Phragmalin Limonoids from the Stem Barks of Chukrasia tabularis var. velutina

Authors

Affiliations

Jun-Lin Yin^{1,2}, Xin Fang^{1,2}, En-De Liu³, Chun-Mao Yuan^{1,4}, Shi-Fei Li^{1,2}, Yu Zhang^{1,2}, Hong-Ping He¹, Shun-Lin Li¹, Ying-Tong Di¹, Xiao-Jiang Hao¹

The affiliations are listed at the end of the article

Key words

Chukrasia tabularis

- Meliaceae
- phragmalin
- Iimonoid
- chukvelutilide I
- Artemia sinica

 received
 January 21, 2014

 revised
 June 13, 2014

 accepted
 July 29, 2014

Bibliography

DOI http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0034-1382998 Published online August 25, 2014 Planta Med 2014; 80: 1304–1309 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart • New York • ISSN 0032-0943

Correspondence Prof. Dr. Xiao-Jiang Hao

Kunming Institute of Botany Chinese Academy of Sciences 132 Lanhei Road 650201 Kunming P.R. China Phone: + 8687165223263 Fax: + 8687165223070 haoxj@mail.kib.ac.cn

Correspondence Prof. Dr. Ying-Tong Di

Kunming Institute of Botany Chinese Academy of Sciences 132 Lanhei Road 650201 Kunming P.R. China Phone: + 8687165223263 Fax: + 8687165223070 diyt@mail.kib.ac.cn

Abstract

Seven new phragmalin limonoids, chukvelutilides I–O (**1–7**), were isolated from the stem barks of *Chukrasia tabularis* var. *velutina*. Their structures were elucidated by extensive spectroscopic analy-

sis. Among them, compound 1 showed moderate lethal activity against brine shrimp larvae, with an LC_{50} value of $84.1 \,\mu$ M.

Supporting information available online at http://www.thieme-connect.de/products

Introduction

Phragmalin limonoids possess characteristic rings of A and B tricyclo[3.3.1^{2,10}.1^{1,4}]decane or tricyclo [4.2.1^{10,30}.1^{1,4}]decane, some of which also bear an orthoester group at positions 1, 8, 9; 8, 9, 11; 8, 9, 14; or 8, 9, 30 [1]. Bioassays on these structurally diverse molecules have shown them to have a broad range of activities [1-3]. Chukrasia tabularis var. velutina, a timber tree, grows mainly in tropical areas of Asia, and its stem bark has been traditionally used as astringent, antidiarrheal, and anti-influenza agent in China. As part of our continuing search for novel limonoids from the Meliaceae family with bioactivity [4-8], seven new phragmalin derivatives, chukvelutilides I-O (1–7), were isolated from the air-dried stem barks of C. tabularis from Yuxi, in the Yunnan Province of China. Among them, compounds 1-5 were 15acyl phragmalins with an 1,8,9-orthoacetate group and a C-16/30 δ -lactone ring, while compounds 6 and 7 featured an 8,9,30-orthoacetate group and a C-16/17 δ -lactone ring (**\bigcirc Fig. 1**). Moreover, a brine shrimp (Artemia sinica) lethality test was performed to assay the biological activity [9]. Herein, the isolation, structural elucidation, and bioassay results of these compounds are presented.

Results and Discussion ▼

The MeOH extract from the dried stem barks of *C. tabularis* was suspended in water and partitioned successively with petroleum ether and EtOAc. The EtOAc-soluble extract was subjected to column chromatography followed by RP-HPLC to yield seven new limonoids. The structures of the new compounds were identified by spectroscopic analysis.

Chukvelutilide I (1), a white amorphous powder, had the molecular formula of $C_{43}H_{52}O_{20}$, as determined by HRESIMS at m/2 911.2964 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. 911.2949), with 18 degrees of unsaturation. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of 1 (**• Table 1** and 2) indicated the presence of five acetyl groups, one β -furanyl, one β -dicarbonyl, one orthoacetate group, one ester carbonyl, one methoxyl, two tertiary methyls, three methylenes (one oxygenated), seven methines (five oxygenated), and seven quaternary carbons (four oxygenated). Ten degrees of unsaturation were occupied by seven carbonyl ester groups and three carbon-carbon double bonds, and the remaining eight degrees were accounted for by the octacyclic core.

Extensive analysis of the 2D NMR spectra of compound **1**, especially HMBC (**• Fig. 2**), suggested that this compound was a 15-acyl phragmalin limonoid with a 1,8,9-orthoacetate and a C-16/30 δ -lactone ring [10]. The five acetoxyl groups were located at C-2, C-3, C-12, C-17, and C-19 by the HMBC correlations of OAc-2 (δ_H 2.16, s)/C-2 (δ_C 79.1), H-3 (δ_H 5.34, s)/OAc-3 (δ_C 168.4), H-12 (δ_H 4.33, br s)/OAc-12 (δ_C 168.8), H-17 (δ_H 5.70, s)/

Fig. 1 Chemical structures of compounds 1–7.



OAc-17 (δ_{C} 168.5), and Ha-19 (δ_{H} 4.68, d, J = 11.4 Hz)/OAc-19 (δ_{C} 169.8). Therefore, the planar structure of compound **1** was established as shown, and the relative configuration of **1** was determined to be the same as that of chukvelutilide C by the ROESY spectrum and 3D computer modelling (**© Fig. 2**) [10].

The structures of **2–4** were determined to be polyoxygenated phragmalins [10–13]. They all exhibited the same skeleton as **1** but with different substitution patterns, as determined by spectroscopic data analysis and comparison of their NMR data with those of **1**.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of **2** were similar to those of **1**, except for the presence of an additional methyl group [CH₃-19 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.16, s)] and the absence of the *O*-bearing CH₂ and CH₃CO groups in **1**, thus indicating that **2** was a 19-deacetoxy analogue of **1** (**• Table 1** and **2**). This conclusion was further confirmed by HRESIMS and 2D NMR data.

The molecular formula of chukvelutilide K (**3**) was determined to be $C_{43}H_{52}O_{20}$ at m/z 887.2981 [M – H][–] by HRESIMS. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data suggested that **3** possessed the same skeleton as **1** but with different substitution patterns (**• Table 1** and **2**). The 2D NMR data, especially the HMBC correlations, indicated that OAc-6, OAc-11, and OH-12 of **3** replaced H-6, OH-11, and OAc-12 of **1**. The relative configuration of compound **3** was elucidated to be same as that of **1** by the ROESY spectrum (see Supporting Information).

Chukvelutilide L (4) gave the molecular formula $C_{41}H_{50}O_{19}$ from HRESIMS, indicating that it possessed one acetyl group less than 1. The large chemical shift discrepancy at C-2 (ca. Δ -2.9) and at C-3 (ca. Δ +3.4) between 4 and 1 along with the aforementioned information implied that 4 was the 2-deacetyl analogue of 1 (\odot Table 1 and 2). The remaining planar and relative configurations of 4 were identical to those of 1, as determined by the HMBC and ROESY data.

Chukvelutilide M (**5**) had a molecular formula $C_{42}H_{51}O_{19}$, as determined by the negative HRESIMS ion at m/z 859.3029 [M – H]⁻ (calcd. 859.3024). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of **5** resembled closely those of **4** (**• Table 1** and **2**) except that an isobutyryloxyl and an ethyl group in **5** replaced an acetoxyl group at C-12 and an

isopropyl group at C-1' in **4**. The HMBC correlations from H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.43, br s), Me-3", and Me-2" to the carbonyl group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 174.3), along with the ¹H–¹H COSY cross-peaks of Me-3"/H-2" and Me-4"/H-2", placed the isobutyryloxyl group at C-12. In addition, the HMBC correlations of Me-3' with C-1' ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 178.6), and H₂-2' with C-1' ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 178.6) and C-15 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 93.1), as well as the ¹H–¹H COSY correlations of Me-3'/H₂-2' indicated that the ethyl was linked to C-1'. Thus, the planar structure of **5** was established as shown. The relative configurations at the chiral centres of **5** were the same as those of **2** on the basis of ¹³C NMR shifts and ROESY data (see Supporting Information).

Chukvelutilide N (**6**), a white solid, had a molecular formula of $C_{35}H_{42}O_{14}$, as determined by HRESIMS at m/z 709.2484 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. 709.2472). Analysis of its ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (**• Table 1** and **2**) indicated that compound **6** is a congener of tabulalin, which possessed a phragmalin skeleton with a 8,9,30-orthoacetate [11]. In the HMBC spectrum of **6**, the observed correlations of one OH ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.62, s) to C-1 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 83.6), the other OH ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.11, d, J = 4.9 Hz) to C-12 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 64.4), and H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.00, s) to an ester carbonyl ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 168.7 s) indicated that the two hydroxyl groups were located at C-1 and C-12, respectively, and that the acetoxyl group was placed at C-3. The location of the isobutyryloxyl moiety at C-2 was supported by the HMBC correlations of H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.00, s), H-30 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.18, s), and Me-3'' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.01, d, J = 7.4 Hz) to the ester carbonyl at C-1'' ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 174.3). The structure of **6** was established as shown.

Analysis of the NMR data of chukvelutilide O (7) (**• Table 1** and **2**) showed that it was a derivative of tabularisin C [12]. The only difference was that the OH group at C-6 of **7** replaced the acetoxyl group at the same position of the latter. This conclusion was confirmed by HRESIMS and 2D NMR data (**• Table 1** and **2**). The structure of **7** was thus established as shown.

Compounds 1–7 were evaluated for their lethal activities against brine shrimp larvae (\circ Table 3). Among them, compound 1 was the most lethal to brine shrimp, with an LC₅₀ value of 84.1 μ M.

Table 1 ¹ H NMR da	ata of 1–7 (/ in Hz). ^{a, b}
-------------------------------	--

Position	1 ^c	2 ^d	3 ^d	4ª	5°	6 ^c	7 ^c
3	5.34 s	5.51 s	5.43 s	4.67 s	4.67 s	5.00 s	5.02 s
5	2.90 t (10.5)	3.03 t (10.5)	3.36 br. s	2.98 t (9.2)	3.01 overlap	2.05 overlap	2.46 br. s
6a	2.87 overlap	2.67 d (17.4)	6.17 br. s	2.92 d (17.2)	2.95 br. d (17.7)	2.52 m	4.79 d (5.8)
6b	2.70 dd (17.9,	2.42 dd (17.4,		2.69 dd (17.2,	2.70 dd (17.7,		
	10.5)	10.5)		10.9)	10.8)		
11a	4.86 d (4.1)	4.38 d (1.6)	4.48 overlap	4.89 br. s	4.87 br. s	2.05 m	4.06 d (4.7)
11b						1.79t(13.7)	
12	4.33 br. s	4.54 d (1.6)	4.50 overlap	4.35 br. s	4.43 br. s	3.55 overlap	5.00 overlap
14	3.55 s	3.47 s	3.47 s	3.54 s	3.56 s		
15						6.37 s	6.75 s
17	5.70 s	5.89 s	5.87 s	5.68 s	5.73 s	5.70 s	6.33 s
18a	1.46 s	1.56 s	1.56 s	0.86 s	1.47 s	1.27 s	2.84 dd (6.5, 2.1)
18b							1.35 overlap
19a	4.68 d (11.4)	1.16 s	1.25 s	4.67 d (11.5)	4.65 d (11.4)	1.20 s	
19b	4.13 (d 11.4)			4.14 d (11.5)	4.16 d (11.4)		
21	7.53 s	7.60 s	7.64 s	7.55 s	7.56 s	7.49 s	7.55 s
22	6.45 br. s	6.41 br. s	6.43 br. s	6.46 br. s	6.46 br. s	6.46 br. s	6.63 br. s
23	7.57 br. s	7.27 br. s	7.27 br. s	7.56 br. s	7.56 br. s	7.60 br. s	7.68 br. s
28	0.87 s	1.00 s	1.16 s	0.86 s	0.90 s	0.59 s	0.78 s
29a	1.90 d (12.4)	2.02 d (11.2)	2.14 d (11.2)	1.85 d (11.3)	1.88 overlap	1.97 d (11.8)	2.25 d (10.7)
29b	1.86 d (12.4)	1.86 d (11.2)	1.87 d (11.2)	1.69 d (11.3)	1.72 d (11.2)	1.51 d (11.8)	1.47 overlap
30	5.55 S	5./3 s	5.60 s	5.27 s	5.32 s	5.185	4.83 s
32	1.54 s	1.65 s	1.64 s	1.57 s	1.4/s	1.62 s	1.62 s
2'	2.97 m	3.01 m	3.00 m	2.96 m	2.54 overlap		
3	1.200(0.8)	1.31 ((0.5)	1.280(0.0)	1.210(0.7)	1.13111		
4	1.06 0 (6.5)	1.65 DF. d	1.160(6.6)	1.05 d (6.7)		2.06 a	2.62.6
1-0H				4 72 c	4 72 c	2.00 \$	3.025
5 OH				4.755	4.723		6 15 d (5 7)
11-0H	556d(44)	2635		550d(43)	5 47 d (4 1)		5.11 d (3.7)
17-0H	5.50 d (4.4)	2.053	2 51 s	5.50 d (4.5)	5.47 (4.1)	4 32 d (5 25)	5.110(4.5)
1/-OH	13 78 s	13 96 s	13 90 s	13 73 s	13 51 s	1.52 d (5.25)	
7-OMe	3.61 s	3.74 s	3.77 s	3.63 s	3.64 s	3.66 s	
2-0Ac	2.16 s	1.92 s	2.17 s	5105 5	51015	5100 5	
3-OAc	1.94 s	2.15. s	2.17. s	2.19. s	2.19. s	1.92. s	1.50. s
6-OAc			2.11, s				
11-0Ac			1.66, s				
12-0Ac	1.55, s	2.40, s		1.56, s			2.14, s
15-0Ac							2.05, s
17-0Ac	1.84, s	1.15, s	1.93, s	1.85, s	1.88, s		
19-0Ac	1.98, s			1.97, s	1.97, s		
2''					2.08, m	2.60, m	2.53, m
3''					0.86, d (7.1)	1.01, t (7.4)	1.07, d (7.0)
4''					0.80, d (7.1)	1.01, t (7.4)	1.05, d (7.0)

^a Signals were assigned on the basis of 1H-1H COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and ROESY experiments; ^b spectra were measured at 500 MHz; ^c data measured in DMSO-*d*₆; ^d data measured in CDCl₃

Materials and Methods

▼

General experimental procedures

NMR spectra were measured in a CDCl₃ or DMSO- d_6 solution and recorded on a Bruker DRX-400 or DRX-500 instrument using TMS as an internal standard. The optical rotations were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer model 241 polarimeter or a Horiba SEPA-300 polarimeter. IR spectra were measured in a Bio-Rad FTS-135 spectrometer as KBr pellets. MS was performed on a VG Auto Spec-3000 or a Finnigan MAT 90 instrument. UV data were measured on a UV 210A spectrometer. Column chromatography was performed on an MCI gel CHP20P (75–150 µm, Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation), a C₁₈ reversed-phase silica gel (40–75 µm, Fuji Silysia Chemical Ltd.), and a Si-gel (300–400 mesh) from Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory. Semi-preparative HPLC was performed

on an Agilent 1100 apparatus with a chromolith RP-18e (Merck, 100 × 10 mm) column.

Material

The stem barks of *C. tabularis* var. *velutina* were collected in Yuxi, Yunnan Province, China, in August 2008 and identified by En-De Liu (Biogeography and Ecology, Kunming Institute of Botany). A voucher specimen (KIB HXJ08003) was deposited at the Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Extraction and isolation

The air-dried and crashed stem barks (13 kg) of *C. tabularis* var. *velutina* were extracted with MeOH $(20 \text{ L} \times 3)$ at room temperature and concentrated to give a residue (320 g) *in vacuo* at $60 \,^{\circ}$ C.

Position	1 ^b	2 ^c	3°	4 ^b	5 ^b	6 ^b	7 ^b
1	84.1	84.7	84.6	84.1	84.0	83.6	84.1
2	79.1	78.7	83.2	76.2	76.2	83.1	83.5
3	79.9	80.1	80.5	83.3	83.3	85.0	85.9
4	45.8	46.3	46.5	45.3	45.3	47.4	43.7
5	35.0	35.6	40.0	36.0	35.9	39.8	43.3
6	32.1	33.0	71.4	32.2	32.1	32.6	69.4
7	173.1	172.6	170.0	173.1	173.3	173.8	176.4
8	82.6	83.2	78.9	79.4	79.4	83.4	86.0
9	83.0	83.3	83.2	83.6	83.6	85.5	84.8, s
10	47.5	46.4	47.2	46.8	46.8	47.4	48.7
11	68.9	68.9	68.7	68.9	68.8	36.8	66.3
12	71.7	72.1	72.0	71.7	71.1	64.4	68.3
13	43.6	44.8	46.5	43.8	44.3	43.7	29.3
14	41.7	42.9	42.8	41.8	42.1	153.0	24.5
15	91.5	90.9	91.0	91.9	93.1	122.5	70.5
16	170.4	170.3	170.3	170.8	170.5	163.4	165.6
17	69.9	69.9	70.1	70.0	70.3	79.8	71.7
18	17.4	17.9	18.0	17.3	17.6	13.2	16.5
19	65.6	16.4	13.8	65.8	65.9	15.0	84.8
20	122.5	122.0	121.9	122.6	122.7	121.8	122.8
21	140.2	141.4	141.4	140.3	140.1	141.3	141.0
22	109.8	109.9	109.9	109.9	110.0	110.7	110.0, d
23	143.4	142.6	142.6	143.3	143.0	142.9	144.0
28	14.0	14.5	15.9	13.7	13.8	14.3	15.3
29	38.8	40.3	40.6	38.6	38.6	39.6	40.1
30	73.4	73.5	73.5	73.9	74.0	73.5	74.9
31	118.9	119.0	119.0	119.2	119.3	119.3	115.6
32	20.2	20.1	20.6	20.6	20.6	16.1	15.3
1'	182.5	183.5	183.6	181.6	178.6		
2'	29.5	30.0	30.0	29.6	25.0		
5 //	10.2	70.5	16.5	20.5	11.2		
	51 5	51.0	53.2	51.6	51 5	52.0	
2-0Ac	168.4	169.3	169.5	51.0	51.5	52.0	
2 0/10	20.8	20.9	20.9				
3-OAc	168.4	170.1	169.6	169.3	169.3	168.3	168.7
	21.8	21.8	21.2	20.7	20.7	21.1	19.7
6-OAc			169.9				
			21.8				
11-OAc			169.4				
			20.0				
12-0Ac	168.8	169.4		168.8			170.2
	20.4	21.0		20.3			20.5
15-OAc							167.9
17 OAc	169 5	169.9	169.9	169.6	169.6		20.4
17-OAC	20.6	20.6	20.8	20.6	20.6		
19-0Ac	169.8	20.0	20.0	169.9	169.7		
15 0/10	21.0			21.0	20.9		
1''					174.3	175.8	175.2
2''					33.1	33.7	33.7
3''					18.6	18.6	18.6
4''					17.9	18.6	18.6

Table 2 ¹³C NMR data of 1–7 (ppm).^a

^a Spectra were measured at 100 MHz; ^b data measured in DMSO-*d*₆; ^c data measured in CDCl₃

The residue was dispersed in water (4.0 L) and extracted with petroleum ether and then EtOAc to give the EtOAc-soluble fraction (E-Fraction, 190 g). The E-Fraction was processed with a silica gel column (0.2 m × 1.0 m, 100 to 200 mesh, 1.0 kg) and eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (1% MeOH, 3% MeOH, and 10% MeOH, each 5 L). The 3% MeOH eluent (120 g) was subjected to an MCI gel column (5.5 cm × 48 cm, 0.5 kg) eluted with MeOH-H₂O (60% MeOH, 80%

MeOH, 90% MeOH, each 2 L) to give three fractions, termed Fr. A –C. Fr. B (89 g) was subjected to a column of C_{18} reversed-phase silica gel (4 cm × 48 cm) eluted with MeOH-H₂O (40% MeOH, 50% MeOH, 60% MeOH, 70% MeOH, 80% MeOH, 90% MeOH, each 2 L) to yield five fractions, termed Fr. B1–B5. Fr. B1 was purified by a silica gel column (2.5 cm × 18 cm, 300 to 400 mesh, 50 g) and eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (75:1) to yield compounds **1** (10 mg)



and **3** (7 mg). Fr. B2 was separated with a silica gel column ($2.5 \times 18 \text{ cm}$, 300 to 400 mesh, 50 g), eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (100:1), and further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (45% MeOH) to give compounds **2** (6 mg), **4** (7 mg), and **7** (13 mg). Fr. B5 was separated with a silica column ($2.5 \times 18 \text{ cm}$, 300 to 400 mesh, 50 g), eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (200:1), and then purified with semipreparative HPLC (50% MeOH) to yield compounds **5** (7 mg) and **6** (7 mg). The purities of compounds **1–7** were 95%, as determined by TLC and HPLC-ELSD.

Isolates

Chukvelutilide I: white amorphous powder; $C_{43}H_{52}O_{20}$; $[\alpha]_D^{27} - 92.7$ (*c* 0.10, MeOH); IR (KBr) v_{max} : 3441, 2936, 1747, 1631, 1370, 1225 cm⁻¹; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 210 (3.96), 272 (3.91) nm; positive ion FABMS *m/z* 889 [M + H]⁺; positive ion HRESIMS *m/z* 911.2964 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. for $C_{43}H_{52}O_{20}Na$: 911.2949); ¹H (DMSO-*d*6, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (DMSO-*d*6, 100 MHz) NMR data: see **• Table 1** and **2**.

Chukvelutilide J: white amorphous powder; $C_{41}H_{50}O_{18}$; $[\alpha]_D^{-1}$ - 30.8 (*c* 0.41, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3450, 2936, 1744, 1639, 1402, 1373, 1238 cm⁻¹; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 202 (3.69), 270 (3.67) nm; positive ion FABMS *m/z* 831 [M + H]⁺; negative ion HRESIMS *m/z* 829.2918 [M - H]⁻ (calcd. for $C_{41}H_{49}O_{18}$: 829.2918); ¹H (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) NMR data: **• Table 1** and **2**.

Chukvelutilide K: white amorphous powder; $C_{43}H_{52}O_{20}$; $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ – 38.8 (*c* 0.28, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 211 (3.77), 275 (3.81) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} : 3460, 2968, 1751, 1641, 1605, 1437, 1373, 1227 cm⁻¹; FABMS *m/z* 887 [M - H]⁻; HRESIMS *m/z* 887.2981 [M - H]⁻ (calcd. for $C_{43}H_{51}O_{20}$: 887.2973); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) data: see **• Table 1** and **2**.

Chukvelutilide L: white amorphous powder; $C_{41}H_{50}O_{19}$; $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ – 47.6 (*c* 0.14, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 211 (3.64), 272 (3.64) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} : 3442, 2920, 1744, 1630, 1402, 1371,

 Table 3
 LC₅₀ values of compounds 1–7 against Artemia sinica.

Compounds	LC ₅₀ ± SD (μM)
1	84.1 ± 4.0
2	203.2 ± 10.0
3	172.3 ± 9.0
4	227.9 ± 11.0
5	143.3 ± 8.0
6	229.1 ± 10.9
7	193.2 ± 10.2
Deltamethrin ^a	72.0 ± 2.9

^a Positive control

1233 cm⁻¹; positive ion ESIMS m/z 847 [M + H]⁺; positive ion HRESIMS m/z 869.2848 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. for C₄₁H₅₀O₁₉Na: 869.2841); ¹H (DMSO-*d*6, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (DMSO-*d*6, 100 MHz) NMR data: see **• Table 1** and **2**.

Chukvelutilide M: white amorphous powder; $C_{42}H_{52}O_{19}$; $[\alpha]_{2}^{25}$ – 27.6 (*c* 0.26, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 213 (3.48), 273 (3.33) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3442, 2957, 2919, 2850, 1738, 1640, 1452, 1252 cm⁻¹; negative ion FABMS *m/z* 859 [M – H]⁻; negative ion HRESIMS *m/z* 859.3029 [M – H]⁻ (calcd. for $C_{42}H_{51}O_{19}$: 859.3024); ¹H (DMSO-*d*6, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (DMSO-*d*6, 100 MHz) NMR data: see **• Table 1** and **2**.

Chukvelutilide N: white amorphous powder; $C_{35}H_{42}O_{14}$; $[\alpha]_{26}^{26}$ + 47.8 (*c* 0.07, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 212 (4.27) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3439, 2934, 1732, 1630, 1382, 1234 cm⁻¹; negative ion FABMS *m/z* 685 [M - H]⁻; positive ion HRESIMS *m/z* 709.2484 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. for $C_{35}H_{42}O_{14}Na$: 709.2472); ¹H (DMSO-*d*6, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (DMSO-*d*6, 100 MHz) NMR data: see **• Table 1** and **2**.

Chukvelutilide 0: white amorphous powder; $C_{39}H_{46}O_{19}$; $[\alpha]_{16}^{26}$ + 10.2 (*c* 0.24, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 211 (3.73) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} : 3440, 2919, 1764, 1737, 1630, 1375, 1211 cm⁻¹; negative ion FABMS: *m/z* 817 [M – H]⁻; negative ion HRESIMS *m/z* 817.2552 [M – H]⁻ (calcd. for $C_{39}H_{45}O_{19}$: 817.2555); ¹H (DMSO-*d*6, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (DMSO-*d*6, 100 MHz) NMR data: see **Table 1** and **2**.

Brine shrimp toxicity assay

Compounds 1–7 were evaluated for their lethal activity against brine shrimp larvae based on an established 96-well plate protocol [9]. In this test, a drop of DMSO was added to the test and control vials to enhance the solubility of the compounds. Deltamethrin (Sigma, purity \geq 98%) was used as a positive control.

Supporting information

The MS, IR, 1D, and 2D NMR spectra of compounds **1–7** are available as Supporting Information.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the members of the Analytical Centre of the State Key Laboratory. This work was financially supported by the Young Academic and Technical Leader Raising Foundation of Yunnan Province to Y.-T. Di (2009Cl072).

Conflict of Interest

▼

Prof. Xiao-Jiang Hao and Prof. Ying-Tong Di initiated the project. Ms. Jun-Lin Yin, Ms. Chun-Mao Yuan, Ms. Shi-Fei Li, Dr. Yu Zhang, Dr. Hong-Ping He, Dr. Shun-Lin Li performed the extraction, isolation, and structural identification of the compounds. Dr. Xin Fang performed the brine shrimp toxicity assay experiment. Dr. En-De Liu collected and identified the plant material. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. We declare that all authors have read the manuscript conscientiously, and all agree with its publication. The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

Affiliations

- ¹ State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, P. R. China
- ² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, P.R. China
- ³ Department of Biogeography and Ecology, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, P.R. China
- ⁴ Key Laboratory of Structure-Based Drug Design and Discovery, Ministry of Education, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, Shenyang, P.R. China

References

- 1 *Tan QG, Luo XD*. Meliaceous limonoids: chemistry and biological activities. Chem Rev 2011; 111: 7437–7522
- 2 Champagne DE, Koul O, Isman MB, Scudder GGE, Towers GHN. Biological activity of limonoids from the rutales. Phytochemistry 1992; 31: 377–394

- 3 Roy A, Saraf S. Limonoids: overview of significant bioactive triterpenes distributed in plants kingdom. Biol Pharm Bull 2006; 29: 191–201
- 4 Di YT, He HP, Liu HY, Yi P, Zhang Z, Ren YL, Wang JS, Sun QY, Yang FM, Fang X, Li SL, Zhu HJ, Hao XJ. Trijugin-type limonoids from the leaves of Cipadessa cinerascens. J Nat Prod 2007; 70: 1352–1355
- 5 Fang X, Di YT, He HP, Liu HY, Zhang Z, Ren YL, Gao ZL, Gao S, Hao XJ. Cipadonoid A, a novel limonoid with an unprecedented skeleton, from *Cipadessa cinerascens*. Org Lett 2008; 10: 1905–1908
- 6 Fang X, Zhang Q, Tan CJ, Mu SZ, Lu Y, Lu YB, Zheng QT, Di YT, Hao XJ. Cipadonoids B–G, six new limonoids from *Cipadessa cinerascens*. Tetrahedron 2009; 65: 7408–7414
- 7 Fang X, Di YT, Li CS, Geng ZL, Zhang Z, Zhang Y, Lu Y, Zheng QT, Yang SY, Hao XJ. Tetranortriterpenoids from the leaves of *Cipadessa cinerascens*. J Nat Prod 2009; 72: 714–718
- 8 *Geng ZL, Fang X, Di YT, Zhang Q, Zeng Y, Shen YM, Hao XJ.* Trichilin B, a novel limonoid with highly rearranged ring system from *Trichilia connaroides.* Tetrahedron Lett 2009; 50: 2132–2134
- 9 *McLaughlin JL*. Methods in plant biochemistry. In: Hostettmann K, ed. Assays for bioactivity. London: Academic Press; 1991: 1–35
- 10 *Luo J, Wang JS, Wang XB, Huang XF, Luo JG, Kong LY.* Chukvelutilides A–F, phragmalin limonoids from the stem barks of *Chukrasia tabularis* var. *velutina.* Tetrahedron 2009; 65: 3425–3431
- 11 Fan CQ, Wang XN, Yin S, Zhang CR, Wang FD, Yue JM. Tabularisins A–D, phragmalin orthoesters with new skeleton isolated from the seeds of *Chukrasia tabularis*. Tetrahedron 2007; 63: 6741–6747
- 12 Nakatani M, Abdelgaleil SAM, Saad MMG, Huang RC, Doe M, Iwagawa T. Phragmalin limonoids from *Chukrasia tabularis*. Phytochemistry 2004; 65: 2833–2841
- 13 Chen XL, Liu HL, Guo YW. Phragmalin limonoids from Chukrasia tabularis var. velutina. Planta Med 2012; 78: 286–290