This article was downloaded by: [National Science Library] On: 04 July 2012, At: 02:00 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Natural Product Research: Formerly Natural Product Letters

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gnpl20

Two new norsesquiterpenes from Ligularia Lapathifolia

Yun-Sen Li $^{\rm a}$, Zheng-Tao Wang $^{\rm a}$, Mian Zhang $^{\rm a}$, Ji-Jun Chen $^{\rm b}$ & Shi-De Luo $^{\rm b}$

^a Department of Pharmacognosy, China Pharmaceutical University, Nangjing 210038, P. R. China

^b Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, P. R. China

Version of record first published: 15 Aug 2006

To cite this article: Yun-Sen Li, Zheng-Tao Wang, Mian Zhang, Ji-Jun Chen & Shi-De Luo (2004): Two new norsesquiterpenes from Ligularia Lapathifolia, Natural Product Research: Formerly Natural Product Letters, 18:2, 99-104

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1057563031000122149</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



TWO NEW NORSESQUITERPENES FROM LIGULARIA LAPATHIFOLIA

YUN-SEN LI^{a,*}, ZHENG-TAO WANG^a, MIAN ZHANG^a, JI-JUN CHEN^b and SHI-DE LUO^b

^aDepartment of Pharmacognosy, China Pharmaceutical University, Nangjing 210038, P. R. China; ^bKunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, P. R. China

(Received 20 December 2002; In final form 4 April 2003)

Two new norsesquiterpenes were isolated from the extracts of the roots and rhizomes of *Ligularia lapathifolia* (Franch.) Hand.-Mazz. Their structures were identified as 2-acetyl-3a-methyl-5-(2-methyl-but-2-enoyloxy)-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylic acid (1) and 2-acetyl-8a-methyl-2-(2-methyl-but-2-enoyloxy)-6-oxo-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,8a-octahydro-naphthalene-1-carboxylic acid (2), respectively, on the basis of spectral data and for 1 by single-crystal X-ray analysis.

Keywords: Ligularia lapathifolia; Compositae; Norsesquiterpenes; X-ray analysis

INTRODUCTION

The Genus *Ligularia* belongs to the tribe senecioneae, Family Compositae, and comprises more than 110 species occurring in China, of which about 40 species have been used as Chinese traditional or folk herbs. *Ligularia lapathifolia* (Franch.) H.-M. distributes in southwest China. Its roots and rhizomes are used for treatment of cough and inflammation [1]. The presence of eremophilanes and pyrolizidine alkaloids in many *Ligularia* species is well documented. In continuation of our study on bioactive compounds from the *Ligularia* genus, this article reports the isolation and structural elucidation of 2-acetyl-3a-methyl-5-(2-methyl-but-2-enoyloxy)-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylic acid (1) and 2-acetyl-8a-methyl-2- (2-methyl-but-2-enoyloxy)-6-oxo-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,8a-octahydro-naphthalene-1-carboxylic acid (2), two new norsesquiterpenes, from EtOH extracts of the roots and rhizomes of *L. lapathifolia* (Franch.) Hand.-Mazz.

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: sinodlsia@yahoo.com



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Eighteen carbon signals could be observed in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of Compound 1. Except for angeloyloxy signals at δ 5.83 (1H, dq), 1.81 (3H, d, J = 5.89 Hz), 1.72 (3H, s) and δ 167.4 (s), 137.8 (d), 128.8 (s), 22.9 (q), 16.0 (q) in the ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra, respectively, of 1 (Tables I and II), only 13 skeletal carbon signals were apparent. The results of HR-MS at m/z 320.1640 allowed for a molecular formula of C₁₈H₂₄O₅. A COOH group was present as deduced from its IR absorption band at 3146 cm⁻¹, 1734 cm⁻¹ and the ¹³C-NMR resonance at δ 174.3 (see Table II). Furthermore, the presence of a keto group followed from the IR absorption band at 1646 cm⁻¹ and ¹³C-NMR resonance at δ 197.1 (Table II), while the presence of a double bond could be infered from the observed ¹³C-NMR resonances at δ 143.1 (s) and δ 154.4 (d) (see Table II). The remaining two unsaturations could only be assigned to two rings. Considering that the ¹H-NMR spectrum exhibited only two methyl

Proton (s)	Chemical shift (δ)	Coupling instant	¹ H- ¹ H COSY
1	1.54 m		
1′	1.54 m		
2	1.54 m		
2'	1.54 m		
3α	5.29 br.s		
4α	2.60 br.s		
6	6.83 br.s		
8	2.46 br.dd	15.7, 6.8	8H-9H, 8H-8'H, 8H-11H
8'	2.16 m		8'H-8H, 8'H-9H, 8'H-11H
9β	2.06 m		
11	2.16 s		11H-8H, 11H-8'H
12	1.24 s		

TABLE I 1 H-NMR data and 1 H- 1 H COSY of compound 1 (500 MHz, J values in Hz in parentheses)

OAng: δ 5.83 (1H, dq,=CH–Me), 1.81 (3H, dq,=CH–Me), 1.72 (3H, br.s, MeCOO); Measured in C₅D₅N.

Carbon (s)	Chemical shift (δ)	HMBC correlations
1	25.4 (t)	
2	25.4 (t)	
3	70.4 (d)	
4	51.0 (d)	H-12,
5	49.7 (s)	H-8, H-9, H-12
6	154.4 (d)	H-8,H-9,H-11,H-12
7	143.1 (s)	H-8,H-9,H-11
8	34.5 (t)	H-9
9	45.0 (d)	H-8,H-12
10	197.1 (s)	H-8,H-11
11	26.6 (q)	
12	21.2 (q)	H-9
13	174.3 (s)	

TABLE II ¹³C-NMR data and HMBC correlations of compound 1 (125 MHz)

Measured in C₅D₅N; OAng: δ 167.4 (s, COO), 137.8 (d, MeCH=), 128.8 (s, MeCH=), 22.9 (q, MeCH=), 16.0 (q, MeCCOO).

singlets at δ 1.24 and 2.16 (Table I), together with two methyl signals at δ 21.2 and at δ 26.6 (methyl ketone) in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 1, a 6, 5-fused AB ring system was assumed to be present, since only nine carbons remained to form the ring skeleton. The ¹³C-NMR resonance of the keto carbonyl group at δ 197.1, the IR absorption band at 1646 cm⁻¹, and the olefinic hydrogen signal appearing as a br s at δ 6.83 (Table I) in the ¹H-NMR spectrum, suggested that a keto group was conjugated with the double bond. A pair of low-field methylene ¹H-NMR signals vicinal to a ketone group appeared at δ 2.46 (1H, br dd) and δ 2.16 (1H, m), and were assigned to H₂-8, which correlated with a methylene carbon signal at δ 34.5 (t), in a HMQC experiment. By a HMBC experiment, the important HMBC correlations of Compound 1 (see Table II) also eliminated the possibility of a 5.6-fused AB ring system, and supported the idea that 1 possessed an indene skeleton with a 6.7-en-10-oxo-moiety. From a biogenetic point of view, Compound 1, apparently a noreremophilane derivative, should possess Me-12 β and COOH-13 β functionalities, since all the eremophilane derivatives isolated from plants in the Compositae have substituents (normally a Me, or a CH₂OH, or a COOH) at C-4 and C-5, both in β -configurations. Comparison of a model and the coupling constants of 1 suggested that the configuration of H-9 should be in the β -orientation. But 3-angeloyloxy could not be confirmed because the signal of correlation of H-3 and H-4 in a ¹H-¹H COSY experiment was not observed. Moreover, an Xray experiment further confirmed position of angeloyloxy and was also in agreement with the structure proposed for this compound (Fig. 1).

Compound **2** was isolated as white gum. The molecular formula was determined to be $C_{19}H_{24}O_6$ by HR-EIMS at m/z 348.1608 and NMR data (Tables III and IV). The ¹H-NMR (Table III) and ¹³C-NMR spectra (Table IV) showed signals due to a tertiary methyl group [δ_H 1.24 (3H, s, H-13), δ_C 24.5 (C-13)], an angeloyloxy group, an olefin group [δ_H 7.18 (1H, s, H-6), δ_C 160.1 (C-6), 138.1 (C-7)] and two carbonyl groups [δ_C 192.3 (C-8), δ_C 195.0 (C-11)]. In the ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum, correlations between H-1 and H-2, H-2 and H-3, H-3 and H-4, H-1 and H-10, and H-10 and H-9 were observed. The HMBC correlations of H-1, H-6, H-9 and C-8, H-6 and C-11,



FIGURE 1 ORTEP representation of X-ray structure of compound 1.

Proton (s)	Chemical shift	Coupling constant	The important H-H COSY correlations
1α	2.24m		H-1 β and H-10 β
1 <i>β</i>	1.40 m		, ,
2α	1.85m		H-2 β and H-3
2β	2.08m		
3	4.86m		H-3 and H-2 β , H-4
4α	3.12d	4.8	H-4 and H-3
6	7.18s		
9α	2.74m		H-9 α and H-9 β
9β	2.25m		
10 <i>β</i>	2.52m		H-10 β and H-1 β
12	2.43s		
13	1.24s		
OAng	5.79dq	7.4, 1.4	
	1.96dq	7.4, 1.4	
	1.78br.s		

TABLE III ¹H-NMR of compound 2 (500MHz, J values in Hz in parentheses, measured in CDCl₃)

and H-6 and C-7 determined the structure of B ring, and correlations H-2, H-4, H-13 and H-4' to C-3 and correlation of H-3 to C-14 established the position of the angeloyloxy group. Furthermore, the HMBC correlations of H-12, H-6 to C-11 and between H-12 and C-7 were observed, suggesting the presence of an acetyl group (H-12, C-11, C-12) at C-7. From a biogenetic point of view, Compound **2**, apparently a noreremophilane derivative, should possess Me-12 β and COOH-13 β functionalities, since all the eremophilane derivatives isolated from plants in the Compositae have substituents (normally a Me, or a CH₂OH, or a COOH) at C-4 and C-5, both in β -configurations. Comparison of Compound **2** [2] suggested that the configuration of H-9 should be

Carbon	Chemical shift	DEPT
1	26.4	CH_2
2	25.0	CH_2
3	70.6	CH
4	53.5	CH
5	40.9	С
6	160.1	CH
7	135.9	С
8	192.3	С
9	40.2	CH_2
10	36.0	CH
11	195.0	С
12	30.6	CH ₃
13	24.5	CH ₃
14	172.0	C
OAng	166.6	С
•	138.9	CH
	127.4	С
	20.2	CH ₃
	15.6	CH ₃

TABLE IV 13 C-NMR of compound **2** (125 MHz, measured in CDCl₃)

in the β -orientation and was also in agreement with the structure proposed for this compound. Biogenetically, 3-angeloyloxy should be in the β -orientation.

EXPERIMENTAL

General

Column chromatography (CC): silica gel, 200–300 mesh. TLC: Precoated silica GF_{254} plates: detection at 254 nm, and by ceric sulfate reagent. IR spectra were obtained on a Bio-Rad FTS-135 spectrometer with KBr pellets. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-400 or DRX-500 instrument with TMS as an internal standard and CDCl₃ or C₅D₅N as a solvent. ¹H-NMR, ¹H-¹H COSY spectra were measured at 400.13 or 500.13 MHz; ¹³C-NMR and DEPT spectra were recorded at 100.6 MHz; HMBC spectrum was obtained at 500.13 MHz/125.8 MHz. ¹³C-NMR assignments were determined by ¹³C-¹H COSY and HMQC spectra. The EIMS were carried out on a VG Auto Spec-3000 spectrometer at 70 eV.

Plant Materials

The roots and rhizomes of *L. lapathifolia* were collected from Dong Mountain (altitude: 2500 m), Lijiang Prefecture of Yunnan Province, P.R. China, in July 1999, and authenticated by Dr. Main Zhang. A voucher specimen (No. 990005-Li) is deposited in the Herbarium of China Pharmaceutical University.

Isolation of Constituents

Air-dried and powdered roots and rhizomes of *L. lapathifolia* (1.0 kg) were extracted with EtOH (3×3 L, 7 days) at room temperature. After removal of solvent *in vacuo*,

an extract of 90.0 g was afforded. The extract was suspended in H₂O and partitioned with CHCl₃ and *n*-BuOH successively. 60.0 g of the evaporated CHCl₃ part were subjected to repeated chromatography on a silica gel column (200–300 mesh, 600.0 kg), eluted with CHCl₃–(Me)₂CO gradient (50:1 to 0:1, each 500 mL). Three crude fractions were obtained after combining the eluates by TLC monitoring. The second fraction (6.0 g) was chromatographed over 100 g silica gel column (200–300 mesh) with petroleum ether-EtOAc (10:1 to 2:1, each: 100 mL) to furnish frs. 2.2 (110 mg) and frs. 2.3 (170 mg). After two times purification by CC over 10 g silica gel (400 mesh) with petroleum-EtOAc (5:1), frs. 2.2 and frs. 2.3 afforded **1** (50 mg, R_f : 0.41) and **2** (80 mg, R_f : 0.32), respectively.

2-Acetyl-3a-methyl-5-(2-methyl-but-2-enoyloxy)-3a, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7a-hexahydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylic acid 1 White needles. $IR_{\nu max}$ (KBr): 3146, 2934, 2878, 1734, 1646, 1601, 1457, 1381, 1233, 1200, 1160, 1037, 979, 941, 918 cm⁻¹; EIMS m/z (%): 320[M]⁺(11), 302[M–H₂O]⁺(10), 238(7), 220(66), 205(10), 177(14), 161(14), 161(21), 149(12), 135(56), 122(14), 107(15), 100(6), 91(27), 83(100), 65(7), 55(86); ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR (see Tables I and II).

X-ray crystallographic analysis of 1 Empirical formula = $C_{18}H_{24}O_5$; MW = 320.37; Orthorhombic, P2₁ 2₁ 2₁; a=8.9184(6)Å, b=13.5323(8)Å, c=14.4969(9)Å, V=1749.58(19)Å³; $D_{calc}=1.216$ mg/m³, Z=4; T=293 K. A colorless crystal was used for data collection on a Bruker SMART CCD area detector, equipped with a graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The collected data were reduced using SAINT and empirical absorption correction being performed SADABS. A total of 10, 800 reflections were measured of which 4100 ($R_{int}=0.1146$) reflections were unique. The structure was solved by a direct method and refined by full-matrix least squares against F^2 for all data using the program package SHELXTL.

2-Acetyl-8a-methyl-2-(2-methyl-but-2-enoyloxy)-6-oxo-1, 2, 3, 4, 4a, 5, 6, 8a-octahydronaphthalene-1-carboxylic acid 2 White gum. $IR_{\nu max}$ (KBr): 2947, 1711, 1666, 1608, 1451, 1429, 1371, 1353, 1277, 1251, 1142, 990, 914 cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z (%): 348[M]⁺ (43), 249[M–angeloyloxy]⁺ (31), 234 (13), 221 (23), 204 (29), 189 (26), 177 (18), 163 (35), 151 (29), 135 (31), 121 (29), 111 (31), 100 [angelic acid]⁺ (28), 91 (42), 83 (84), 69 (40) ; ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR (see Tables III and IV).

Acknowledgement

This research was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation (NSFC) for outstanding young scientists, for Wang Z.T. (39825129).

References

Z.Y. Wu (1990). Xin Hua Ben Cao Gan Yao, Vol. III. Shanghai Science and Technology press, Shanghai.
Y. Goto, Y. Kojima and T. Nakayama (2001). Phytochemistry, 57, 109–113.