Chinese Chemical Letters Vol. 9, No. 11, pp.1021–1024, 1998 © Springer-Verlag Singapore 1998

## Four New Diterpenoids from Isodon leucophylla

Shao Nong CHEN<sup>1,5</sup>, Shao Yuan CHEN<sup>4</sup>, Zhong Wen LIN<sup>2</sup>, Fang Di NIU<sup>2</sup>, Bo Gong LI<sup>3</sup>, Han Dong SUN<sup>2</sup>, and Yao Zu CHEN<sup>4,5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Academia Sinica, Shanghai 200031
<sup>2</sup>The Laboratory of Phytochemistry, Kunming Institute of Botany, Academia Sinica, Kunming 650204
<sup>3</sup>The Laboratory of Phytochemistry, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Academia Sinica, Chengdu 610041
<sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027
<sup>5</sup>State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University Lanzhou 730000

Abstract: Four new diterpenoids, baiyecrystals A-C and *epi*-baiyecrystal C, were isolated from *I*. *leucophylla*. Their structures were determined by means of spectral methods as 1-4.

Keywords: Isodon leucophylla; labiatae; ent-kaurane diterpenoids; baiyecrystal A-C; epibaiyecrystal C.



Isodon leucophyll (Dunn) Hara (Labiatae), distributed in the northwest area of Yunnan Province, is used as folk medicine for its antibacterial and anti-inflammatory activity by local practitioners<sup>1</sup>. From the leaves of this plant, four new *ent*-kaurane type diterpenoids, baiyecrystal A-C 1-3 and *epi*-baiyecrystal C 4, were isolated. This paper presents their structure elucidation.

Baiyecrystal A 1  $C_{26}H_{34}O_{10}$  was determined by HRMS (506.2157, calc 506.2152). Its mass spectrum showed that the molecular ion (m/z 506) was 58 amu more than that of effusanin D 5<sup>2</sup>, a known kaurenoid also isolated from this plant. The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and DEPT spectra of 1 were very similar to those of 5. The only difference between 1 and 5 was

that 1 had one more acetoxy group. Inspection of  ${}^{1}H{}^{-1}H$  COSY spectrum of 1, there was following partial structure: -CHCHCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>- different that in 5. The COLOC spectrum of 1 exhibited the methine signal at 4.84 ppm correlation with a quaternary carbon signal at 58.0 ppm (C-8), a methine carbon signal at 33.4 ppm (C-13) and an acetyl group 169.7 ppm. Thus, the methine should be assigned to C-11 which attached an acetoxy group. When C-7 and C-20 formed an epoxy, the C-ring changed from chair-conformation to boat-conformation. The relative configuration of C-11-OAc was assigned to  $\alpha$ -orientation on the basis of the coupling constant of H-9 $\beta$  with H-11 $\alpha$  (*J*=3.6 Hz) and the broad multiplet signal at 4.84 ppm for H-11. Therefore, the structure of 1 should be 1 $\alpha$ , 11 $\alpha$ , 19-triacetoxy-6 $\beta$ , 7 $\beta$ -dihydroxyl-7, 20-epoxy-*ent*-kaur-16-en-15-one.

Baiyecrystal **B 2** was determined as  $C_{22}H_{30}O_7$  by HRMS (406.2009, calc 406.1992), mp 187.0-189.5°, EIMS (70eV) m/z (rel. Int %): 406[M]<sup>+</sup> (10), 388 (25), 328 (30), 300 (32), 282 (47), 161 (75), 55 (100). Its mass spectrum showed that molecular ion (m/z)406) was 42 amu more than that of epinodosinol  $6^{3-5}$ . Its <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and DEPT spectra were very similar to those of 6. The only difference between 2 and 6 was that 2 had one more acetoxy group and the chemical shift value of a methine carbon in 2 was downshifted about 7 ppm. It is very difficult to assign the place of subsitutent at C-6 or C-20 when the usual spectroscopic methods are employed. Inspection of <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectra of 2, downfield methylene ( $\delta$  72.7) were observed as two doublet signals without any vicinal correlation. Thus, the methylene should be assigned to C-20. And the downfield methine ( $\delta$  109.3) should be assigned to C-6. Comparison the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of 6 with those of 2, the chemical shift value of C-6 changed from 102.2 ppm in 6 to 109.3 ppm in 2 and the chemical shift value of C-11 changed from 63.0 ppm in 6 to 66.8 ppm in 2. Consideration of the solvent effect, the acetoxy group should be attached to C-6 which was further confirmed by COLOC experiment, i.e. there was a cross-peak between H-6 (4.71 ppm) and acetyl group ( $\delta$ 170.9 ppm) in the COLOC spectrum. The relative configuration of C-6-OAc was  $\beta$ -orientation on the basis of a broad singlet signal at 4.71 ppm in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum because of the near 90° dihedral angle with H-5. The relative configuration of the other subsitutents were indicated by coupling constants of H-1ß with H-2 $\alpha$  (J=11.2 Hz) and H-2 $\beta$  (J=6.2 Hz); H-9 $\alpha$  with H-11 $\beta$  (J=10.4 Hz) and the multiplet at 5.13 ppm for H-11, whereas, H-15 was assigned to \beta-orientation on the basis of comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C data and <sup>1</sup>H data with 6. Therefore, the structure of 2 should be  $6\beta$ -acetoxy-11a, 15a-dihydroxyl-6, 20-epoxy-6,7-seco-ent-kaur-16-en-1,7-olide.

Baiyecrystal C 3  $C_{26}H_{38}O_9$  (HRMS 494.2566, calc 494.2516), mp 212.5-215°,  $[\alpha]^{21.2}{}_{D}$  -15.04 (MeOH, c 0.27), EIMS (70eV) *m/z* (rel. Int %): 494[M]<sup>+</sup>(20), 448 (70), 388 (50), 360 (15), 346 (25), 328 (50), 310 (65), 282 (50), 55 (100). The <sup>1</sup>H. <sup>13</sup>C and DEPT spectra of 3 showed the presence of two methyls(C-18 and C-19), six methylenes (C-2, C-3, C-12, C-14, C-17 and C-20), four quaternary carbons (C-4, C-7, C-8 and C-10), seven methines (C-1, C-5, C-6, C-9, C-11, C-13 and C-16), a ketonic carbon (C-15), two acetoxy functions (attached to C-1 and C-11), and an ethoxyl group [66.5 (*t*) and 15.2 (*q*) in <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and 3.25 (2H, *q*, *J*=7.0 Hz), 1.03 (3H, *t*, *J*=7.0 Hz) in <sup>1</sup>H NMR]. These signals indicated that 3 was a type epoxy-*ent*-kauranoid with four substituents besides the ethoxyl signals. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of 3 were very similar to those of shikokianin (7)<sup>6</sup>, a known kaurenoid also isolated from this plant, except for the D-ring.

Instead of the *exo*-methylene signals in 7, signals for a methine (56.1 ppm) and methylene bearing oxygen (67.1 ppm) carbons were observed in **3**. In the <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H COSY and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectra of **3**, the methine (56.1 ppm) signal at  $\delta$  2.90 revealed correlation with another methine (29.1 ppm, C-13) signal at  $\delta$  2.65 and the methylene (67.1 ppm) signals at  $\delta$  3.65 and 3.55. Thus, the mehine and methylene should be assigned to C-16 and C-17 and its bearing oxygen should be assigned to an ethoxyl group. The relative configuration of ethoxymethyl group was assigned to  $\beta$ -orientation deduced from the unusual upfield (from 37.7 ppm in **7** to 28.9 ppm in **3**) of C-12<sup>7.8</sup> cause by the  $\gamma$ -effect of the ethoxymethyl. Therefore, **3** was determined as 1 $\alpha$ , 11 $\alpha$ -diacetoxy-6 $\beta$ , 7 $\beta$ -dihydroxy-16 $\beta$ -ethoxymethyl-*ent*-7,20-epoxy-kaur-15-one.

*epi*-**Baiyecrystal C 4** C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (HRMS 494.2523, calc 494.2516); mp 224-225.5<sup>°</sup>;  $[\alpha]^{22.3}_{D}$  -5.68 (MeOH, c 0.26); EIMS (70 eV) *m/z* (rel. int %); 494 [M]<sup>+</sup> (15), 448 (60), 388 (40), 360 (10), 346 (15), 328 (60), 310 (60), 282 (45), 55 (100). It has the same molecular ion as that of Baiyecrystal C 3. The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and DEPT spectra of 4 were very similar to those of 3. The <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H COSY and COLOC spectra of 4 and those of 3, indicated that the only difference between 4 and 3 was that the C-12 chemical shift value changed from 28.9 ppm in 3 to 37.9 ppm in 4, and the C-14 chemical shifted value changed from 28.6 ppm in 3 to 25.82 ppm in 4. Another evidence was that the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of C-17 (δ 67.1) in 3 shifted downfield for about 2.6 ppm (δ 69.7) in 4. The above evidences indicated that 4 was the epimer of 3. Therefore, the structure of 4 was deduced as 1α, 11α-diacetoxy-6β, 7β-dihydroxy-16α-ethoxymethy1-*ent*-7, 20-epoxy-kaur-15-one.

The two compounds may be artifacts from shikokianin 7, but we have not any evidence to confirm them.

The list of the NMR data of baiyeerystal A and *epi*-baiyeerystal C:

Baiyeerystal **A** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.02(1H, brs, H-17a), 5.80(1H, brd, J = 11.3 Hz, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable, OH-6 $\beta$ ), 5.50(1H, brs, H-17b), 4.84(1H, m, H-11 $\beta$ ), 4.71(1H, dd, J = 11.4, 4.8 Hz, H-1 $\beta$ ), 4.48(1H, d, J = 10.9 Hz, H-19a), 4.43(1H, d, J = 9.4 Hz, H-20a), 4.10(2H, overlapped, H-19a and H-20a), 3.96(1H, brt, J = 11.3 Hz, D<sub>2</sub>O exchanged to d, H-6 $\alpha$ ), 3.04(1H, m, H-13 $\alpha$ ), 2.14, 2.05, 1.88(each 3H, s, 3×OAc), 1.62(1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, H-9 $\beta$ ), 1.25(3H, s, Me-18). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ : 75.8 (d) (C1), 24.6 (t) (C2), 32.8 (t) (C3), 36.3 (s) (C4), 58.3 (d) (C5), 73.3 (d) (C6), 94.9 (s) (C7), 58.0 (s) (C8), 53.1 (d) (C9), 41.3 (s) (C10), 69.3 (d) (C11), 37.7 (t) (C12), 33.4 (d) (C13), 25.7 (t) (C14), 207.2 (s) (C15), 151.3 (s) (C16), 119.6 (t) (C17), 28.7 (q) (C18), 66.8 (t) (C19), 65.1 (t) (C20); 3×OAc: 170.9, 170.8, 169.7; 22.0, 21.5, 20.9.

Baiyeerystal **B** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>-CD<sub>3</sub>OD=10:1): 5.16 (1H, *brs*, H-17a), 5.11 (2H, overlapped, H-11 $\beta$  and H-17b), 4.87 (1H, *brs*, H-15 $\beta$ ), 4.71 (1H, *brs*, H-6 $\alpha$ ), 4.50(1H, *dd*, *J* =11.3, 6.2 Hz, H-1 $\beta$ ), 3.84(1H, *d*, *J* = 9.2 Hz, H-20a), 3.76 (1H, *d*, *J* = 9.2 Hz, H-20b), 2.90(1H, *d*, *J*=10.4 Hz, H-9 $\alpha$ ), 2.69(1H, *m*, H-13 $\beta$ ), 1.91(3H, *s*, OAc), 0.86(3H, *s*, Me-19), 0.84(3H, *s*, Me-18). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ : 76.5(*d*)(C1), 23.2(*t*)(C2), 36.2(*t*)(C3), 30.8 (*s*)(C4), 52.7(*d*)(C5), 109.3(*d*)(C6), 175.2(*s*)(C7), 52.9(*s*)(C8), 41.9(*d*)(C9), 50.6(*s*) (C10), 66.8(*d*)(C11), 41.0(*t*)(C12), 35.9(*d*)(C13), 34.3(*t*) (C14), 77.2(*d*)(C15), 155.2(*s*) (C16), 109.3(*t*)(C17), 32.4(*q*)(C18), 23.5(*q*)(C19), 72.7(*t*) (C20); OAc: 170.9, 21.1.

Baiyeerystal C<sup>-1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ (pyridine- $d_5$ ): 6.02(1H, d, J = 12.0 Hz, OH-6 $\beta$ ), 5.19(1H, t, J = 3.9 Hz, H-11 $\beta$ ), 5.08 (1H, dd, J = 11.6, 5.1 Hz, H-1 $\beta$ ), 4.72(1H, d, J = 9.1 Hz, H-

20a), 4.33(1H, *dd*, J = 12.0, 8.0 Hz, H-6 $\alpha$ ), 4.26(1H, *d*, J = 9.1 Hz, H-20b), 3.65(1H, *dd*, J = 10.0, 4.2 Hz, H-17a), 3.55(1H, *m*, H-17b), 3.25(2H, *q*, J=7.0 Hz, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.90(1H, *m*, H-16 $\alpha$ ), 2.65(1H, *m*, H-13 $\alpha$ ), 2.14, 2.07(each 3H, *s*, 2×OAc), 1.26(3H, *s*, Me-18), 1.09(3H, *s*, Me-19), 1.03(3H, *t*, J=7.0 Hz, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ : 76.6(*d*) (C1), 25.4(*t*)(C2), 39.0(*t*)(C3), 33.7(*s*)(C4), 58.9(*d*)(C5), 75.1(*d*)(C6), 95.8(*s*) (C7), 59.7 (*s*)(C8), 51.6(*d*)(C9), 41.4(*s*)(C10), 70.2(*d*)(C11), 28.9(*t*)(C12), 29.0(*d*) (C13), 28.6(*t*) (C14), 221.2(*s*)(C15), 56.1(*d*)(C16), 67.1(*t*) (C17), 34.1(*q*)(C18), 22.7(*q*) (C19), 64.9(*t*) (C20); 2×OAc: 170.5, 170.1, 22.0, 21.8; OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>: 66.5(*t*), 15.2(*q*).

*epi*-Baiyecrystal **C**<sup>-1</sup>H NMR δ(CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.45(1H, *d*, *J* = 12.0 Hz, OH-6β), 4.86(1H, *t*, *J* = 4.0 Hz, H-11β), 4.70(1H, *m*, H-1β), 4.40(1H, *d*, *J* = 8.8 Hz, H-20a), 4.07(1H, *d*, *J* = 9.1 Hz, H-20b), 3.92(1H, *dd*, *J* = 12.0, 8.2 Hz, H-6α), 3.44(3H, overlapped, H-17a and -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.35(1H, *m*, H-17b), 2.52(1H, *brd*, *J* = 6.7 Hz, H-13α), 2.36(2H, overlapped, H-12α and H-16β), 2.09, 1.90(each 3H, *s*, 2×OAc), 1.18(3H, *s*, Me-18), 1.15(6H, overlapped, Me-19 and -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).<sup>13</sup>C NMR δ:76.4 (*d*) (C1), 25.0 (*t*) (C2), 38.8 (*t*) (C3), 33.4 (*s*) (C4), 57.6 (*d*) (C5), 74.7 (*d*) (C6), 94.6 (*s*) (C7), 58.3 (*s*) (C8), 53.3 (*d*) (C9), 41.4 (*s*) (C10), 69.4 (*d*) (C11), 37.9 (*t*) (C12), 29.4 (*d*) (C13), 25.8 (*t*) (C14), 220.0 (*s*) (C15), 57.8 (*d*) (C16), 69.7 (*t*) (C17), 33.8 (*q*) (C18), 22.8 (*q*) (C19), 64.9 (*t*) (C20), 2×OAc: 170.3, 169.7, 22.0, 21.6; OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>: 66.7 (*t*), 15.0 (*q*).

## References

- 1. C. -Y. Wu and X.-W. Li, "Flora Republicae Popularis Sinicae" **1979**, Beijing Academic Press, 66, p. 451, Beijing.
- 2. T. Fujita, Y. Takeda and T. Shingu, Heterocycles 1981, 16, 227.
- 3. T. Kubota and I. Kubo, Kudo. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 1969, 42, 1778.
- 4. E. Fujita, T. Fujita, M. Taoka, H. Katayama and M. shibuya, Tetra. Lett. 1970, 421
- 5. E. Fujita, T. Fujita, M. Taoka, H. Katayama and M. shibuya, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* (Japan) **1973**, 21, 1357
- 6. H. -D. Sun, S.-X. Qiu, L.-Z. Lin, R.-P. Zhang, Y. Zhou, Q.-T. Zheng, M. E. Johnson, H.H.S. Fong, N. R. Farnsworth and G. A. Cordell, *J. Nat. Prod.* **1997**, *60*, 203
- 7. S.-H. Wu, H.-J. Zhang, Y.-P. Chen, Z.-W, Lin and H.-D. Sun, Phytochemistry 1993, 34, 1099
- 8. J. Wang, Z. W. Lin, H. D. Sun, Chin. Chem. Lett. 1997, 8, 421.

Received 17 April 1998