This article was downloaded by: [Kunming Institute of Botany] On: 29 July 2013, At: 00:05 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Asian Natural Products Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ganp20

Chemical constituents of Arisaema franchetianum tubers

Yao Su $^{a\ b}$, Jin-Jin Xu a , Jun-Long Bi c , Yue-Hu Wang a , Guang-

Wan Hu^a, Jun Yang^a, Ge-Fen Yin^c & Chun-Lin Long^d

^a Key Laboratory of Economic Plants and Biotechnology, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, 650201, China

^b School of Agronomy and Biotechnology, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, 650201, China

^c College of Animal Science and Technology, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, 650201, China

^d College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Minzu University of China, Beijing, 100081, China Published online: 29 Oct 2012.

To cite this article: Yao Su , Jin-Jin Xu , Jun-Long Bi , Yue-Hu Wang , Guang-Wan Hu , Jun Yang , Ge-Fen Yin & Chun-Lin Long (2013) Chemical constituents of Arisaema franchetianum tubers, Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 15:1, 71-77, DOI: <u>10.1080/10286020.2012.723202</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020.2012.723202</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



Chemical constituents of Arisaema franchetianum tubers

Yao Su^{ab}, Jin-Jin Xu^a, Jun-Long Bi^c, Yue-Hu Wang^a, Guang-Wan Hu^a, Jun Yang^a, Ge-Fen Yin^c* and Chun-Lin Long^{ad}**

^aKey Laboratory of Economic Plants and Biotechnology, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, China; ^bSchool of Agronomy and Biotechnology, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming 650201, China; ^cCollege of Animal Science and Technology, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming 650201, China; ^dCollege of Life and Environmental Sciences, Minzu University of China, Beijing 100081, China

(Received 7 May 2012; final version received 17 August 2012)

A novel pyrrolidine alkaloid, $(2R^*, 3S^*, 5S^*)$ -N,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxy-5-(10-phenyldecyl)pyrrolidine (1), and 17 known compounds were isolated from Arisaema franchetianum Engl. (Araceae) tubers. The 17 compounds were bergenin (2), emodin (3), caffeic acid (4), nobiletin (5), $3-O-\beta-D$ -galactopyranosyl-hederagenin $28-O-\beta-D$ xylopyranosyl($1 \rightarrow 6$)- β -D-galactopyranosyl ester (6), coniferin (7), qingyangshengenin (8), methylconiferin (9), syringaresinol 4'-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (10), gagaminine (11), perlolyrine (12), (S)-1-(1'-hydroxyethyl)- β -carboline (13), 1-(β carboline-1-yl)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-1-pentanone (14), 1-methoxycarbonyl-β-carboline (15), indolo $[2,3-\alpha]$ carbazole (16), 4-hydroxycinnamic acid methyl ester (17), and methyl 4-[2-hydroxy-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1-(hydroxymethyl)ethyl] ferulate (18). The inhibitory activities of compound 1 and its *N*-methyl derivative (1a) against porcine respiratory and reproductive syndrome virus (PRRSV), human leukemic K562 cells, and human breast cancer MCF-7 cells were evaluated. Compounds 1 [50% inhibited concentration (IC₅₀) = $12.5 \pm 0.6 \,\mu$ M] and **1a** (IC₅₀ = $15.7 \pm 0.9 \,\mu$ M) were cytotoxic against K562 cells. Compound 1a also had a weak effect on PRRSV with an IC₅₀ value of 31.9 \pm 6.0 μ M [selectivity index (SI) = 18.7].

Keywords: Araceae; Arisaema franchetianum; alkaloids; C_{21} steroids; porcine respiratory and reproductive syndrome virus; cytotoxicity

1. Introduction

Arisaema, a large genus in the Araceae family, comprises about 150 species worldwide, with almost 100 species found in China [1]. The chemical constituents of Arisaema are mainly alkaloids and acylglycerylglycosides [2–6]. Arisaema franchetianum Engl., an herbaceous plant, has been used for a long time in Chinese folk medicine as an anti-inflammatory agent and for the treatment of snake bites. It is distributed in the Chinese provinces of Yunnan, Sichuan, Guizhou,

and Guangxi [7]. The chemical constituents of the plant have not yet been reported. In a previous study [4], we isolated a cytotoxic piperdine alkaloid from *A. decipiens* Schott, and its *N*-methyl derivative showed potential inhibitory activity against the MCF-7 cell line and weak inhibitory activity against K562. In the present research, a new pyrrolidine alkaloid (2R*,3S*,5S*)-N,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxy-5-(10-phenyldecyl)pyrrolidine (1) was isolated from *A. franchetianum* tubers, along with 17 known compounds.

^{*}Corresponding authors. Email: yingefen383@sohu.com; long@mail.kib.ac.cn

The structural elucidation of **1**, as well as the bioassay results of **1** and its *N*-methyl derivative (**1a**), is reported.

2. Results and discussion

Compound 1 was isolated as a colorless oil with the molecular formula of $C_{22}H_{37}NO$, which indicates five degrees of unsaturation, as determined by HR-ESI-MS at m/z $332.2945 [M + H]^+$. The IR spectrum of **1** revealed the absorption bands for its hydroxy $(3406 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$ and aromatic $(1631, 1496, \text{ and } 1454 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ groups. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** (Table 1) showed signals of a monosubstituted phenyl ring $[\delta_{\rm H} 7.18 \ (3{\rm H}, m) \text{ and } 7.28 \ (2{\rm H}, m)], \text{ one}$ *N*-methyl group $[\delta_{\rm H} 2.21 (3{\rm H}, s)]$, and one methyl group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.14 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz]. The NMR data of 1 are similar to those of the known pyrrolidine alkaloid irniine [8]. Based on ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ COSY correlations (Figure 2), the fragment from 2-Me, C-2-C-5, to C-1' was confirmed. A polysubstituted pyrrolidine in 1 was supported by the HMBCs from N-Me to C-2 and C-5, 2-Me to C-2 and C-3, H-2 to C-5, H_2 -1' to C-4, as well as H_2 -4 to C-1'. The remaining NMR data showed a phenylalkyl group in 1. The length of the carbon chain was deduced as C10 based on the molecular formula of 1 (Figure 1). Accordingly, the planar structure of **1** was elucidated as N,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxy-5-(10-phenyldecyl)pyrrolidine.

The relative configuration of **1** was deduced from its ROESY data (Figure 2). The key correlations of 2-Me/H-3 indicated that these hydrogen atoms are cofacial and arbitrarily assigned as β -oriented, whereas H-2 was α -oriented. H-5 was determined as α -oriented by the ROESY correlations of H-2/H-5. Finally, compound **1** was determined to be (2R*,3S*,5S*)-N,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxy-5-(10-phenyldecyl)pyrrolidine.

Compounds 2–18 were identified as bergenin (2) [9], emodin (3) [10], caffeic acid (4) [11], nobiletin (5) [12], $3-O-\beta$ -D-

galactopyranosyl-hederagenin 28-O-B-Dxylopyranosyl($1 \rightarrow 6$)- β -D-galactopyranosyl ester (6) [13], coniferin (7) [14], qingyangshengenin (8) [15], methylconiferin (9) [14], syringaresinol $4'-O-\beta$ -Dglucopyranoside (10) [16], gagaminine (11) [17], periolyrine (12) [18], (S)-1-(1'hydroxyethyl)- β -carboline (13) [19], 1-(β carboline-1-yl)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-1-pentanone (14) [20], 1-methoxycarbonyl- β carboline (15) [21], indolo[2,3- α]carbazole (16) [22], 4-hydroxycinnamic acid methyl ester (17) [23], and methyl 4-[2hydroxy-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1-(hydroxymethyl)ethyl] ferulate (18) [24], respectively, by comparing their spectroscopic and physical data with those reported in literature.

We previously isolated a piperidine alkaloid from A. decipiens Schott and found that its N-methyl derivative is more cytotoxic to the MCF-7 and K562 cell lines than the original compound [4]. Therefore, the N-methyl derivative (1a) of 1 was prepared and their biological activities were evaluated (Figure 1). Table 2 shows that compounds **1** (IC₅₀ = $12.5 \pm 0.6 \,\mu$ M) and $1a (IC_{50} = 15.7 \pm 0.9 \,\mu\text{M})$ were cytotoxic against K562 cells. Compound 1a also showed weak effects on porcine respiratory and reproductive syndrome virus (PRRSV) with IC_{50} an of $31.9 \pm 6.0 \,\mu\text{M}$ (SI = 18.7).

3. Experimental

3.1 General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were measured with a Horiba SEPA-300 polarimeter (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). UV spectra were determined on a Shimadzu doublebeam 210A spectrometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Shimadzu, Japan). IR spectra were measured on a Bio-Rad FTS-135 infrared spectrophotometer with KBr disks (Shimadzu Corporation, Shimadzu, Japan). 1D and 2D NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker AM-400 and DRX-500 spectrometers with TMS as internal standard

13
20
July
29
0:05
at (
g Institute of Botany]
[Kunmin
by
ğ
ide
Downloa
Γ

Table 1.	¹ H (500 MHz) and ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz) spectral data of 1 and 1a in CDCl ₃ (8 in	ppm).	
		Compound 1	0	Compound 1a
Position	δ _C	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$
2	66.7	2.11 (1H, qd, $J = 6.4, 4.3$)	76.5 CH	4.08 (1H, m)
3	72.7	3.93 (1H, ddd, J = 7.8, 4.3, 1.7)	67.4 CH	4.62 (1H, m)
4	40.2	2.34 (1H, ddd, $J = 14.2, 8.5, 7.8$);	37.9 CH_2	2.95 (1H, m) 1.75 (1H, m)
		1.39 (1H, ddd, $J = 14.2, 7.5, 1.7$)	I	
5	65.7	2.04 (1H, m)	75.0 CH	3.80 (1H, m)
1'	34.5	1.71 (1H, m) 1.28 (1H, m)	28.8 CH ₂	1.81 (1H, m) 1.56 (1H, m)
2'	26.4	1.31 (2H, m)	26.2 CH_2	1.35 (2H, m)
3'-8'	29.5 - 29.9	1.27 (12H, m)	29.5 - 29.9 CH ₂	1.27 (12H, m)
9'	31.5	1.61 (2H, m)	31.5 CH ₂	1.60 (2H, m)
10/	36.0	2.60 (2H, t, $J = 7.7$)	35.9 CH_{2}	2.60 (2H, t, J = 7.5)
1''	142.9		142.9 C	
2", 6"	128.4	7.18 (2H, m)	128.4 CH	7.16 (2H, m)
3", 5"	128.2	7.28 (2H, m)	128.2 CH	7.26 (2H, m)
4"	125.5	7.18 (1H, m)	125.5 CH	7.16 (1H, m)
<i>N</i> -Me	38.1	2.21 (3H, s)	49.1 CH ₃	3.11 (3H, s)
			40.0 CH ₃	2.77 (3H, s)
2-Me	12.8	1.14 (3H, d, J = 6.4)	8.4 CH ₃	1.53 (3H, br. s)

Journal of Asian Natural Products Research



Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1 and 1a.

(Bruker Daltonics Inc., Billerica, MA, USA). MS analyses were performed on a VG Auto Spec-3000 mass spectrometer (Shanghai Inteli-test Instrument Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China). Silica gel G (80-100 and 300-400 mesh, Qingdao Makall Group Co., Ltd, Qingdao, China), RP₁₈ silica gel (40-75 µm, Fuji Silysia Chemical Ltd, Kasugai, Japan), silica gel H (10–40 µm), and Sephadex LH-20 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) were used for column chromatography (CC), and silica gel GF254 (Qingdao Makall Group Co., Ltd, Qingdao, China) for preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC) as precoated plates. The TLC spots were visualized under UV light and by dipping into 5% H_2SO_4 in alcohol, followed by heating.

3.2 Plant material

The tubers of *A. franchetianum* were collected from Songming County of Yunnan Province, China, in June 2010. The plant material was identified by Dr. Guang-Wan Hu, and a voucher specimen (No. SM0101) is deposited at the Key Laboratory of Economic Plants and Biotechnology, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

3.3 Extraction and isolation

The tubers of *A. franchetianum* (17.5 kg) were crushed and extracted with MeOH (4, 3, and 3 h, respectively) at 70°C. The extracts were dissolved in water and adjusted pH to about 1 with 1% HCl and then partitioned with AcOEt (310.0 g, A). The pH of water-soluble part was adjusted to about 10 with 5% NaOH and extracted by CHCl₃ (5.2 g, B) and *n*-butanol (53.0 g, C), respectively.

Fr. B was partitioned by CC (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, $10:90 \rightarrow 95:5$) to give 44 fractions (B1-B44). Fr. B15 (161.1 mg) was fractionated by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH) and prep. TLC (CHCl₃/MeOH, 5:1; CHCl₃/MeOH, 20:1; CHCl₃/MeOH, 10:1) to give 14 (9.7 mg), 17 (8.8 mg), and 18 (6.8 mg), respectively. Fr. B17 (265.2 mg) was fractionated by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH) and prep. TLC (CHCl₃/MeOH, 10:1) to give **13** (4.3 mg), 15 (6.2 mg), and 16 (2.7 mg). Fr. B22 (154.5 mg) was fractionated by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH) to give 12 (15.8 mg). Fr. B30 (686.2 mg) was separated by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH; silica gel, CHCl₃/MeOH/Et₂NH, 50:1:0.5) to give 1 (21.0 mg).



Figure 2. Key 2D NMR correlations of compound 1.

		PRRSV ^a			MCE-7 ^b
Compound	CC ₅₀ (µM)	IC ₅₀ (µM)	SI	$IC_{50} (\mu M)$	$IC_{50} (\mu M)$
1 1a	$\frac{\text{NT}^{\text{c}}}{595.0 \pm 7.7}$	>200 31.9 ± 6.0	NT 18.7	12.5 ± 0.6 15.7 ± 0.9	$\begin{array}{c} 104.0 \pm 6.6 \\ 53.0 \pm 5.5 \end{array}$
Positive control	855.4 ± 74.9	225.1 ± 27.4	3.8	1.05 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.04

Table 2. The inhibitory effects of **1** and **1a** on PRRSV, K562 cells, and MCF-7 cells (means \pm SD, n = 3).

^a Positive control: tilmicosin phosphate.

^b Positive control: adriamycin.

^c NT, not tested.

Fr. A was isolated by CC (silica gel, CHCl₃/MeOH, $1:0 \rightarrow 0:1$) to give six fractions (A1-A6). Fr. A2 (117.0g) was separated by CC (silica gel, petroleum ether/Me₂CO, $1:0 \rightarrow 0:1$) to give Fr. A21-A23. Fr. A21 (4.6 g) was purified by CC (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 75:25; Sephadex LH-20, MeOH) to give 3 (39.0 mg). Fr. A22 (6.0 g) was purified to give 4 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 40:60; prep. TLC, CHCl₃/MeOH, 10:1; 6.2 mg) and 5 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 70:30; prep. TLC, CHCl₃/MeOH 15:1; 38.0 mg). Fr. A23 (10.9 g) was purified to give 8 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 50:50; Sephadex LH-20, MeOH; CHCl₃/MeOH, 30:1; 17.1 mg) and 11 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 70:30; prep. TLC, CHCl₃/MeOH, 8:1; 7.8 mg). Fr. A3 (40.0 g) was isolated by CC (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, $10:90 \rightarrow 95:5$) to give Fr. A31 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 45: 55) and Fr. A32 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 70:30). Fr. A31 (293.5 mg) was fractionated by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH) and then recrystallized from MeOH to give 2 (9.1 mg). Fr. A32 (1.7 g) was purified by prep. TLC (CHCl₃/MeOH, 4:1) to give 1 (53.9 mg).

Fr. C was isolated by CC (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 10:90 \rightarrow 95:5) to give Fr. C1 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 20:80), C2 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 50:50), and C3 (C-18, MeOH/H₂O, 80:20). Fr. C1 (727.2 mg) was fractionated by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH; silica gel, CHCl₃/MeOH, 5:1) to give **7** (9.8 mg). Fr. C2 (1.0 g) was fractionated by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH; silica gel, AcOEt/MeOH, 25:1) to give **9** (17.1 mg) and **10** (6.0 mg). Fr. C3 (2.3 g) was fractionated by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH) and prep. TLC (*n*-butanol/AcOEt/H₂O, 4:1:5) to give **6** (41.9 mg).

3.3.1 (2R*,3S*,5S*)-N,2-dimethyl-3hydroxy-5-(10-phenyldecyl)pyrrolidine (1)

A colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22.6} + 3.1$ (*c* 0.25, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 267 (2.67) nm. IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3406, 3026, 2924, 2853, 1631, 1496, and 1454 cm⁻¹. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data (see Table 1). ESI-MS (positive): *m/z* 332 [M + H]⁺; HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* 332.2945 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₂H₃₈NO, 332.2953).

3.3.2 N-methylation of 1

A solution of **1** (10.0 mg, 0.302 mmol), MeI (0.1 ml), and K₂CO₃ (10.0 mg) in dry acetone (5 ml) was refluxed for 4 h and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and purified by LH-20 CC (MeOH) to yield **1a** (9.0 mg, 0.190 mmol, 62.9%) as a pale yellow solid. **1a**: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data (see Table 1). ESI-MS (positive): m/z346 [M]⁺.

3.4 Inhibitory activity against PRRSV

The following antiviral method has been described in our recently published paper [25].

3.4.1 Cell culture and cytotoxicity assays

Marc-145 cells (Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) were grown in monolayer cultures in 5% carbon dioxide and 95% atmosphere at 37°C, with Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Hy-Clone) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Cytotoxicity assays were performed by WST-8 method using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Beyotime Co., Jiangsu, China) according to the supplier's recommendations. Cells were incubated in a 96-well microculture plate (Corning, New York, USA) in the absence or presence of twofold serial dilutions of compound 1 and tilmicosin phosphate (Hubei Hengshuo Chemical Co. Ltd., China). After 3 days of culture, 10 µl of CCK-8 solution was added, and the cells were incubated for 1.5 h. The number of surviving cells was measured with a Bio-Tek ELx 800 enzymelinked immunosorbent assay microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.. Winooski, VT, USA) at a detection wavelength of 450 nm (L_1) and a reference wavelength of 650 nm (L_2). The 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC_{50}) was obtained by nonlinear regression analysis of logistic curves (the value of $L_1 - L_2$ vs. different concentrations of the test compound).

3.4.2 Cytopathic effect inhibition assay

The YN-1 strain of PRRSV was isolated from local pigs in Yunnan Province, China. The antiviral activity of the test compounds against viruses was measured by the cytopathologic effect (CPE) inhibition assay. The tissue culture medium infective dose (TCID₅₀) of 500 viral particles with twofold serial dilutions of the compounds was added to each test well, and the plates were reincubated for 4 days to allow the development of any CPE. A non-infected control that contained no natural products was prepared, and tilmicosin phosphate was used for drug control. The concentration reducing CPE by 50% with respect to the virus control was estimated from graphic plots and defined as IC_{50} . SI was calculated from the ratio CC_{50}/IC_{50} .

3.5 Cytotoxic activity against K562 and MCF-7 cells

The cytotoxic activity against K562 and MCF-7 cells was measured by the methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium [26] and sulforhodamine B methods [27], respectively, according to previously described procedures.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos 20972166, 31160509, 31161140345, and 31070288), Yunnan Provincial Program for Excellent Scientists (No. 2009CI125), the Key Research Direction Project of Knowledge Innovation Program for Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. KSCX2-EW-J-24), and the Ministry of Education of China through its 111 and 985 projects (Nos B08044, MUC985-9, and MUC98506-01000101). We thank Dr Qian-Yun Sun at the Key Laboratory of Chemistry for Natural Products of Guizhou Province and Chinese Academy of Sciences for the cytotoxic evaluation.

References

- H. Li and C.L. Long, *Acta Bot. Yunnan*. XI(Suppl.), 12 (1998).
- [2] B.D. Miglarni, A.S. Chawal, and K.N. Gaind, *Indian J. Pharm* 26, 25 (1978).
- [3] S. Ducki, J.A. Hadfield, X. Zhang, N.J. Lawrence, and A.T. Mcgown, *Planta Med.* 62, 277 (1996).
- [4] F.W. Zhao, M. Luo, Y.H. Wang, M.L. Li, G.H. Tang, and C.L. Long, *Arch. Pharm. Res.* 33, 1735 (2010).
- [5] J.H. Jung, H.K. Lee, and S.S. Kang, *Phytochemistry* 42, 447 (1996).
- [6] J.H. Jung, O.L. Chong, C.K. Young, and S.S. Kang, J. Nat. Prod. 59, 319 (1996).
- [7] H. Li, G.H. Zhu, and M. Jin, Arisaema. in Flora of China, edited by Z.Y. Wu, P.H. Raven, D.Y. Hong, (Science Press/

Missouri Botanical Garden Press, Beijing/St. Louis, 2010), Vol. 23, p. 43.

- [8] M. Lamkadem, I. Schwob, J. Mimouni, M. Aziz, A. Bouali, J. Rabier, R. Fontonge, and A. Melhaoui, *Nat. Prod. Res.* 19, 573 (2005).
- [9] R.C.S. Nunomura, V.G. Oliveira, S.L.D. Silva, and S.M. Nunomura, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 20, 1060 (2009).
- [10] J. Sun, Z.Q. Yin, Q.W. Zhang, W.C. Ye, Y.T. Wang, and S.X. Zhao, J. Chin. Pharm. Univ. 42, 220 (2011).
- [11] S.H. Tao, Y.F. Chen, Z. Li, C.Y. Yang, Y.S. Yan, Y.W. Wu, and P.T. Xu, *J. Guangdong Pharm. Univ.* 27, 364 (2011).
- [12] D.D. Wang, J. Wang, X.H. Huang, Y. Tu, and K.Y. Ni, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 44, 63 (2007).
- [13] X.J. He, F. Qiu, Y. Shoyama, H. Tanaka, and X.S. Yao, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 4, 189 (2002).
- [14] P. Huang, G. Karagianis, and P.G. Waterman, *Nat. Prod. Res. Dev.* 16, 403 (2004).
- [15] X.X. Ma, F.T. Jiang, Q.X. Yang, X.H. Liu, Y.J. Zhang, and C.R. Yang, *Steroids*. **72**, 778 (2007).
- [16] H. Sun, X.Q. Zhang, Y. Cai, W.L. Han, Y. Wang, and W.C. Ye, *Chem. Ind. Fore. Pro.* 29, 111 (2009).
- [17] D.U. Lee, S.L. Kang, S.H. Yoon, M. Budesinsky, A. Kasal, K.K. Mayer, and W. Wiegrebe, *Planta Med.* 66, 480 (2000).

- [18] J.B. Bremner, W. Sengpracha, I. Southwell, C. Bourke, and B.W. Skelton, *Asian J. Chem.* 57, 273 (2004).
- [19] A.J. Blackman, D.J. Matthews, and C.K. Narkowicz, J. Nat. Prod. 50, 494 (1987).
- [20] M. Kitajima, S. Shirakawa, S.G.A. Abdelmoty, H. Takayama, S. Sakai, N. Aimi, and J. Stockigt, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 44, 2195 (1996).
- [21] P. Barbetti, G. Grandolini, G. Fardella, and I. Chiappini, *Planta Med.* 53, 289 (1987).
- [22] R. Bonjouklian, T.A. Smitka, L.E. Doolon, R.M. Molloy, M. Debono, S.A. Shaffer, R.E. Moore, J.B. Stewart, and G.M.L. Patterson, *Tetrehedron.* 47, 7739 (1991).
- [23] Y.H. Liu, Z.F. Cheng, W.T. Qiao, and K. Yuan, *Chin. Tradit. Herb Drugs.* **40**, 1359 (2009).
- [24] F.H. Richard and J. Ralph, J. Agric. Food. Chem. 40, 2167 (1992).
- [25] H.X. Liu, J.L. Bi, Y.H. Wang, W. Gu, Y. Su, F. Liu, S.X. Yang, G.W. Hu, J.F. Luo, G.F. Yin, and C.L. Long, *Helv. Chim. Acta.* **95**, 1231 (2012).
- [26] T. Mosmann, J. Immunol. Methods. 65, 55 (1983).
- [27] P. Skehan, R. Storeng, D. Scudiero, A. Monks, J. McMahon, D. Vistica, J.T. Warren, H. Bokesch, S. Kenney, and M.R. Boyd, J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 82, 1107 (1990).