# Chukfuransins A-D, Four New Phragmalin Limonoids with $\beta$-Furan Ring Involved in Skeleton Reconstruction from Chukrasia tabularis 
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Four new phragmalin limonoids (chukfuransins A-D) were isolated from the twigs and leaves of Chukrasia tabularis. Chukfuransins A (1) and B (2) feature a unique $\mathrm{C}-15 / \mathrm{C}$-20 linkage proposed to be built by a biogenetic pathway involving Michael addition. Chukfuransins C (3) and D (4) feature the C-15/C-21 linkage. Their structures and absolute configurations were established by NMR techniques and X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Phragmalin limonoids are important members of the enormous limonoid family. Since the chemical structure of phragmalin was elucidated in the 1970s, ${ }^{1}$ ever-increasing analogues have been derived from many Meliaceae species. ${ }^{2}$ Phragmalin limonoids possess characteristic rings of A and B tricyclo[3.3.1 $\left.{ }^{2,10} .1^{1,4}\right]$ decane or tricyclo[4.2.1 $\left.1^{10,30} \cdot 1^{1,4}\right]$ decane. The unique bridging framework has motivated researchers to seek synthetic routes by which to attain it. ${ }^{3}$ Furthermore, bioassays conducted on these structurally distinctive molecules have demonstrated

[^0]them to have antifeedent, ${ }^{4}$ anti-inflammatory, ${ }^{5}$ potassium channel blocking, ${ }^{6}$ and antibacterial ${ }^{7}$ activities. Genus Chukrasia (Meliaceae), comprising only C. tabularis and C. tabularis var. velutina, ${ }^{8}$ is a primary source of phragmalin limonoids with nearly 140 possessing miscellaneous skeletons having been reported. Except the common phragmalin limonoids ${ }^{9}$ from which they were derived, dominant skeleton types including 16-nor type, ${ }^{10} 15$ enolic acyl 16 -nor type, ${ }^{11}{ }^{13} 13 / 14 / 18$ cyclopropanyl type, ${ }^{12}$ and 15-enolic acyl type ${ }^{13}$ were all biogenetically formed by alterations confined to $D$ rings compared to the common type. In addition, tabuvelutin $\mathrm{A}^{14}$ and chukrasone $\mathrm{B}^{6}$ were the only two cases with C-19 degraded. Chuktabrin $\mathrm{B}^{11}$
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represented the only case with the E-ring (furan ring) involved in skeleton reconstruction, featuring C-15/C-21 linkage and an extended C 2 unit at $\mathrm{C}-15$. Our research on twigs and leaves of $C$. tabularis afforded chukfuransins A (1) and B (2) characterized by a C-15/C-20 linkage and the resulting unique 2-oxaspiro[4.4]non-3-ene fragment (blue part). Chukfuransins C (3) and D (4) featuring $\mathrm{C}-15 / \mathrm{C}-21$ bonding but bearing $\mathrm{C}-15$ extended C 4 unit were also attained (Figure 1). The skeletons of compounds 1 and 3 were both confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis.


Figure 1. Structures of 1-4.

Chukfuransin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1}),{ }^{15}$ colorless crystals, possessed the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ (calcd 716.3044) as determined by HREIMS ([M] $\left.{ }^{+}, m / z 716.3008\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ DEPT data classified all carbons into 13 quaternary carbons (four ester carbonyl groups, five oxygenated), 11 methines (four oxygenated, two olefinic ones), four methylenes, and nine methyls (Table 1). In addition, one methoxyl [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $3.63(\mathrm{~s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 51.91(\mathrm{q})$ ], two isobutyryloxyls [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.19(\mathrm{~d})$, 1.22 (d), 3.11 (m); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.14$ (q), 20.24 (q), 33.29 (d), 176.05 (s) and $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.23,1.23,2.70(\mathrm{~m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.52(\mathrm{q}), 18.79(\mathrm{q}), 34.03$ (d), $175.47(\mathrm{~s})]$, and an orthoacetate [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.62(\mathrm{~s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 21.19$ (q), 118.65 (s)] can be distinguished by analysis of NMR data (Figure 2). The remaining 26 carbons constituting the framework of compound $\mathbf{1}$ indicated it was a tetranortriterpenoid.

The phragmalin limonoid origin of $\mathbf{1}$ can be determined since a typical A and B tricyclo $\left[3.3 \cdot 1^{2,10} \cdot 1^{1,4}\right]$ decane ring system can be identified through elaborative analysis of the intricate spectroscopic data. Further comparison of these

[^1]

Figure 2. Key HMBC (red arrows) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY correlations (blue bonds) of $\mathbf{1}$.
data with velutinalide $A^{16}$ revealed that they shared the same structure of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ rings and $\mathrm{C}-6-\mathrm{C}-7$ side chain, as well as the $1,8,9$-substituted pattern of the orthoacetate. Three carbons at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 46.89$ (s), 51.32 (d), 84.77 (d) correlating with $\mathrm{H}_{3}-18$ in the HMBC spectrum were recognized as $\mathrm{C}-13, \mathrm{C}-14$, and $\mathrm{C}-17$, respectively. A methine proton at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 3.91 (d) correlating with C-14 in HMBC spectrum as well as with $\mathrm{H}-14$ in COSY spectrum was assigned to be $\mathrm{H}-15$. Through HMBC spectrum, the carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 168.98) correlated with both $\mathrm{H}-14$ and $\mathrm{H}-15$ was assigned as $\mathrm{C}-16$ and its correlation with $\mathrm{H}-30$ indicated the existence of a $16,30-\delta$-lactone ring in $\mathbf{1}$. Apart from the above determined part, only one quaternary carbon at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 65.83$ (C-20), one acetal carbon at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 99.40(\mathrm{C}-21)$ and a pair of olefinic carbons at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 103.07(\mathrm{C}-22)$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 145.19$ (C-23) remained undetermined. These four carbon signals could be attributed to a 2 H -furanyl group attached to $\mathrm{C}-17$ by HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}-21, \mathrm{H}-22, \mathrm{H}-23$ to $\mathrm{C}-20$ and from $\mathrm{H}-17$ to $\mathrm{C}-21$. Furthermore, the quaternary carbon at $\mathrm{C}-20$ gave us the hint of C-15/C-20 linkage, which was further proved by the HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-15$ with C-17, C-20, and C-21. Accordingly, the formation of a unique 2 -oxaspiro[4.4]non-3-ene moiety was determined. Two isobutyryloxyls were located at C-17 and C-21 according to HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-17 / \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 176.05$ and $\mathrm{H}-21 / \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.47 . \mathrm{H}-14 / \mathrm{H}-15, \mathrm{H}-15 / \mathrm{H}-17$, and $\mathrm{H}-17 / \mathrm{H}-21$ correlations in ROESY spectrum showed that these protons were all cofacial.


Figure 3. X-ray crystallographic structure of 1.
$\underline{\text { Table 1. }{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR and }{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { DEPT data for } \mathbf{1} \text { and } \mathbf{2} \text { in } \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta \text { in ppm })^{a}}$

| no. | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}($ mult, $J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{mult}, J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ |
| 1 |  | 84.90 s |  | 85.00 s |
| 2 |  | 78.25 s |  | 78.71 s |
| 3 | 3.68 (s) | 83.61 d | 3.70 (s) | 84.67 d |
| 4 |  | 45.62 s |  | 45.72 s |
| 5 | 2.75 (dd, 9.5, 3.6) | 35.12 d | 2.76 (d, 11.1) | 34.80 d |
| 6a | 2.24 (dd, 16.3, 3.6) | 33.64 t | 2.41 (dd, 18.0, 11.1) | 32.98 t |
| 6b | 2.43 (dd, 16.3, 9.5) |  | 2.54 (d, 18.0) |  |
| 7 |  | 172.89 s |  | 173.96 s |
| 8 |  | 81.33 s |  | 80.77 s |
| 9 |  | 85.08 s |  | 85.74 s |
| 10 |  | 45.62 s |  | 45.63 s |
| 11a | 1.35 (m) | 25.57 t | 1.90 (m) | 32.37 t |
| 11b | $1.62{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 2.17 (br. d, 11.9) |  |
| 12a | $1.62{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26.36 t | 4.96 (br. d, 11.9) | 66.89 d |
| 12b | 2.12 (m) |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | 46.89 s |  | 50.10 s |
| 14 | 2.11 (d, 10.6) | 51.32 d | 2.36 (d, 10.6) | 53.29 d |
| 15 | 3.91 (d, 10.6) | 44.10 d | 3.93 (d, 10.6) | 44.14 d |
| 16 |  | 168.98 s |  | 168.36 s |
| 17 | 5.17 (s) | 84.77 d | 5.20 (s) | 82.53 d |
| 18 | $1.23{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 27.17 q | 1.29 (s) | 22.07 q |
| 19 | 1.17 (s) | 15.95 q | 1.13 (s) | 15.99 q |
| 20 |  | 65.83 s |  | 65.94 s |
| 21 | 6.61 (s) | 99.40 d | 6.57 (s) | 99.54 d |
| 22 | 4.84 (d, 2.9) | 103.07 d | 4.85 (d, 2.9) | 103.08 d |
| 23 | 6.40 (d, 2.9) | 145.19 d | 6.40 (d, 2.9) | 145.60 d |
| 28 | 0.99 (s) | 14.64 q | 1.02 (s) | 14.42 q |
| 29a | 1.66 (d, 10.7) | 39.68 t | 1.71 (d, 10.7), | 40.46 t |
| 29b | 1.85 (d, 10.7) |  | 1.85 (d, 10.7) |  |
| 30 | 5.70 (s) | 74.26 d | 5.73 (s) | 74.12 d |
| 31 |  | 118.65 s |  | 119.26 s |
| 32 | 1.62 (s) | 21.19 q | 1.63 (s) | 21.32 q |
| $7-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 3.63 (s) | 51.91 q | 3.68 (s) | 52.09 q |
| 17-isobutyryloxy |  | 176.05 s |  | 175.77 s |
|  | 3.11 (m) | 33.29 d | 2.71 (m) | 34.26 d |
|  | 1.19 (d) | 18.14 q | $1.25{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 18.75 q |
|  | $1.22^{\text {b }}$ | 20.24 q | $1.25{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 19.00 q |
| 21- isobutyryloxy |  | 175.47 s |  | 175.82 s |
|  | 2.70 (m) | 34.03 d | 3.01 (m) | 33.59 d |
|  | $1.23{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 18.52 q | 1.07 (d, 6.6) | 18.12 q |
|  | $1.23{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 18.79 q | 1.23 (d, 6.6) | 20.22 q |
| 12-OAc |  |  |  | 169.97 s |
|  |  |  | 1.96 (s) | 21.11 q |

${ }^{a}$ Recorded on Bruker AV-600 spectrometer. ${ }^{b}$ Overlapped peaks.

Finally, suitable crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ for an X-ray diffraction experiment were obtained, ${ }^{17}$ and the complete structure and stereochemistry were established (Figure. 3). The final refinement on the $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ data resulted in a small Flack parameter of $0.05(10)$, allowing unambiguous assignment of the absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1}$ as $14 R, 15 S, 17 R$, $20 R, 21 S$.

Chukfuransin $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{2}){ }^{18}$ white amorphous powder, has the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{16}$ (calcd 774.3099) as revealed by HREIMS ([ $M]^{+}, m / z 774.3096$ ). The 1D NMR data of 2 exhibited high similarity to those of $\mathbf{1}$, except for the presence of an additional acetoxyl group in 2 . A methine at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 66.89$ correlating with $\mathrm{H}_{3}-18$ in HMBC spectrum was recognized as $\mathrm{C}-12$ and the weak crosspeak of $\mathrm{H}-12 / \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 169.97$ (s) showed
that the extra acetoxyl was attached to C-12. The crosspeaks of $\mathrm{H}-5 \beta / \mathrm{H}-30 \beta$ and $\mathrm{H}-30 \beta / \mathrm{H}-12$ were observed in the ROESY spectrum, indicating that $\mathrm{H}-12$ was $\beta$-oriented.

Chukfuransin $\mathrm{C}(3)^{19}$ was obtained as colorless crystals. The molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ (calcd 698.2938) was determined by HREIMS ([M] $\left.{ }^{+}, m / z 698.2948\right)$. Extensive analysis of the 1D (Supporting Information Table 1) and 2D NMR spectra uncovered that $\mathbf{3}$ share a large part (black part) with $\mathbf{1}$. The furan ring attached to $\mathrm{C}-17$ in $\mathbf{3}$ was different with that of common phragmalin limonoids in that C-21 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 148.69, \mathrm{~s}$ ) of $\mathbf{3}$ was a quaternary carbon. HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}-14$ to $\mathrm{C}-15\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 57.54\right.$, s) and $\mathrm{C}-21$ revealed $\mathrm{C}-15-\mathrm{C}-21$ linkage as in chuktabrin $\mathrm{B} .{ }^{11}$ Through the HMBC spectrum, C-16 was determined by
its correlation with $\mathrm{H}-14$, and the crosspeak of $\mathrm{H}-30\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $5.73(\mathrm{~s})] / \mathrm{C}-16$ disclosed the presence of a $16,30-\delta$-lactone ring in 3. HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-3^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.31, \mathrm{~d}, J=\right.$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}-4^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.13, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ 208.55 , s) and $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 38.67, \mathrm{~d}\right)$ and from $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$ to $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ proved the existence of an isobutyryl. And $\mathrm{H}-14 / \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ HMBC correlation indicated the isobutyryl was located at C-15, different with the acetyl attached to C-15 in chuktabrin B. The only isobutyryloxyl was placed at $\mathrm{C}-17$ on the basis of HMBC correlation between $\mathrm{H}-17$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.44$ (s). Correlations from $\mathrm{H}-18 \alpha$ to $\mathrm{H}-14$ and $\mathrm{H}-17$ in ROESY spectrum indicated $\mathrm{H}-14$ and $\mathrm{H}-17$ were both $\alpha$-oriented.

The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{3}$ was finally determined as $14 S, 15 S, 17 S$ by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis ${ }^{20}$ (Figure. 4).

Chukfuransin $\mathrm{D}(4),{ }^{21}$ white amorphous powder, has the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ as revealed by HREIMS. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was not stable in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and could transform into 3. Because of this, 4 was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ (in which it was relatively stable) to be measured on NMR instruments. Comprehensive comparison of 1D data of 4 (Supporting Information Table 1) with those of $\mathbf{3}$
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Figure 4. X-ray crystallographic structure of 3.
(Supporting Information Table 1) disclosed that they were extremely similar except that the 2,3-disubstituted furan ring in 3 was replaced by a 2,3-disubstituted 5 -methoxy-2,5-dihydrofuran motif in 4, which was supported by HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}-17\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.53\right.$, s) to $\mathrm{C}-20\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ $143.38, \mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{C}-22\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 129.32, \mathrm{~d}\right), \mathrm{C}-21\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 88.34, \mathrm{~d}\right)$, and from $\mathrm{H}-21\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.53, \mathrm{~s}\right)$ to C-16 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.07, \mathrm{~s}$ ), C-20, and from $\mathrm{H}-23\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.87, \mathrm{~d}\right)$ to $23-\mathrm{OMe}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 54.86, \mathrm{q}\right), \mathrm{C}-22$. The only isobutyryloxyl was placed at $\mathrm{C}-17$ by HMBC correlation between $\mathrm{H}-17$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 178.97$ (s). As seen in the planar structure proposed, the instability of acetal (red part) in acid solution may account for the instability of compound 4 in the weak acid $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. ROESY correlations including $\mathrm{H}-17 / \mathrm{H}-18 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-18 \alpha / \mathrm{H}-21, \mathrm{H}-14 / \mathrm{H}-21$, and $\mathrm{H}-14 / \mathrm{H}-18 \alpha$ suggested that $\mathrm{H}-14, \mathrm{H}-17$, and $\mathrm{H}-20$ were all $\alpha$-oriented. However, the relative configuration of $\mathrm{H}-23$ remained undetermined due to the lack of ROESY correlations.

It is proposed that compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were biogenetically formed via a Michael addition mechanism involving a meliacin butenolide intermediate, a type of limonoid reported in C. tabularis, ${ }^{9}$ and a few other Meliaceae species such as Trichilia estipulata. ${ }^{22}$ A plausible biogenetic pathway of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 was illustrated in Supporting Information Scheme 1.

Cytotoxicity reports of phragmalin limonoids from genus Chukrasia are rare. ${ }^{23}$ In our study, $\mathbf{1}$ was found moderately cytotoxic to five tested human cancer cell lines while 3 was found inactive (Supporting Information).
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    (20) Crystal data for 3: $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{13}, M=698.74$, monoclinic, $a=$ 9.5986(7) $\AA, b=16.7235(12) \AA, c=10.4059(7) \AA, \alpha=90.00^{\circ}, \beta=$ $100.665(2)^{\circ}, \gamma=90.00^{\circ}, V=1641.5(2) \AA^{3}, T=100(2) \mathrm{K}$, space group $P 21, Z=2, \mu(\mathrm{CuK} \alpha)=0.890 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, 11730$ reflections measured, 5250 independent reflections $\left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0383\right)$. The final $R_{1}$ values were 0.0424 $(I>2 \sigma(I))$. The final $w R\left(F^{2}\right)$ values were $0.1186(I>2 \sigma(I))$. The final $R_{1}$ values were 0.0424 (all data). The goodness of fit on $F^{2}$ was 1.069. Flack parameter $=0.01(14)$. The Hooft parameter is $-0.01(5)$ for 2310 Bijvoet
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