Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Fitoterapia

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fitote

Chemical constituents from *Euphorbia stracheyi* and their biological activities

Da-Song Yang ^{a,1}, Wei-Bing Peng ^{b,1}, Zi-Lei Li ^a, Xue Wang ^b, Jian-Guo Wei ^a, Qiu-Xia He ^b, Yong-Ping Yang ^a, Ke-Chun Liu ^b, Xiao-Li Li ^{a,*}

^a Key Laboratory of Economic Plants and Biotechnology, Germplasm Bank of Wild Species in Southwest China, Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research at Kunming, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, PR China
^b Biology Institute of Shandong Academy of Sciences, Jinan 250014, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 June 2014 Accepted in revised form 15 June 2014 Available online 24 June 2014

Keywords: Euphorbia stracheyi Euphorbiaceae Diterpenoid Sesquiterpene Cytotoxicity

ABSTRACT

Three new diterpenoids, stracheyioids A–C (1–3), as well as 36 known compounds (4–39) were isolated from the whole plants of *Euphorbia stracheyi*. Compound 1 was a rare 13-deoxy tigliane diterpenoid and compound 2 was an ingenol diterpenoid characterized by an unique 2Z,4Z-decadienoyl acidic moieties. All the known compounds were isolated from *E. stracheyi* for the first time. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of extensive spectroscopic interpretation. Compounds 1–39 were tested for their cytotoxicity against five cancer cell lines (A-549, MCF-7, Hep G2, Hela and P388) and showed IC₅₀ values in the range 6.64–42.86 μ M. The antiangiogenic activities of the isolated compounds were also evaluated using a zebrafish model.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Euphorbia species are widely distributed plants and were famous for the chemical diversity of their isoprenoid constituents, especially diterpenoids with different core frameworks and variety activities [1]. Many of the plants in genus *Euphorbia* are used in folk medicine but they have not only therapeutic relevance but that they also display toxicity. Studies have suggested that diterpenoids especially those with tigliane, ingenane and abietane skeletons most relevant to the toxicity and considerable biological activities [2].

Euphorbia stracheyi Boiss (Euphorbiaceae) was noxious weed distributed in alpine meadow, and in Chinese traditional medicine, its roots were used for hemostasis, analgesia and

muscular regeneration [3]. Previous phytochemical investigations on E. strachevi showed that it contained flavonoids, phenolic compounds, ionones, steroids and aliphatic alcohol [3,4]. As part of our program to discover anticancer agents from the genus Euphorbia [5,6], a phytochemical investigation on this plant led to the isolation and characterization of three new diterpenoids (1-3) and 36 known compounds including 4 ionones (4-7), 2 sesquiterpenes (8 and 9), 15 diterpenoids (10-24), 1 triterpenoid (25), 1 steroid (26), 1 monosaccharide (27), 9 phenolic compounds (28-36), 1 coumarin (37) and 2 flavonoids (38 and 39) (Fig. 1). Compound 1 was the first natural 12,13-dideoxyphorbol and compound 2 was an ingenol diterpenoid characterized by an unique 2Z,4Z-decadienoyl acidic moieties. Herein, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of those compounds, as well as their cytotoxicity against a small panel of cancer cell lines and antiangiogenic activities obtained using a zebrafish model. The diterpenoids were reported in E. stracheyi for the first time and the prominent toxicity of them may one of reasons why E.





CrossMark

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 871 65223231.

E-mail address: li_xiaoli11@mail.kib.ac.cn (X.-L. Li).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

stracheyi is a noxious weed. The phenomena of the biological tests in this study also hint that this diterpenoids maybe play an important role in the chemical defense of the plant *E. stracheyi*.

The phorbol esters such as TPA (12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate) are known to be powerful tumor promoters and activators of protein kinase C (PKC). Numerous structure-activity analyses of the activators have been carried out and many pharmacophore models have been proposed; however, it has been shown that the biological activity of 13-deoxyphorbol derivatives were not fully consistent with the pharmacophore



Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1-39.



models so far [7]. Thus, the discover of first natural 12,13-dideoxyphorbol (1) are interesting and might be helpful to study their structure and unusual biology activity.

2. Experimental

2.1. General

ORD spectra were recorded on a Horiba SEPA-300 polarimeter. UV data were obtained on a Shimadzu UV-2401PC spectrophotometer. IR spectra were recorded on a Bio-Rad FTS-135 spectrometer with KBr pellets. The 1D and 2D NMR experiments were recorded on Bruker AM-400, DRX-500 or Avance III 600 spectrometers with TMS as internal standard. Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm with reference to the solvent signals. ESIMS were recorded using a Finnigan MAT 90 instrument. EI and HREIMS were performed on a Waters AutoSpec Premier P776. Column chromatography (CC) was performed on Sephadex LH-20, silica gel (200-300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical inc., Qingdao, PR China), RP-18 gel (LiChroprep, 40–63 μ m; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and MCI gel CHP20P (75–150 μm, Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Semipreparative HPLC was performed on a Hewlett-Packard instrument (column: Zorbax SB-C18, 250 × 9.4 mm; DAD detector). Fractions were monitored by TLC and visualized by heating plates sprayed with 15% H₂SO₄ in EtOH.

2.2. Plant material

The whole plants of *E. stracheyi* were collected from Segrila Mountain in Nyingchi of the Tibetan Autonomous Region of China in September 2011. A voucher specimen (Yangyp-20110918) was deposited in the Herbarium of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, which was identified by one of the authors (Prof. Yong-Ping Yang).

2.3. Extraction and isolation

The air-dried and powdered whole plants of *E. strachevi* (1.5 kg) were extracted with 90% EtOH (4×6 L) for 24 h at room temperature and concentrated in vacuo to give a crude extract. The extract was suspended in H₂O and then extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc fraction (103 g) was subjected to MCI gel CC (MeOH-H₂O, 20:80 to 100:0) in a gradient to afford nine fractions (Fr.1-9). Fr.1 was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 1:1) to obtain **39** (26.9 mg). Fr.2 was repeatedly subjected to silica gel CC eluting with CHCl₃–MeOH (10:1 to 4:1) and then purified by Sephadex LH-20 CC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 1:1) to afford 27 (15.4 mg), 30 (10.5 mg), 31 (4.0 mg), 33 (6.4 mg), 34 (13.7 mg), 35 (3.2 mg), 36 (12.4 mg), 37 (2.6 mg) and **38** (9.2 mg). Fr.3 was repeatedly subjected to silica gel CC eluting with CHCl₃-MeOH (5:1) and then purified by Sephadex LH-20 CC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 1:1) to yield 4 (10.3 mg), 5 (2.6 mg), 6 (4.1 mg), 7 (2.5 mg), 18 (43.3 mg) and 24 (4.5 mg). Fr.5 was subjected to silica gel CC eluting with $CHCl_3$ -acetone (4:1) to afford **28** (2.6 mg), **29** (19.7 mg) and **32** (1.7 mg). Fr.6 was purified by Sephadex LH-20 CC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 1:1), followed by preparative TLC with CHCl₃–EtOAc (4:1) to give **8** (4.7 mg) and **9** (1.9 mg). Fr.7 was subjected to silica gel CC eluting with CHCl₃-EtOAc (9:1 to 4:1) to yield three major subfractions (Fr.7a-7c). Fr.7a was purified by semipreparative HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 88:12) to afford 3 (2.1 mg) and 10 (2.8 mg). Fr.7b was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 1:1) to obtain 15 (35.7 mg) and 19 (180.3 mg). Fr.7c was subject to Sephadex LH-20 CC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 1:1), followed by preparative TLC with CHCl₃–EtOAc (4:1), to give **1** (2.2 mg), **11** (4.9 mg) and **12** (3.4 mg). Fr.8 was subjected to silica gel CC eluting with CHCl₃–EtOAc (10:1 to 4:1), to afford four major subfractions (Fr.8a–8d). Fr.8a was subjected to a Sephadex LH-20 CC (CHCl₃–MeOH, 1:1) to afford **25** (40.2 mg) and **26** (2.8 mg). Fr.8c was purified by semipreparative HPLC (MeOH–H₂O, 91:9) to obtain **2** (1.3 mg), **14** (9.3 mg) and **23** (4.8 mg). Fr.8d was subjected to silica gel CC eluting with CHCl₃–EtOAc (9:1) to afford **13** (30.1 mg). Fr.9 was subjected to silica gel CC eluting with petroleum ether–EtOAc (10:1 to 3:2) to afford three major subfractions (Fr.9a–9c). Fr.9a was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC (CHCl₃–MeOH, 1:1) to afford three major fractions, which were further purified by semipreparative HPLC (MeOH–H₂O, 95:5) to afford **17**

Table 1

¹ H and ¹³ C NMR Data of	compounds 1–3	(δ in ppm, j	/ in Hz)
--	---------------	--------------	----------

(14.2 mg), **20** (1.5 mg) and **21** (9.3 mg). Fr.9b was purified by RP-18 CC (MeOH–H₂O, 70:30 to 95:5) in a gradient and then subjected to silica gel CC eluting with petroleum ether–EtOAc (10:1 to 3:1), to afford **16** (125.6 mg) and **22** (32.7 mg).

2.4. Spectroscopic data

2.4.1. Stracheyioid A (**1**)

White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{16}$ _D - 220.6 (*c* 0.14, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 201 (3.98), 240 (3.91) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3418, 2922, 2858, 1706, 1634, 1616, 1455, 1436, 1382, 1346, 1125, 1056, 1026, 966, 944 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 125 MHz)

No.	1^a (in DMSO- d_6)		2^{b} (in CDCl ₃)		3 ^b (in CDCl ₃)	
	$\delta_{\rm H}$	δ _C	δ_{H}	δ _C	$\delta_{\rm H}$	δ_{C}
1	7.31, br s	157.2 d	6.07, br s	132.2 d	1.44, br d (13.2) 1.20, dd (13.2, 4.6)	41.8 t
2		138.2 s		135.7 s	1.54, m	19.0 t
3		209.7 s	4.98, s	82.4 d	1.91, br d (12.7) 1.10, td (12.7, 4.2)	39.7 t
4		77.5 s		85.9 s		33.6 s
5	3.31, d (13.6) 1.89, d (13.6)	33.5 t	5.43, s	77.0 d	1.16, dd (12.5, 2.4)	55.1 d
6		130.2 s		133.5 s	1.83, ddd (7.5, 5.4, 2.4) 1.38, qd (12.5, 4.1)	23.9 t
7	5.11, s	125.5 d	6.20, d (4.0)	131.4 d	2.49, ddd (13.4, 3.6, 2.5) 2.19, m	37.3 t
8	1.41, m	39.9 d	4.26, m	43.6 d		155.0 s
9		73.8 s		205.7 s	2.21, d (8.5)	51.7 d
10	3.00, m	57.0 d		71.9 s		41.9 s
11	1.42, m	35.7 d	2.51, m	38.6 d	2.60, dd (13.5, 6.3) 1.57, dd (13.5, 8.5)	27.2 t
12	1.58, m 1.49, dd (14.2, 6.4)	25.4 t	2.28, m 1.73, m	31.1 t	4.94, dd (13.5, 6.3)	76.5 d
13	0.68, m	16.2 d	0.69, q (8.4)	23.0 d		158.3 s
14	0.34, dd (9.6, 6.0)	22.0 d	0.94, dd (11.8, 8.4)	22.9 d	6.38, s	113.6 d
15		23.8 s		24.4 s		118.2 s
16	3.05, 3.01, AB q (10.5)	71.0 t	1.04, s	28.4 q		174.4 s
17	0.93, s	10.9 q	1.07, s	15.6 q	4.40, s	55.2 t
18	0.86, d (6.3)	16.0 q	0.98, d (7.1)	17.1 q	0.89, s	33.8 q
19	1.61, s	10.1 q	1.75, d (1.0)	15.5 q	0.83, s	21.8 q
20	3.60, 3.54, AB q (13.4)	66.4 t	4.47, 4.22, AB q (12.5)	66.3 t	0.91, s	16.8 q
1'				172.6 s		
2′			2.09, s	21.2 q		
1″				165.8 s		
2″			5.80, d (11.4)	115.5 d		
3″			7.05, t (11,4)	141.4 d		
4″			7.21, t (11.4)	124.2 d		
5″			5.94, m	143.2 d		
6″			2.23, q (7.7)	27.6 t		
7″			1.39, quint (7.7)	29.0 t		
8″			1.27, m	31.4 t		
9″			1.28, m	22.5 t		
10″			0.86, t (6.9)	14.0 q		
1′″			1.00	170.7 s		
2′″			1.93, s	20.9 q		
OH-4	5.17, s					
OH-9	4.07, s					
OH-16	4.44, s					
OH-20	4.66. s					

 $^{\rm a}$ $^{\rm 1}{\rm H}$ and $^{\rm 13}{\rm C}$ NMR data measured at 500 and 125 MHz.

^b ¹H and ¹³C NMR data measured at 600 and 150 MHz.

data, see Table 1; positive ESIMS m/z 371 [M + Na]⁺; HREIMS m/z 348.1922 [M]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₈O₅, 348.1937).

2.4.2. Stracheyioid B (2)

Colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{15}_{D}$ + 48.0 (*c* 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 203 (4.46) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3440, 2956, 2927, 2871, 1729, 1642, 1461, 1380, 1234, 1156, 1025, 987 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) data, see Table 1; EIMS *m*/*z* 414 (13), 354 (52), 312 (97), 294 (100), 151 (53), 123 (52); positive ESIMS *m*/*z* 605 [M + Na]⁺; HREIMS *m*/*z* 582.3182 [M]⁺ (calcd for C₃₄H₄₆O₈, 582.3193).

2.4.3. Stracheyioid C (3)

White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{19}_{D} + 629.0 (c 0.10, MeOH);$ UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 279 (4.57) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3425, 2925, 2850, 1747, 1661, 1460, 1385, 1366, 1036, 1016 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) data, see Table 1; positive ESIMS *m*/*z* 339 [M + Na]⁺; HREIMS *m*/*z* 316.2030 [M]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₈O₃, 316.2038).

2.5. Cytotoxicity assay

Compounds **1–39** were tested for their cytotoxicity against human lung carcinoma (A-549), human breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7), human hepatocellular (Hep G2), human cervical carcinoma (Hela), mouse leukemia (P388) by the MTT method, 5-FU was used as a positive control. Briefly, 100 μ L of cell suspension (1 × 10⁵ cells/mL) was seeded into 96-well microtiter plates and cultured for 24 h before the compound was added. Then different concentrations of the compounds were added to the plates, the cells were cultivated for 48 h, and 10 μ L of MTT (5 mg/mL) was added to each well. After 4 h, the culture medium was removed and the formazan crystals were completely dissolved with 150 μ L DMSO in each well by vigorously shaking the plate. Finally, formazan absorbance was assessed by a BioRad microplate reader at 570 nm.

2.6. Antiangiogenesis assay

Stock solutions (20 mg/mL) of all samples were prepared by dissolving the test compounds in 100% DMSO. These solutions were diluted in sterile salt water (5 mM NaCl, 0.17 mM KCl, 0.4 mM CaCl₂, 0.16 mM MgSO₄) to obtain final solutions of various concentrations in 0.2% DMSO. Aliquots were placed into 24-well plates, and the embryos (TG[VEGFR2: GRCFP]) at 24 hpf (hours post-fertilization) were also transferred randomly into the above wells. Control embryos were treated with the equivalent amount of DMSO solutions. All embryos were incubated at 28.5 °C. After 48 h treatment, the intersegmental vessels of embryos were visualized with green fluorescent protein labeling and endogenous alkaline phosphatase staining. The antiangiogenic activities of compounds were calculated from the inhibition ratio of antiangiogenesis.

3. Results and discussion

Stracheyioid A (1) was obtained as a white amorphous powder. The molecular formula of 1 was determined as C₂₀H₂₈O₅ by HREIMS, requiring 7 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed absorption bands for OH (3418 cm^{-1}), ketone (1706 cm^{-1}) and double-bond (1634 cm^{-1}) moieties. Analysis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR (Table 1) data of **1** aided by HSQC revealed resonances for one ketone (δ_{C} 209.7), two pair of trisubstituted double bonds [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.11 (1H, s), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 125.5 (d), 130.2 (s); δ_H 7.31 (1H, s), δ_C 138.2 (s), 157.2 (d)], four methylenes including two hydroxylated ones [δ_H 3.01, 3.05 (2H, AB q, J = 10.5 Hz), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 71.0 (t); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.54, 3.60 (2H, AB q, J = 13.4 Hz), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.4 (t)], two oxygenated quaternary carbons (δ_{C} 73.8, 77.5), three methyls [one of which connected to a methine, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.86 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Hz)], five methines, and six quaternary carbon. These signals required 1 to be tetracyclic backbone. Its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed similarities to those of 12-deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol [8] revealed that **1** may be a tigliane diterpenoid. The differences were that the carbon signals corresponding to a quaternary carbon (C-13) in 12-deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol were replaced by a non-oxygenated methine ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 16.2, C-13) in 1. This deduction was confirmed by the COSY correlation of H-12/H-13/H-14 and the HMBC correlation of H-13/C-11, C-15, C-16; Me-17/C-13 (Fig. 2). In the ROESY spectrum of 1 (Fig. 3), key correlations were observed between H-10/ Me-18, OH-9; H-13/H-14; H-16/H-13, H-14 and H-8/Me-17, indicating the α -orientation of OH-9, H-10, Me-18, H-13, H-14 and H-16 and the β -orientation of H-8 and Me-17, the same relative configuration with those of reported [8]. Therefore, the structure of **1** was determined as 12,13dideoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol, and it is the first example of natural 12,13-dideoxyphorbol despite some 13-deoxyphorbols have been synthesized [7].



Fig. 2. Selected HMBC () and ¹H-¹H COSY () correlations of compounds 1-3.



Fig. 3. Key ROESY correlations of compounds 1-3.

Stracheyioid B (2) was obtained as optically active colorless oil ($[\alpha]^{15}_{D}$ + 48.0, *c* 0.12, MeOH) and the molecular formula was deduced to be $C_{34}H_{46}O_8$ based on its HREIMS (*m/z* 582.3182), suggesting 12 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum of 2 suggested characteristic bands of hydroxyl (3440 cm⁻¹), carbonyl (1729 cm^{-1}) and olefinic (1642 cm^{-1}) groups. Analysis of the NMR data of 2 (Table 1) suggested the presence of one ketone (δ_{C} 205.7), four oxygen-bearing carbons [δ_{C} 66.3 (t), 77.0 (d), 82.4 (d), 85.9 (s)], three ester carbonyls ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 165.8, 170.7, and 172.6), four pair of double bonds, six methylenes and seven methyls. Comparison of the 1D NMR spectra (Table 1) of 2 with those of 3,20-O-diacetylingenol-5-O-(2' E,4'Z)-tetradecadienoate [9] revealed that **2** may be an ingenol triester. The differences between them could be rationalized to the carbon signals corresponding to acidic moieties. The significant EIMS fragment peaks at m/z 151 [C₉H₁₅CO]⁺, 414 [M-C₉H₁₅COOH]⁺, 354 [M-C₉H₁₅COOH-CH₃COOH]⁺, 294 [M- $C_9H_{15}COOH-2 \times CH_3COOH]^+$ suggested the acidic moieties of **2** were 2,4-decadiencyl and two acetyls. The HMBC (Fig. 2) correlations of H-3/C-1', H-20/C-1"' demonstrated that two acetyls were located at C-3 and C-20, respectively. The proton signal of H-5 in **2** resonated at δ 5.43 (s), shifting downfield by 1.74 ppm compared to those ingenols in which 5-OH was non-acylation (δ_{H-5} 3.69), suggested that decadiencyl is located at C-5 [10]. The configuration of conjugated double bonds was elucidated by the analysis of its ROESY spectrum, the comparison of the chemical shifts and the coupling patterns with those reported data. Generally speaking, the coupling constant of a cis double bond appear in decadiencyl was 11.3 Hz [11], and it will be 15.2 Hz [10] for a trans double bond. In the case of **2**, $J_{2'',3''} = 11.4$ Hz, $J_{4'',5''} = 11.4$ Hz corresponding to cis double bond between C-2" and C-3", and between C-4" and C-5". In addition, the difference between cis C-4"/C-5" and trans C-4"/C-5" double bonds was the chemical shift of H-5"; normally, the $\delta_{H-5"}$ of *cis*-configuration was about 5.90 ppm, while $\delta_{H-5''}$ of thans-configuration was about 6.20 ppm [10,11]. The chemical shift of H-5" (δ_{H} 5.94) of **2** also indicating that double bond between C-4" and C-5" is cis, which was confirmed by the observed ROESY correlations of H-2"/H-3" and H-4"/ H-5" (Fig. 3). The observed ROESY correlations of H-8/H-11, Me-17; H-13/H-14; Me-16/H-13, H-14; H-13/Me-18/H-1 suggested that the stereochemistry of ingenane skeleton in 2 was the same as ingenol-3,5,20-triacetate, which was established by the single-crystal X-ray crystallography [12]. Therefore, the structure of **2** was determined as 3,20-0-diacetyl-5-O-(2''Z,4''Z-decadienoyl)-ingenol and it is the first example of an ingenol substituted by a 2Z,4Z-decadienoyl acidic moieties.

Strachevioid C (3) possessed a molecular formula of $C_{20}H_{28}O_3$ as deduced from its HREIMS (M⁺, m/z 316.2030). The UV and IR spectra of 3 indicated the presence of an $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -unsaturated- γ -lactone group ($\lambda_{max} = 279$ nm, log ϵ 4.57; $\nu_{max} = 1747$, 1661 cm⁻¹), and this was further supported by its NMR data. The ¹H NMR spectrum exhibited three tertiary methyl signals ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.83, 0.89, 0.91, each 3H, s), one hydroxymethylene ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.40, 2H, s), one oxymethine $(\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.94, 1H, dd, J = 13.5, 6.3 Hz) and one olefinic methine (δ_{H} 6.38, 1H, s). Twenty carbon resonances (6 \times C, 4 \times CH, $7 \times CH_2$, $3 \times CH_3$) appeared in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, including one carbonyl group (δ_{C} 174.4, s), two olefins $[\delta_{C} 113.6 \text{ (d)}, 118.2 \text{ (s)}, 155.0 \text{ (s)}, 158.3 \text{ (s)}]$ and two oxygenated carbons [δ_{C} 55.2 (t), 76.5 (d)]. A comparison of the NMR data of 3 with those of jolkinolide E [13] indicated that they were very similar except that a hydroxyl group was substituted at C-17, which was confirmed by HMBC correlations of H-17 with C-13, C-15 and C-16 (Fig. 2). The ROESY correlations (Fig. 3) of H-5/H-9, Me-18; Me-20/H-12, Me-19 suggested that compound 3 sharing the relative configuration with the reported. As a result, the structure of stracheyioid C(3) was determined as 17-hydroxy-ent-abieta-8(14),13(15)-dien-16,12-olide.

The known compounds were identified as byzantionoside B (4) [14], (6R,9S)-3-oxo- α -ionol- β -D-glucopyranoside (5) [15], matenosides B (**6**) [16], icariside B₂ (**7**) [17], 9β-hydroxycostus acid (8) [18], isoalantolactone (9) [19], *ent*-11 β -hydroxyabieta-8(14),13(15)-dien-16,12-olide (10) [20], (2R,3S,4R,5R,9S,11S, 15*R*)-3,5,15-*O*-triacetyl-14-oxolathyra-6(17),12*E*-diene (11)[21], langduin A (12) [22], 3-O-(2'E,4'Z-decadienoyl)-20-Oacetylingenol (13) [23], sikkimenoid E (14) [24], prostratin (**15**) [25], 13-0-tetradecanoyl-12-deoxyphorbol (**16**) [26], 13-O-tetradecanoyl-20-O-acetyl-12-deoxyphorbol (17) [27], fischeroside A (18) [28], 13-O-acetylphorbol (19) [29], 12-Otetradecanoylphorbol (20) [30], 12-O-tetradecanoyl-13-Oacetylphorbol (21) [31], 12-0-tetradecanoyl-13,20-0diacetylphorbol (22) [32], 12-0-(2'E,4'E-decadienoyl)-13-0acetylphorbol (23) [33], fischeroside C (24) [28], 3_β-hydroxycycloart-23-ene-25-methyl ether (25) [34], 3β -hydroxy- 4α , 14α -dimethyl- 5α -ergosta-8,24(28)-dien-7-one (26) [35], ethyl glucopyranoside (27) [36], methyl gallate (28) [37], ethyl gallate (29) [37], 4-methoxybenzyl-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (30)

[38], salidroside (**31**) [39], 3-hydroxybenzeneethanol (**32**) [40], 1-*O*- β -D-glucopyranosyl-2-(3-hydroxyphenyl) ethanol (**33**) [41], 4-*O*-glycosyloxy-2-hydroxy-6-methoxyacetophenone (**34**) [42], coniferin (**35**) [43], neochlorogenic acid methyl ester (**36**) [44], eleutheroside B1 (**37**) [45], quercetin-3-*O*- β -D-galactoside (**38**) [46] and quercetin-3-*O*-(3"-*O*-galloyl)- β -galactopyranoside (**39**) [47] by comparison of their experimental and reported spectroscopic data. All the compounds were isolated from *E. stracheyi* for the first time.

Since tigliane, ingenane and abietane diterpenoids were the main anticancer substances from genus *Euphorbia* [2], the cytotoxicity of compounds **1–39** were evaluated against human lung carcinoma (A-549), human breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7), human hepatocellular (Hep G2), human cervical carcinoma (Hela) and mouse leukemia (P388) cell lines by MTT method, with 5-FU as a positive control [48]. Compounds **3**, **17** and **21** inhibit the proliferation of A549 cell line with IC₅₀ values of 29.44, 40.28 and 42.86 μ M, respectively. Compounds **16** and **22** exhibit modest cytotoxicity against Hep G2 cell line with IC₅₀ values of 10.57 and 6.88 μ M, respectively. Compounds **2** and **23** exhibit cytotoxicity against Hela cell line with IC₅₀ values of 17.74 and 10.07 μ M, respectively. The other compounds were inactive.

The antiangiogenic activities of all the isolated compounds were tested using a zebrafish model in terms of the inhibition on the growth of intersegmental vessels, using PTK787 as positive control (IC₅₀ 0.23 μ M) [49]. None of the tested compounds exhibited obvious antiangiogenic activity. However, we found that all the embryos treated with compounds **13** (1 μ g/mL), **17** (1 μ g/mL), **22** (1 μ g/mL), **9** (50 μ g/mL), **11** (50 μ g/mL), **15** (50 μ g/mL) and **20** (50 μ g/mL) were died, and pericardial edema (incidence: about 50%) was observed among the alive embryos when treated with lower concentration of compound **11** (Fig. 4).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31300293 and 81202584), the



Fig. 4. The impacts of compound **11** on zebratish embryos. (A) Control embryos (48 hpf); (B) embryonic pericardial edema appeared after treated with compound **11** (1 μ g/mL) for 24.

General Project of Applied Foundation Research, Yunnan Province (2013FB067), the Basic Research Project of Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2012FY110300) and the Major State Basic Research Development Program (2010CB951704).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2014.06.013.

References

- Shi QW, Su XH, Kiyota H. Chemical and pharmacological research of the plants in genus *Euphorbia*. Chem Rev 2008;108:4295–327.
- [2] Pacheco AG, Oliveira PM, Veloso DP, Alcântara AFC. ¹³C-NMR data of diterpenes isolated from Aristolochia species. Molecules 2009;14:1245–62.
- [3] Zhang BY, Wang H, Luo XD, Du ZZ, Shen JW, Zeng LF, et al. Chemical constituents from *Euphorbia stracheyi*. Chin Tradit Herb Drugs 2012; 43:1496–8.
- [4] Ma ZK, Dai Y, Zhang BB, Liao ZX. Study on chemical constituents of Euphorbia stracheyi Boiss. Northwest Pharm J 2012;27:1–4.
- [5] Li XL, Li Y, Wang SF, Zhao YL, Liu KC, Wang XM, et al. Ingol and ingenol diterpenes from the aerial parts of *Euphorbia royleana* and their antiangiogenic activities. J Nat Prod 2009;72:1001–5.
- [6] Yang DS, Zhang YL, Peng WB, Wang LY, Li ZL, Wang X, et al. Jatropholane-type diterpenes from *Euphorbia sikkimensis*. J Nat Prod 2013;76:265–9.
- [7] Shibasaki M. Phorbols: chemical synthesis and chemical biology. Yakugaku Zasshi 2000;120:76–90.
- [8] Haas W, Sterk H, Mittelbach M. Novel 12-deoxy-16-hydroxyphorbol diesters isolated from the seed oil of *Jatropha curcas*. J Nat Prod 2002;65:1434–40.
- [9] Shi YP, Jia ZJ, Ma B, Saleh S, Lahham J. Ingenane diterpenes from Euphorbia petiolata. Planta Med 1996;62:260–2.
- [10] Wang LY, Wang NL, Yao XS, Miyata S, Kitanaka S. Diterpenes from the roots of *Euphorbia kansui* and their in vitro effects on the cell division of *Xenopus*. J Nat Prod 2002;65:1246–51.
- [11] Urones JG, Barcala PB, Cuadrado MJS, Marcos IS. Diterpenes from the latex of *Euphorbia broteri*. Phytochemistry 1988;27:207–12.
- [12] Zechmeister K, Brandl F, Hoppe W, Hecker E, Opferkuch HJ, Adolf W. Structure determination of the new tetracyclic diterpene ingenol-triacetate with triple product methods. Tetrahedron Lett 1970;11:4075–8.
- [13] Perellino NC, Garofano L, Arlandini E, Pinciroli V, Minghetti A, Vincieri FF, et al. Identification of new diterpenoids from *Euphorbia calyptrata* cell cultures. J Nat Prod 1996;59:773–6.
- [14] Matsunami K, Otsuka H, Takeda Y. Structural revisions of blumenol C glucoside and byzantionoside B. Chem Pharm Bull 2010;58:438–41.
- [15] Pabst A, Barron D, Sémon E, Schreier P. Two diastereomeric 3-oxo-α-ionol β-D-glucosides from raspberry fruit. Phytochemistry 1992;31:1649–52.
- [16] Xu GH, Kim YH, Choo SJ, Ryoo IJ, Yoo JK, Ahn JS, et al. Two acetylated megastigmane glycosides from the leaves of *llex paraguariensis*. Arch Pharm Res 2010;33:369–73.
- [17] Miyase T, Ueno A, Takizawa N, Kobayashi H, Karasawa H. Studies on the glycosides of *Epimedium grandiflorum* Morr. var. *thunbergianum* (Miq.) Nakai. I. Chem Pharm Bull 1987;35:1109–17.
- [18] Oliveira FC, Ferreira MJP, Núñez CV, Rodriguez GV, Emerenciano VP. ¹³C NMR spectroscopy of eudesmane sesquiterpenes. Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spec 2000;37:1–45.
- [19] Klochkov SG, Afanaseva SV, Pushin AN. Acidic isomerization of alantolactone derivatives. Chem Nat Compd 2006;42:400–6.
- [20] Lal AR, Cambie RC, Rutledge PS, Woodgate PD. Ent-pimarane and ent-abietane diterpenes from Euphorbia fidjiana. Phytochemistry 1990;29:2239–46.
- [21] Ferreira AM, Carvalho LH, Carvalho MJ, Sequeira MM, Silva AM. Jatrophane and lathyrane diterpenoids from *Euphorbia hyberna* L. Phytochemistry 2002;61:373–7.
- [22] Ma QG, Liu WZ, Wu XY, Zhou TX, Qin GW. Diterpenoids from Euphorbia fischeriana. Phytochemistry 1997;44:663–6.
- [23] Shi JG, Jia ZJ, Yang L. Lathyrane and ingenane diterpenoids from Euphorbia micractina. Planta Med 1994;60:588–9.
- [24] Yang DS, Peng WB, Li ZL, Wang X, Wei JG, Liu KC, et al. Chemical constituents from the aerial parts of *Euphorbia sikkimensis* and their bioactivities. Nat Prod Bioprospect 2013;3:112–6.
- [25] Gustafson KR, Cardellina JH, McMahon JB, Gulakowski RJ, Ishitoya J, Szallasi Z, et al. A nonpromoting phorbol from the samoan medicinal

plant Homalanthus nutans inhibits cell killing by HIV-1. J Med Chem 1992;35:1978-86.

- [26] Kotsonis P, Majewski H. The structural requirements for phorbol esters to enhance noradrenaline and dopamine release from rat brain cortex. Br J Pharmacol 1996;119:115–25.
- [27] Satyanarayana P, Kumar KA, Singh SK, Rao GN. A new phorbol diester from Aleurites moluccana. Fitoterapia 2001;72:304–6.
- [28] Pan LL, Fang PL, Zhang XJ, Ni W, Li L, Yang LM, et al. Tigliane-type diterpenoid glycosides from *Euphorbia fischeriana*. J Nat Prod 2011; 74:1508–12.
- [29] Marshall GT, Kinghorn AD. Short-chain phorbol ester constituents of croton oil. J Am Oil Chem Soc 1984;61:1220–5.
- [30] Pieters LAC, Vlietinck AJ. ¹³C NMR spectroscopy of phorbol esters. Magn Reson Chem 1987;25:368–70.
- [31] Bourjot M, Delang L, Nguyen VH, Neyts J, Guéritte F, Leyssen P, et al. Prostratin and 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate are potent and selective inhibitors of Chikungunya virus replication. J Nat Prod 2012;75:2183–7.
- [32] El-Mekkawy S, Meselhy MR, Abdel-Hafez AA-M, Nakamura N, Hattori M, Kawahata T, et al. Inhibition of cytopathic effect of human immunodeficiency virus type-1 by various phorbol derivatives. Chem Pharm Bull 2002;50:523–9.
- [33] Xia SX, Li LZ, Li FF, Peng Y, Song SJ, Gao PY, et al. Two novel diterpenes isolated from the flower buds of *Daphne genkwa*. Acta Chim Sin 2011; 69:2518–22.
- [34] Escobedo-Martínez C, Lozada MC, Hernández-Ortega S, Villarreal ML, Gnecco D, Enríquez RG, et al. ¹H and ¹³C NMR characterization of new cycloartane triterpenes from *Mangifera indica*. Magn Reson Chem 2012; 50:52–7.
- [35] Tanaka R, Kasubuchi K, Kita S, Matsunaga S. Obtusifoliol and related steroids from the whole herb of *Euphorbia chamaesyce*. Phytochemistry 1999;51:457–63.
- [36] Cheng J, Zhao YY, Cui YX, Cheng TM. Studies on flavonoids from leave of Eucommia ulmoides Oliv. Chin J Chin Mater Med 2000;25:284–6.

- [37] Zhao YY, Cui CB, Cai B, Sun QS. Chemical constituents from Bauhinia variegata L. Chin J Med Chem 2005;15:302–4.
- [38] Zhou YZ, Chen H, Qiao L, Lu X, Hua HM, Pei YH. Five new aromatic glycosides from *Carthamus tinctorius*. Helv Chim Acta 2008;91:1277–85.
- [39] Zhou LY, Zhang XH, Chen CX. Chemical study on *Rhodiola* from Lijiang. Nat Prod Res Dev 2004;16:410–4.
- [40] Yang DS, Wei JG, Yang YP, Yang YH, Li XL. Chemical constituents of Euphorbia sikkimensis. Chin J Chin Mater Med 2013;38:4094–8.
- [41] D'Abrosca B, DellaGreca M, Fiorentino A, Monaco P, Previtera L, Simonet AM, et al. Potential allelochemicals from *Sambucus nigra*. Phytochemistry 2001;58:1073–81.
- [42] Prasad D. A new aromatic glycoside from the roots of *Prunus armeniaca*. Fitoterapia 1999;70:266–8.
- [43] Greca MD, Ferrara M, Fiorentino A, Monaco P, Previtera L. Antialgal compounds from *Zantedeschia aethiopica*. Phytochemistry 1998;49: 1299–304.
- [44] Zhu XD, Dong XJ, Wang YF, Ju P, Luo SD. Phenolic compounds from *Viburnum cylindricum*. Helv Chim Acta 2005;88:339–42.
- [45] Kozawa M, Matsuyama Y, Fukumoto M, Baba K. Chemical studies of *Coelopleurum gmelinii* (DC) LEDEB. I. constituents of the root. Chem Pharm Bull 1983;31:64–9.
- [46] Yin F, Hu LH, Lou F. Chemical studies on the herb of Ocimum basilicum L. Chin J Nat Med 2004;2:20–4.
- [47] Şöhretoğlu D, Sakar MK, Sterner O. New galloylated flavonoid glycosides from *Geranium stepporumdavis* DAVIS. Helv Chim Acta 2009;92:520–4.
- [48] Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods 1983;65:55–63.
- [49] Murphey RD, Zon LI. Small molecule screening in the zebrafish. Methods 2006;39:255–61.