, two Litsea plants (Litsea lii var. nunkao-tahangensis and Litsea akoensis Hayata) exhibited cytotoxic activity against human cancer cell lines, including human breast adenocarcinoma, non-small-cell lung cancer, and glioblastoma cell lines [2, 3]. The description of the cytotoxic compounds prompted us to begin studying the constituents of Litsea auriculata Chien \& Cheng, whose phytochemical investigation has not been completed to date. L. auriculata is found in the southeast region of the People's Republic of China as a deciduous tree with a height typically of 20 m . The root bark and fruits of this plant are used medicinally as a tapeworm eliminator, and the leaves are also utilized for the treatment of muscular injuries [4]. We now describe the isolation and structural elucidation of a new amide, $N$-trans-sinapoylmethoxytyramine (1), along with three known amides (2-4) and two known flavonol 3-O-rhamnosides (5 and 6), from the leaves and twigs of $L$. auriculata. We also report the cytotoxic activities of the isolates (1-6) (Fig. 1) against HeLa cells.

## Results and discussion

The MeOH extract of the leaves and twigs of L. auriculata was subjected to separation as described in the Extraction and isolation section. Repeated column chromatography afforded a new amide 1, along with five known compounds

Fig. 1 Structures of compounds 1-6 isolated from Litsea auriculata



2-6. The five known isolates were identified as $N$-transsinapoyltyramine (2) [5], N-trans-feruloylmethoxytyramine (3) [6], $N$-trans-feruloyltyramine (4) [7, 8], afzelin (kaemferol-3- $O-\alpha$-L-rhamnoside) (5) [9], and quercitrin (quercetin-3- $O-\alpha$-L-rhamnoside) (6) [9] by comparison of their spectroscopic data with those described in the literature. In the reported ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectral data (in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) of the known amides 2 [5] and 4 [8], several erroneous assignments have been found, and the revised ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ signals are reported in this paper. Compounds 2-6 were isolated from L. auriculata for the first time.

Compound 1 was obtained as a colorless oil, whose molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$, was determined by its high-resolution electron ionization-mass spectrometry (HREI-MS) spectrum ([M] ${ }^{+} m / z 373.1525$ ). The IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl $\left(3,500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and amide carbonyl $\left(1,660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ functions. The UV spectrum showed several absorption maxima in the same regions as 2 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed two aromatic protons at $\delta 6.84$, two methoxyl groups at $\delta 3.87$, and two olefinic protons at $\delta 6.43$ and 7.42 (each 1 H , d, $J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) on a sinapoyl acid moiety, as well as three aromatic protons in an ABX-type signal at $\delta 6.67$ (dd, $J=7.6, \quad 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), \quad 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=7.6)$, and $6.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), one methoxyl group at $\delta 3.83$, and two coupled triplets of methylene protons at $\delta 2.77$ and 3.49 (each $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) on a methoxytyramine moiety. A downfield doublet at $\delta 7.42(J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ was assigned to the $\mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}$ olefinic proton on the sinapoyl acid moiety, revealing its trans coupling with the $\mathrm{C}-8^{\prime}$ olefinic proton, which appeared as a doublet at $\delta 6.43(J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The locations of the two methoxyl groups at the $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ positions on the sinapoyl acid moiety were determined by the HMBC experiment (Fig. 2), which showed correlations between $\mathrm{OMe}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{OMe}-5^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$. The further assignment of the methoxyl groups was obtained by the NOESY spectrum (Fig. 2), indicating NOE interactions between $\mathrm{OMe}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{OMe}-5^{\prime}$

$\mathrm{HMBC} \longrightarrow$


Fig. 2 Key HMBC and NOESY correlations of compound 1
and H-6'. The placement of the other methoxyl group at the $\mathrm{C}-3$ position on the methoxytyramine moiety was established from both the HMBC technique (correlation between OMe-3 and C-3) and the NOESY data (NOE interaction between $\mathrm{OMe}-3$ and $\mathrm{H}-2$ ). Thus, compound 1 was characterized as $N$-trans-sinapoylmethoxytyramine ( N -trans-sinapoyl-3-O-methyldopamine).

The cytotoxic activity of the isolates (1-6) against HeLa cells is summarized in Table 1. The isolated compounds, with the exception of compound 4 , reduced the number of HeLa cells in a dose-dependent manner in the concentration range of $6.25-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}(12.5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ $\mathrm{ml})$ exhibited almost identical cytotoxic potency, and the

Table 1 Viability of HeLa cells stimulated by compounds 1-6

| Compound | $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 6.25 | 12.5 | 25 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $87.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 55.8 | 38.5 | 33.3 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 66.8 | 53.4 | 38.6 | 32.4 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 66.8 | 53.4 | 38.5 | 32.3 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 116.6 | 119.4 | 114.0 | 96.7 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 85.0 | 77.1 | 74.1 | 52.1 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 84.4 | 78.5 | 65.2 | 45.2 |
| Adriamycin |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Viability is expressed as percentage versus control cell number
Values are the mean of three different determinations $(n=3)$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Positive control (final concentration: $0.0625,0.125,0.25$, and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ )
cell number was reduced by $67-68 \%$ at $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Compounds 5 and 6 stimulated HeLa cells to decrease the cell number by 48 and $55 \%(50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$, respectively. In contrast, compound 4 slightly increased the cell number in the concentration range of $6.25-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The cytotoxic potency of the amides (1-3) against HeLa cells was stronger than that of the flavonol 3-O-rhamnosides ( 5 and 6). Tetra- and pentasubstituted oxygenation amides (1-3) showed cytotoxic activity against HeLa cells, though the less oxygenated amide (4) exhibited no cytotocity.

## Experimental

## General procedures

The IR spectra were measured using a JASCO IR-810 spectrophotometer, and the UV spectra were obtained using a Beckman DU-530 spectrophotometer. The MS spectra were recorded using a JEOL JMS-SX 102A spectrometer. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $(125 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra were measured by using a JEOL ECA500 spectrometer. Column chromatography was performed using Merck silica gel (230-400 mesh). Spots on TLC plates (Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ) were detected with a UV lamp or by spraying with $50 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ followed by heating.

## Plant material

The leaves and twigs of L. auriculata were collected from Kunming in the Yunnan Province, People's Republic of China, in August 2007, and identified by one of the authors (J.M.). The voucher specimen (No. 070829) was deposited in the Department of Natural Product Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University.

Extraction and isolation

The dried leaves and twigs of $L$. auriculata ( 1.8 kg ) were cut into small pieces and extracted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{l}$, $72 \mathrm{~h})$ at room temperature. The extract was evaporated under reduced pressure that produced a residue $(155.6 \mathrm{~g})$. The residual oil was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (51) and then partitioned successively with the same volume of $n$-hexane, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and EtOAc to afford the $n$-hexane $(33.0 \mathrm{~g})$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(28.2 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(7.7 \mathrm{~g})$ fractions, respectively. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extract ( 28.2 g ) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (CC) eluted with $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ $(1: 20,11), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1 \mathrm{l}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ acetone $(40: 1 \rightarrow 20: 1 \rightarrow 10$ : $1 \rightarrow 5: 1 \rightarrow 1: 1,11$ each volume), and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(10: 1$, $11)$ to give 15 fractions $\left(A_{1}-A_{15}\right)$. Fractions $A_{13}-A_{15}$ $(1.42 \mathrm{~g})$ were subjected to silica gel CC eluted with benzene/EtOAc ( $1: 1 \rightarrow 1: 2 \rightarrow 1: 5,7 \mathrm{ml}$ each volume) to afford 123 fractions $\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{123}\right)$. Fractions $\mathrm{B}_{44}-\mathrm{B}_{55}(141 \mathrm{mg})$ were purified by silica gel CC eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ acetone (5:1) to give $4(8.5 \mathrm{mg})$. Fractions $\mathrm{B}_{56}-\mathrm{B}_{71}(246 \mathrm{mg})$ were chromatographed over silica gel eluted with benzene/ $\operatorname{EtOAc}(1: 1 \rightarrow 1: 2,1 \mathrm{ml}$ each volume) to give 100 fractions $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{100}\right)$. Fractions $\mathrm{C}_{35}-\mathrm{C}_{55}(89 \mathrm{mg})$ were purified by silica gel CC eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ acetone (5:1) to afford 3 ( 5.2 mg ). Fractions $\mathrm{C}_{56}-\mathrm{C}_{85}(77 \mathrm{mg})$ were subjected to silica gel CC repeatedly eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ /acetone (5:1) to afford $2(24 \mathrm{mg})$. Fractions $\mathrm{B}_{72}-\mathrm{B}_{90}(130 \mathrm{mg})$ were purified by silica gel CC eluted with $n$-hexane/acetone ( $2: 1 \rightarrow 1: 1$ ) followed by $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ /acetone $(5: 1 \rightarrow 2: 1)$ to give $\mathbf{1}$ $(11 \mathrm{mg})$. The EtOAc extract $(7.7 \mathrm{~g})$ was separated by silica gel CC eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(5: 1 \rightarrow 2: 1 \rightarrow 1: 1)$ to give the crude compound $5(858 \mathrm{mg})$ and the crude compound 6 $(4.0 \mathrm{~g})$. A portion of the crude compound $5(338 \mathrm{mg})$ was rechromatographed over silica gel eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ MeOH (5:1) to give the pure compound $5(174 \mathrm{mg})$, and the crude 6 ( 343 mg ) was purified by silica gel CC eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (5:1) to afford the pure compound 6 $(141 \mathrm{mg})$.

The unambiguous assignment of all the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ signals of the isolates (1-4) was accomplished by analyses of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, NOESY, HMQC, and HMBC spectra.

N -trans-Sinapoylmethoxytyramine (1). Colorless oil; $\mathrm{UV} \lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}(\mathrm{MeOH})(\log \varepsilon): 319$ (4.38), $290 \mathrm{sh}(4.15)$, 234 (4.46), 224 sh (4.40), 203 (4.67); IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : $3,500,1,660,1,610,1,520 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta: 2.77(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-7), 3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8), 3.83$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}-3), 3.87$ ( $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}-3^{\prime}, ~ \mathrm{MeO}-5^{\prime}\right), 6.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime}\right), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6)$, $6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5), 6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-2), 6.84$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}$ ), $7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-7^{\prime}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta: 169.1$ (C-9'), 149.5 (C-3', C$\left.5^{\prime}\right), 149.0$ (C-3), 146.1 (C-4), 142.2 (C-7'), 139.0 (C-4'),
132.0 (C-1), 127.2 (C-1'), 122.3 (C-6), 119.2 (C-8'), 116.2 (C-5), 113.5 (C-2), 106.4 (C-2', C-6'), 56.8 (MeO-3', MeO$5^{\prime}$ ), 56.4 (MeO-3), 42.5 (C-8), 36.2 (C-7); EI-MS m/z (relative intensity): 373 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 14$ ), 308 (54), 293 (100), 223 (29), 220 (12), 207 (22), 178 (13), 150 (32), 147 (15); HREI-MS $\quad \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 373.1525\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}\right.$, calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: 373.1524$ ).
$N$-trans-Sinapoyltyramine (2). Colorless oil; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ ) 169.0 (C-9'), 156.9 (C-4), 149.4 (C-3', C-5'), 142.2 (C-7'), 138.8 (C-4'), 131.3 (C-1), 130.7 (C-2, C-6), 127.2 (C-1'), 119.2 (C-8'), 116.3 (C-3, C-5), 106.4 (C-2', $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ ), 56.8 ( $\mathrm{MeO}-3^{\prime}$, MeO-5'), 42.5 (C-8), 35.8 (C-7); EI-MS $m / z$ (relative intensity): 343 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 67), 222 (96), 207 (100), 192 (16), 175 (18), 120 (22), 107 (23); HREI-MS m/z: $343.1409\left([M]^{+}\right.$, calculated for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}: 343.1418\right)$.
$N$-trans-Feruloyltyramine (4). Colorless oil; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta: 169.2$ (C-9'), 156.9 (C-4), 149.9 (C-4'), 149.3 (C-3'), 142.0 (C-7'), 131.3 (C-1), 130.7 (C-2, C-6), 128.3 (C-1'), 123.2 (C-6'), 118.7 (C-8'), 116.5 (C-5'), 116.3 (C-3, C-5), 111.5 (C-2'), 56.4 (MeO-3'), 42.5 (C-8), 35.8 (C-7); EI-MS m/z (relative intensity): 313 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 34), 194 (34), 193 (59), 192 (59), 177 (100), 145 (27), 120 (22), 107 (11), 89 (8); HREI-MS $m / z: 313.1308$ ([M] ${ }^{+}$, calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: 313.1313$ ).

Cell culture

HeLa cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum and antibiotics (culture medium). The subcultures between the third to sixth passages were used for the experiments.

Method for cytotoxicity assay
Subconfluent HeLa cells were cultured for 3 days on 96 -well tissue culture plates in the culture medium. The cells were then incubated in the same medium without fetal calf serum. After 12 h , the medium was exchanged and compounds 1-6 were added to the mixture to give a final concentration of $6.25-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. After 48 h incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the conditioned medium on the 96 -well
plates was collected and assayed for cell viability (Cell counting kit-8, Dojin Chemical Laboratory, Kumamoto, Japan) [10, 11]. Three different determinations were run for all compounds. Adriamycin (positive control) was added to the medium, providing final concentrations of $0.0625,0.125,0.25$, and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, and assayed as described above.
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