# 6-Substituted Indanoyl Isoleucine Conjugate Induces Tobacco Plant Responses in Secondary Metabolites

Qun Hu<sup>a,b</sup>, Wilhelm Boland<sup>c</sup>, and Ji-Kai Liu<sup>a,\*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, P. R. China. Fax: +868715150227. E-mail: jkliu@mail.kib.ac.cn
- <sup>b</sup> Yunnan Academy of Tobacco Sciences, Kunming 650106, P. R. China
- <sup>c</sup> Max-Planck-Institute of Chemical Ecology, Carl-Zeiss-Promenade 10, 07745 Jena, Germany
- \* Author for correspondence and reprint requests
- Z. Naturforsch. 60 c, 1-4 (2005); received August 10/September 14, 2004

To characterize the role of the phytotoxin mimic 6-substituted indanoyl isoleucine conjugate 1 in plant secondary metabolism, tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L. K326) was treated with compound 1. The volatile compounds of tobacco leaves were analyzed by GC-MS. In contrast to the control, three compounds, farnesene (2), santalol (3) and tetradecanal (4), were induced by treatment with 1 mM of compound 1. Concurrently other volatile compounds were also regulated.

Key words: 6-Substituted Indanoyl Isoleucine Conjugate, Coronatine, Secondary Metabolism

# Introduction

The phytotoxin coronatine (5) is produced by several pathogenic strains of Pseudomonas syringae and was first isolated from a fermentation broth of P. syringae var. atropurpurea (Ichihara et al., 1977). This compound and its analogs act as strong inducers of defense responses in many plants (tomato, corn, potato), and have attracted considerable interest. It mimics many biological activities associated with jasmonic acid, a wellknown signaling molecule (Ichihara and Toshima, 1999). Compound 5 was applied to higher plants to elicit a wide spectrum of responses, especially diffuse chlorosis (Ichihara et al., 1999), tendril coiling in Bryonia dioica (Weiler et al., 1994), emission of ethylene (Greulich et al., 1995), and the biosynthesis of terpenoids and other volatiles (Boland et al., 1995). Recently the structurally simpler 6-substituted indanoyl isoleucine conjugate 1 was synthesized in high yield by a rapid procedure (Schueler et al., 2001). The conjugate with isoleucine triggers volatile biosynthesis in the Lima bean and coiling of the touchsensitive tendrils of Bryonia dioica.

Treating freshly harvested leaves of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L. K326) with compound **1** three volatile compounds in contrast to the control were induced. These were identified as farnesene (**2**), santalol (**3**) and tetradecanal (**4**) by GC-MS analy-

sis. At the same time some other volatile compounds were also up- or down-regulated.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Several microbial- or insect-derived high- and/ or low-molecular-weight metabolites have been shown to induce the biosynthesis of volatiles in plants. Their elicitor activity is often based on upregulation of the octadecanoid pathway (Piel et al., 1997). Coronatine (5) apparently makes a detour to avoid the activation of the lipid-based signaling pathway by interacting directly with the receptors or binding proteins of the genuine signals such as 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid and/or jasmonic acid (Weiler et al., 1994; Blechert et al., 1999). To evaluate the activities of an elicitor, the analysis of a mixture of induced volatiles is of particular interest since the spectrum of the produced compounds comprises many metabolites from very different pathways. Since a complex network of signals individually regulates the different pathways, differences in the elicitor activity of test compounds will show up in the qualitative and/or quantitative composition of the volatile compounds. Previously it was reported that compound 1 triggered the volatile biosynthesis in the Lima bean (Schueler et al., 2001), and this is confirmed in the present work. In contrast to the control, compound 1 induces farnesene (2), santalol (3) and tetradecanal (4) at significant levels (Fig. 1; Table I).

0939-5075/2005/0100-0001 \$ 06.00 © 2005 Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen · http://www.znaturforsch.com · D

| Table I. Comparison of aroma of Nicotiana tabacun | Table I. | Comparison | of aroma | of Nicotiana | tabacum. |
|---|----------|------------|----------|--------------|----------|
|---|----------|------------|----------|--------------|----------|

| No.      | Component  | Sample 1<br>(Treated) | Sample 2<br>(Control) |
|----------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1        | Toluene  | 0.069                 | 0.070                 |
| 2        | Hexanal  | 0.062                 | 0.072                 |
| 3        | 2-Methyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-one                         | 0.026                 | 0.036                 |
| 4        | 4-Methyl-3-valerenal                                   | 0.065                 | 0.056                 |
| 5        | 2-Furfural   | 0.487                 | 0.713                 |
| 6        | 2-Furanmethanol  | 0.172                 | 0.308                 |
| 7        | 4-Cyclopenten-1,3-dione                                | 0.028                 | 0.129                 |
| 8        | 2-Acetyl-furan   | 0.044                 | 0.032                 |
| 9        | $\gamma$ -Butyrolactone                                | 0.037                 | 0.064                 |
| 10       | 6-Methyl-2-heptanone                                   | 0.281                 | 0.241                 |
| 11       | 5-Methyl-furfural + benzaldehyde                       | 0.093                 | 0.125                 |
| 12       | Maltol hydrate   | 0.031                 | 0.009                 |
| 13       | 6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one                                | 0.129                 | 0.091                 |
| 14       | Benzyl alcohol   | 1.381                 | 0.693                 |
| 15       | Phenylacetaldehyde                                     | 0.980                 | 3.299                 |
| 16       | 2-Acetyl-pyrrol  | 0.492                 | 0.669                 |
| 17       | 2-Methyl-1,4-benzenediol                               | 0.196                 | 0.327                 |
| 18       | Guaiacol   | 0.006                 | 0.006                 |
| 19       | Linalool   | 0.203                 | 0.150                 |
| 20       | Nonanoical   | 0.229                 | 0.202                 |
| 20       | Phenylethyl alcohol                                    | 0.320                 | 0.300                 |
| 22       | Iso-phorone  | 0.031                 | 0.023                 |
| 23       | Iso-phorone oxide                                      | 0.031                 | 0.069                 |
| 24       | 2.6-Nonadienal   | 0.705                 | 0.168                 |
| 25       | 2.Nonene-al  | 0.257                 | 0.108                 |
| 26       | 2,6,6-Trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexanedione                   | 0.032                 | 0.055                 |
| 20       | $\alpha$ -Terpilenol                                   | 0.032                 | 0.034                 |
| 28       | Safranal   | 0.041                 | 0.034                 |
| 29       | $\beta$ -Cyclocitral                                   | 0.332                 | 0.200                 |
| 30       | Ethyl-citronellol                                      | 0.057                 | 0.200                 |
| 30<br>31 | Indole   | 0.179                 | 0.110                 |
| 32       |  | 1.510                 | 2.215                 |
| 32<br>33 | 4-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol<br>Solanone                  |                       |                       |
| 33<br>34 |  | 8.242<br>3.179        | 7.289                 |
|          | $\beta$ -Damascenone                                   |                       | 3.134                 |
| 35       | Caryophyllene oxide                                    | 1.192                 | 1.452                 |
| 36       | $\beta$ -Damascone                                     | 0.467                 | 0.416                 |
| 37       | Geranyl acetone  | 0.668                 | 0.713                 |
| 38       | Norsolanadione + nicotyrine                            | 6.422                 | 5.116                 |
| 39       | $\beta$ -Ionone  | 0.381                 | 0.345                 |
| 40       | 1,3,7,7-Tetramethyl-2-oxabicyclo[4.4.0]deca-5-en-9-one | 0.076                 | 0.075                 |
| 41       | 5,6-Expo- $\beta$ -ionone                              | 0.167                 | 0.179                 |
| 42       | 2,6-Ditertiarybutyl-4-methyl-phenol                    | 0.198                 | 0.165                 |
| 43       | Farnesene  | 2.607                 |                       |
| 44       | 2,3-Dihydro-7-hydroxy-3-methyl-1 <i>H</i> -inden-1-one | 1.607                 | 2.064                 |
| 45       | Dihydroactinidiolide                                   | 0.546                 | 0.364                 |
| 46       | Megastigmatrienone<br>Pseudoionone                     | 2.819                 | 3.336                 |
| 47       | Pseudoionone   | 0.116                 | 0.159                 |
| 48       | 3-Hydroxy- $\beta$ -damascone                          | 0.314                 | 0.394                 |
| 49       | 4-Hydroxy-β-damascone                                  | 0.361                 | 0.366                 |
| 50       | Tetradecanal   | 0.752                 |                       |
| 51       | Santalol   | 2.550                 |                       |
| 52       | 4-Oxo-α-ionol  | 3.549                 | 4.198                 |
| 53       | Malto-oxazine  | 4.010                 | 3.984                 |
| 54       | Nookatone  | 1.070                 | 0.102                 |
| 55       | Pentadecanal   | 14.625                | 2.233                 |
| 56       | Anthracene   | 0.707                 | 0.503                 |
| 57       | Vetivone   | 1.047                 | 0.617                 |
| 57       | Vetivone   |                       |                       |

#### Q. Hu et al. · Indanoyl Isoleucine Conjugate Induces Tobacco Plant Responses

Table I. (cont.)

| No. | Component                  | Sample 1<br>(Treated) | Sample 2<br>(Control) |
|-----|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 59  | Hexahydro-farnesyl acetone | 1.933                 | 1.943                 |
| 60  | Dihydro-farnesol           | 1.022                 | 0.923                 |
| 61  | 3-Hydroxy-vetivone         | 4.849                 | 4.392                 |
| 62  | Methyl linolenate          | 3.839                 | 0.751                 |
| 63  | Farnesyl acetone 1         | 3.814                 | 3.900                 |
| 54  | Methyl palmitate           | 0.566                 | 0.505                 |
| 65  | Palmitic acid              | 28.871                | 15.765                |
| 66  | Ethyl palmitic acid        | 0.289                 | 0.453                 |
| 57  | Farnesyl acetone 2         | 0.237                 | 0.236                 |

Mean values with the same letter in a row are not significantly different (P < 0.05). Results are mean from two separate trials.



The investigation of the volatile patterns induced in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L. K326) by the coronatine analog presented in this work illustrates the effectiveness to control metabolic activities in some plants, and also helps to evaluate the extent of selective manipulations of plant defense responses. It is also important that the responses could be used as a process to enhance the aroma of tobacco and improve the quality of tobacco. Furthermore, it could even be used for the processing of other commercially available products such as black tea.

## **Experimental**

## Induction experiments

Freshly harvested leaves of tobacco *Nicotiana tabacum* L. K326 (3000 g) were randomly divided into two groups (each 1500 g). Leaves of the two

Fig. 1. Structures of the 6-substituted indanoyl isoleucine conjugate 1, farnesene (2), santalol (3), tetradecanal (4), and coronatine (5).

groups were sprayed with 300 ml of compound **1** prepared by dissolving 100 mg compound **1** in 100 ml ethyl alcohol and the volume made up to 300 ml with water (final concentration: 1 mM, sample 1) and same amount of solvent (sample 2), respectively. Leaves with and without treatment with compound **1** were kept at room temperature for 18 h. Leaves without compound **1** treatment were used as control. After 18 h the samples were heated as usual.

## Analysis of volatile components of tobacco leaves

Leaves obtained from both treated and control (samples 1 and 2) were analyzed for the aroma components by GC-MS. Tobacco aroma concentrates were prepared by extractive distillation of volatiles, using the Likens-Nickerson method (Nickerson and Likens, 1966). The aroma volatiles from tobacco leaves were extracted using a micro Likens- Nickerson unit. The unit consists of a reflux and an extraction unit. 20 g of samples were placed in the round bottom flask of the reflux unit and 500 ml of distilled water was added. In the extraction unit, 20 ml of dichloromethane was used to trap the volatiles. Both flask contents were boiled after they were allowed to reflux for another 60 min. Then, the samples were allowed to cool, the organic layer was separated, dried over sodium sulphate and concentrated by nitrogen sparging. These concentrated samples were directly analyzed by GC (HP6890)-MS (HP5972). GC-conditions: Fused-silica capillary (50 m × 0.25 mm) coated with DB 5 (0.25  $\mu$ m); helium served as carrier gas; separation of the compounds was under programmed conditions (50 °C for 2 min, then at 3 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 230 °C, finally at 12 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 250 °C and held for 5 min). Individual compounds were identified by comparison with standards of a mass spectrum database (Wiley and NIST). Peaks were quantified according to the peak area of the internal standard.

### Acknowledgements

This project was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (30225048).

- Blechert S., Bockelmann C., Fuesslein M., von Schrader T., Stelmach B., Niesel U., and Weiler E. W. (1999), Structure-activity analyses reveal the existence of two separate groups of active octadecanoids in elicitation of the tendril-coiling response of *Bryonia dioica* Jacq. Planta 207, 470–479.
- Boland W., Hopke J., Donath J., Nueske J., and Bublitz F. (1995), Jasmonic acid and coronatin induce odor production in plants. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1600–1602.
- Greulich F., Yoshihara T., and Ichihara A. (1995), Coronatin, a bacterial phytotoxin, acts as a stereospecific analog of jasmonate type signals in tomato cells and potato tissues. J. Plant Physiol. **147**, 359–366.
- Ichihara A. and Toshima H. (1999), Coronatine: Chemistry and biological activities. In: Biologically Active Natural Products: Agrochemicals (Cutler H. and Cutler S., eds.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 93–105.
- Ichihara A., Shiraishi K., Sato H., Sakamura S., Nishiyama K., Sakai R., Furusaki A., and Matsumoto T.

(1977), The structure of coronatin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **99**, 636–637.

- Nickerson G. B. and Likens S. T. (1966), Gas chromatographic evidence from the occurrence of hop oil components in beer. J. Chromatogr. 21, 1–3.
- Piel J., Atzorn R., Daebler R., Kuehnemann F., and Boland W. (1997), Cellulysin from the plant parasitic fungus *Trichoderma viride* elicits volatile biosynthesis in higher plants via the octadecanoid signaling cascade. FEBS Lett. **416**, 143–148.
- Schueler G., Goerls H., and Boland W. (2001), 6-Substituted indanoyl isoleucine conjugates mimic the biological activity of coronatine. Eur. J. Org. Chem., 1663–1668.
- Weiler E. W., Kutchan T. M., Gorba T., Brodschelm W., Niesel U., and Bublitz F. (1994), The *Pseudomo-nas* phytotoxin coronatine mimics octadecanoid signaling molecules of higher plants. FEBS Lett. 345, 9–13.